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## ExECUTIVE Summary

1. A residential development is proposed on the east side of Highway 211 opposite Tupper Road in Sandy, Oregon. The proposed development will consist of 12 townhome dwelling units. As currently proposed, the site will take access via a new driveway on Highway 211 opposite Tupper Road.
2. Upon completion of proposed development, the subject property is projected to generate 6 new site trips during the morning peak hour, 7 trips during the evening peak hour, and 88 new daily site trips.
3. Based on the operational analysis, the study intersections currently operate acceptably and are projected to continue to operate acceptably under year 2022 traffic conditions either with or without the addition of site trips from the proposed development.
4. Based on the queuing analysis, the northbound $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues on Highway 211 approaching Pioneer Boulevard are projected to extend beyond the Tupper Road/site access intersection during the peak hours. If sufficient width can be made available to accommodate a raised center median within Highway 211, it is recommended that the median be installed in conjunction with the proposed development. If a center median cannot be constructed within Highway 211, it is recommended that the site access be limited to right-in, right-out only through the installation of a "pork-chop" diverter within the new driveway approach.
5. Based on the crash data, the study intersections are currently operating acceptably with respect to safety.
6. Based on the detailed warrant analysis, no new traffic signals or turn lanes are recommended in conjunction with the proposed development.
7. At the request of ODOT staff, three potential site access alternatives were examined. Based on the analysis, it is recommended that site access be provided to Highway 211 directly opposite Tupper Road.

## Project Description \& Location

## INTRODUCTION

A 12-unit residential townhome development is proposed for a property located on the east side of Highway 211 opposite Tupper Road in Sandy, Oregon.

As currently proposed, the site would take access via a new driveway intersecting Highway 211 directly opposite Tupper Road. At the request of the Oregon Department of Transportation, two alternative access scenarios are also considered within this study. Under the first alternative, access would be shared with the existing City Hall/Joe's Donuts access driveway on Highway 211 approximately 75 feet south of the near-side crosswalk at the signalized intersection of Highway 26 at Highway 211. Under the second alternative, a new driveway would be constructed at the north end of the subject property immediately adjacent to the exiting City Hall/Joe's Donuts access. All three potential access scenarios are discussed, with information regarding safety and operation at the time of project opening and farther into the future.

This report addresses the impacts of the proposed development on the surrounding street system. The purpose of this analysis is to determine whether the surrounding transportation system is capable of safely and efficiently supporting the proposed use and to identify any necessary improvements and mitigations.

## Site Location and Study area Description

The subject property has a total area of 0.59 acres and is zoned R-3 (High-Density Residential). The site is currently undeveloped, and the proposed development is permitted within the R-3 zone. The subject property is surrounded by existing commercial and institutional uses within the Central Business District zone to the west, north and east, and by parks property to the south.

Oregon Highway 211 (Eagle Creek Sandy Highway) is classified by the Oregon Department of Transportation as a District Highway. It has a two-lane cross-section with one through lane in each direction and added turn lanes at major intersections. It has a posted speed limit of 40 mph in the site vicinity.

Pioneer Boulevard forms the eastbound travel lanes of US Highway 26 (Mt. Hood Highway) in the site vicinity. The highway is classified by the Oregon Department of Transportation as a Statewide Highway and a Freight Route within a Special Transportation Area. It generally has two eastbound travel lanes plus a bike lane, with on-street parking and sidewalks in place on both sides of the roadway. It has a posted speed limit of 25 mph .

Tupper Road is classified by the City of Sandy as a collector street and is striped to prohibit passing. On the south side of the roadway existing curbs and sidewalks are in place in the site vicinity, while the north side has a narrow gravel shoulder.

## Existing Conditions

The intersection of Pioneer Boulevard/US Highway 26 at Highway 211 is currently a four-way intersection controlled by a traffic signal. The eastbound approach has a shared through/left lane, an exclusive through lane and a right-turn lane which operates under yield control. The northbound approach has a through lane and a right-turn lane. The southbound approach has a left-turn lane and a through lane. All four legs of the intersection have marked crosswalks in place with pedestrian signals.

The intersection of Highway 211 at Tupper Road is currently a T-intersection controlled by a stop sign on the eastbound Tupper Road approach. Through traffic traveling along Highway 211 does not stop. Each approach has a single, shared lane for all turning movements.

A vicinity map displaying the project site, vicinity streets, and the study intersections including lane configurations is provided in Figure 1 on page 6.


## Traffic Count Data

Due to the current COVID-19 crisis, traffic volumes in the site vicinity are not representative of typical conditions. In order to provide count data that more conservatively reflects expectations regarding future traffic volumes, historical count data was used in conjunction with modeling data and intersection observations to develop estimates of the traffic volumes that would be expected absent the impacts of the current pandemic.

The data sources used include recent count data collected at the nearby intersections of Highway 211 at Dubarko Road and Highway 26 at Ten Eyck Road/Wolf Drive to determine through traffic volumes along the respective highways, along with seasonal data, growth data and planning model data from ODOT to determine how those volumes change over distance and time, as well as direct observation of the relative volumes for different turning movements at the intersections of Highway 26 at Highway 211 and Highway 211 at Tupper Road.

The historical count data for the intersections of Highway 211 at Dubarko Road and Highway 26 at Ten Eyck Road/Wolf Drive were conducted at the study intersections on Tuesday March $19^{\text {th }}, 2019$ from 4:00 to 6:00 PM and on Wednesday March $20^{\text {th }}$, 2019 from 7:00 to 9:00 AM. The resulting data was adjusted to reflect the projected $30^{\text {th }}$-highest hour volumes for year 2020 traffic conditions as part of the traffic impact study prepared for the Bull Run Terrace Subdivision project. These adjusted future volumes were used to determine the expected through traffic volumes along Highway 26 and Highway 211 in the site vicinity. A diagram excerpted from the Bull Run Terrace TIS showing the year 2020 traffic volumes is included in the attached technical appendix.

In addition to determination of the expected through traffic volumes, it was necessary to determine the turning movement volumes at the study intersections for year 2020 traffic conditions absent the pandemic. Turning movements were estimated based on direct observation of the relative volumes of traffic making each turning movement at the intersections. After calculating the through movement volumes, the percentage of traffic observed making turning movements was applied to determine the remaining hourly volumes.

Figure 2 on page 8 shows the existing $202030^{\text {th }}$-highest hour traffic volumes for the morning and evening peak hours at the study intersections.
(

OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS

An operational analysis was conducted for the study intersections using Synchro 10 software, with outputs calculated based on the HIGHWAY CAPACITY MANUAL, $6^{\text {th }}$ Edition. The analysis was conducted for the weekday morning and evening peak hours.

The purpose of the existing conditions analysis is to establish how the study area intersections operate currently and allow for calibration of the operational analysis if required.

The results of the operational analysis are reported based on delay, Level of Service (LOS), and volume-to-capacity ratio (v/c). Delays are reported in seconds. Level of service is reported as a letter grade and can range from $A$ to $F$, with level of service A representing nearly free-flow conditions and level of service $F$ representing high delays and severe congestion. A report of level of service $D$ generally indicates moderately high but tolerable delays, and typically occurs prior to reaching intersection capacity. For unsignalized intersections, the v/c represents the portion of the available intersection capacity that is being utilized on the worst intersection approach. A v/c ratio of 1.0 would indicate that the approach is operating at capacity.

A summary of the existing conditions operational analysis is provided in Table 1 below. For the signalized intersection of Highway 26 at Highway 211, the reported delays, levels of service and volume-to capacity ratios represent the overall operation of the intersection. For the two unsignalized study intersections, the reported delays and levels-of-service represent the approach lane which experiences the highest delays, while the reported $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratios represent the highest ratio for the majorstreet and minor-street movements.

The Oregon Department of Transportation requires that the study intersections operate with a volume-to-capacity ratio (v/c) of 0.90 or less.

Based on the analysis, the study intersections are currently operating acceptably. Detailed capacity analysis worksheets are provided in the technical appendix.

Table 1 - Operational Analysis Summary: 2020 Existing 30th-Highest Hour Conditions

| Intersection | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Delay | LOS | v/c | Delay | LOS | v/c |
| Highway 26 at Highway 211 | 18.2 | B | 0.53 | 20.7 | C | 0.71 |
| Highway 211 at City Hall Access | 11.6 | B | 0.22 | 12 | B | 0.25 |
| Highway 211 at Tupper Road | 12.3 | B | 0.19 | 14.7 | B | 0.25 |

## Site Trips

## Proposed Development

The proposed new development will consist of 12 townhome dwelling units. To estimate the number of trips that will be generated by the proposed development, trip rates from the TRIP GENERATION MANUAL, $10^{\text {th }}$ EDITION were used. Data from land-use code 220, Multi-Family Housing, were used. The trip estimates are based on the number of dwelling units.

A summary of the trip generation calculations is provided in Table 2 below. Detailed trip generation worksheets are also included in the technical appendix.

Table 2 - Proposed Development Trip Generation Summary

|  | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  | Daily |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | Total |
| 12 Multi-Family Dwelling Units | 1 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 88 |

## TRIP DISTRIBUTION

The directional distribution of site trips to and from the project site was estimated based the existing travel patterns in the site vicinity, as well as the locations of likely trip destinations and major transportation routes. Overall, 55 percent of the anticipated site trips are projected to travel to and from the west on Highway 26, 25 percent are projected to travel to and from the east on Highway 26, and 20 percent are projected to travel to and from the south on Highway 211.

Since it is anticipated that any future site access to Highway 211 will be restricted to right-in, rightout movements only, drivers entering from the north will need to pass the site access and turn around prior to lawfully entering the project site. Similarly, drivers exiting the site intending to travel to the south will need to turn right then turn around to reach their intended destination. Accordingly, these trips may pass through the study intersections more than once. The additional trips resulting from vehicles turning around are included in the trip assignment diagram.

The trip distribution percentages and trip assignment for the proposed development are shown in Figure 3 on page 11.


## Future Conditions Analysis

## BACKGROUND VOLUMES

In order to determine the expected impact of site trips on the study area intersections, it is necessary to compare traffic conditions both with and without the addition of the projected traffic from the proposed development. Since the proposed use cannot be constructed and occupied immediately, the comparison is made for future traffic conditions at the time of project completion. It is anticipated that the proposed use will be completed and occupied by 2022. Accordingly, the analysis was conducted for year 2022 traffic conditions.

Similar to the existing year 2020 conditions analysis, the year 2022 traffic volumes were determined using data from the Bull Run Terrace Subdivision TIS as well as ODOT data resources and the direct observations of turning movement volumes at the study area intersections to determine the likely traffic volumes during the peak hours absent the current COVID-19 pandemic.

Since the data used was drawn from the year 2022 background traffic volume for the Bull Run Terrace Subdivision, the projected volumes already account for future site trips from development within the in-process developments considered in that report as well as the anticipated background growth rates for highway volumes in the site vicinity. Site trips from the Bull Run Terrace Subdivision were not directly included in the analysis since completion of the Bull Run Terrace project will result in diversion of trips to the new Dubarko Road connection between Highway 211 and Highway 26 at the east side of the City of Sandy. Accordingly, the 2022 background conditions analysis represents the highest traffic volumes which may reasonably occur in association with the proposed development.

Figure 4 on page 13 shows the projected year 2022 background traffic volumes at the study intersections during the morning and evening peak hours, including anticipated future traffic from inprocess developments.

## BaCkground Volumes plus Site Trips

Peak hour trips calculated to be generated by the proposed development were added to the projected year 2022 background traffic volumes to obtain the year 2022 total traffic volumes following completion of the proposed residential development. The resulting total traffic volumes are shown in figure 5 on page 14.

Based on discussions with ODOT staff, it is anticipated that the study intersections along Highway 211 south of Pioneer Boulevard may be restricted to right-in, right-out operation only in conjunction with the proposed development in order to reduce concerns associated with limited access spacing and queues. An additional diagram showing the year 2022 background plus site trips volumes with traffic diversions resulting from right-in, right-out restriction of these intersections is provided in Figure 6 on page 15.
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OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS

The operational analysis for future traffic conditions was again conducted using Synchro analysis software, with outputs based on the analysis methodologies contained in the HIGHWAY CAPACITY $M A N U A L, 6^{\text {th }}$ Edition. The analysis was prepared for the intersections' morning and evening peak hours.

The results of the operational analysis are summarized in Table 3 below. Detailed analysis worksheets are also included in the technical appendix.

Table 3 - Operational Analysis Summary: Year 2022 Future Conditions

| Intersection | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Delay | LOS | v/c | Delay | LOS | v/c |
| Pioneer Blvd. at Highway 211 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2022 Background Conditions | 18.6 | B | 0.56 | 22.6 | C | 0.77 |
| 2022 Background plus Site | 18.7 | B | 0.57 | 22.7 | C | 0.77 |
| 2022 Bkgd plus Site (w/ Median Barrier) | 19.1 | B | 0.57 | 23.1 | C | 0.77 |
| Highway 211 at City Hall Driveway |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2022 Background Conditions | 11.9 | B | 0.23 | 12.3 | B | 0.26 |
| 2022 Background Plus Site | 12.0 | B | 0.24 | 12.4 | B | 0.26 |
| 2022 Bkgd Plus Site (w/ Median Barrier) | 11.2 | B | 0.24 | 10.8 | B | 0.27 |
| Highway 211 at Tupper Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2022 Background Conditions | 15.2 | C | 0.21 | 15.2 | C | 0.26 |
| 2022 Background plus Site | 13.8 | B | 0.21 | 17.1 | C | 0.26 |
| 2022 Bkgd plus Site (w/ Median Barrier) | 10.6 | B | 0.23 | 11.2 | B | 0.27 |

Based on the results of the operational analysis, the study intersections are projected to operate acceptably per ODOT standards either with or without the addition of site trips from the proposed development, and with or without conversion of the stop-controlled minor-street approaches to rightin, right-out only. No operational mitigations are necessary or recommended in conjunction with the proposed development.

## QUEUING ANALYSIS

In addition to the operational analysis, a queuing analysis was conducted to determine whether northbound queues on Highway 211 may extend to the proposed site access driveway during the peak hours. The queuing analysis was prepared using SimTraffic simulation software with model calibrations as required per ODOT's Analysis Procedures Manual. The results of the analysis are reported as $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues, which represent the queue length that is exceeded during less than 5 percent of the peak hour. Queue lengths in excess of the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile do not occur with sufficient frequency to allow for cost-effective design.

Based on the analysis, the projected $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue lengths for the northbound Highway 211 approach to Pioneer Boulevard were determined to be 263 feet during the morning peak hour and 308 feet during the evening peak hour. (The average queue lengths during these analysis periods were projected to be 145 feet and 177 feet, respectively.)

The intersection of Highway 211 at the existing City Hall/Joe's Donuts driveway is centered approximately 70 feet south of the northbound stop bar on Highway 211 at Pioneer Boulevard. Accordingly, the average peak-hour queues projected during the peak hours will extend beyond this driveway.

The intersection of Highway 211 at Tupper Road is centered approximately 225 feet south of the northbound stop bar on Highway 211 at Pioneer Boulevard. Accordingly, this intersection is within the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue length during the morning and evening peak hours, although it is outside the average projected queue lengths during the peak hours.

Based on the queueing analysis, both unsignalized study intersections are within the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue lengths for northbound traffic approaching Pioneer Boulevard along Highway 211. Accordingly, it is appropriate to consider some form of turning movement restriction in order to avoid having vehicles make potentially unsafe left-turn maneuvers through stopped vehicle queues and to avoid congestion within the through travel lanes which may occur when vehicles stop within an otherwise free-flowing travel lane to wait to make left turns across these queues.

Typically, the most effective mechanism for restricting turning movements is the installation of a raised median within the major street. A raised median provides a physical barrier resulting in high compliance with the intended turning movement restriction. Where it is not possible to install a raised median within the major street, the side-street approaches may have "pork-chop" diverters installed which also physically direct vehicles toward the permitted turning movements only.

If sufficient width can be made available to accommodate a raised center median within Highway 211, it is recommended that the median be installed in conjunction with the proposed development. If a center median cannot be constructed within Highway 211, it is recommended that the site access be limited to right-in, right-out only through the installation of a "pork-chop" diverter within the new driveway approach.

## Safety Analysis

## CRASH Data Analysis

Using data obtained from the Oregon Department of Transportation, a review of the five most recent years of available crash history (from January 2013 through December 2017) was performed for the study intersections. In addition to examination of the crash data, crash rates are calculated for the intersections. Crash rates allow for comparison of relative risk by accounting for both the number of crashes and the number of vehicles travelling through the intersection. Crash rates are reported as the number of crashes per million entering vehicles.

The intersection of Pioneer Boulevard at OR Highway 211/Meinig Road had a total of 10 reported crashes during the 5 -year analysis period. These included 6 rear-end collisions, 2 angle collisions, 1 sideswipe-overtaking collision and one fixed-object collision. The crashes resulted in one nonincapacitating injury and 4 reports of a "possible injury/complaint of pain." The crash rate for the intersection was calculated to be 0.256 crashes per million entering vehicles. This is roughly the median crash rate for urban 3-way signalized intersections in Oregon ( 0.252 crashes per million entering vehicles), indicating that the intersection is operating similar to average intersections in Oregon with respect to safety.

The other study intersections had no reported crashes during the five-year analysis period.
Based on the detailed examination of crash data, no significant safety concerns were identified and no specific safety mitigations are recommended.

## Warrant Analysis

Traffic signal and turn-lane warrants were examined for the study intersections.
Based on the projected side-street traffic volumes, traffic signal warrants are not projected to be met at either of the unsignalized study intersections under any of the analysis scenarios. Accordingly, no new traffic signals are recommended in conjunction with the proposed development.

Left-turn lane warrants were examined for the major-street approaches to the unsignalized study intersections. Left-turn lane warrants are intended to evaluate whether a meaningful safety benefit may be expected if the turning vehicles are provided with turn lane within the street, allowing leftturning drivers to move out of the through travel lane so that following vehicles may pass without conflicts. The left-turn lane warrant analysis methodology utilizes the number of travel lanes in conjunction with the volume of advancing and opposing traffic to determine the minimum number of left-turning vehicles which would result in a meaningful safety benefit. This threshold left-turn volume may be as low as 10 vehicles per hour. Notably, fewer than 10 left-turn movements are projected for all unsignalized major-street approaches during each of the peak hours. Accordingly, by inspection left-turn lane warrants will not be met. No new left-turn lanes are recommended in conjunction with the proposed development.

Right-turn lane warrants were also examined for the major-street approaches to the unsignalized study intersections. Right-turn lanes reduce the likelihood of rear-end collisions as vehicles slow or
stop to turn right from a free-flowing through travel lane. Generally, right-turn lane warrants are not met where the hourly right-turn volume is 20 vehicles or fewer. However, if the total approach volume in the outside lane is in excess of 700 vehicles per hour, a shoulder or right-turn lane treatment may be appropriate even if the right-turn volume is fewer than 20 vehicles. Examining the study intersections shows that none of the highway through lanes carries more than 700 vehicles per hour under any of the analysis scenarios. Accordingly, right-turn lane warrants will not be met for any intersections with fewer than 20 right-turning vehicles per hour.

Only one unsignalized major-street right-turn movement carries more than 20 vehicles per hour. This movement is the southbound right-turn movement from Highway 211 onto Tupper Road. Accordingly, a detailed right-turn lane warrant analysis was prepared for this intersection approach. Based on the analysis, right turn lane warrants would not be met under year 2022 background conditions or year 2022 background plus site trips conditions. With conversion of the intersection to right-in, right-out only and assuming that all northbound left-turning traffic diverts by passing Tupper Road northbound, turning around, then returning southbound, right-turn lane warrants would be marginally met. However, since some left-turning drivers would be expected to divert by turning left onto Dubarko Road prior to reaching Tupper Road, the actual volume of southbound rightturning traffic is expected to be below the threshold that would trigger the need for a right-turn lane. Additionally, no site trips from the proposed development would make this turning movement. Accordingly, installation of a new southbound right-turn lane serving Tupper Road is not recommended in conjunction with the proposed development.

Based on the detailed warrant analysis, no new traffic signals or turn lanes are recommended in conjunction with the proposed development.

## Intersection Sight Distance

Based on the posted speed limit of 40 mph , a minimum of 445 feet of intersection sight distance is required to the south of the proposed site access on Highway 211. Vehicles approaching from the north are within a $25-\mathrm{mph}$ speed zone on SE Meinig Avenue, requiring a minimum of 280 feet of intersection sight distance to the north.

In accordance with the procedures described in A Policy On Geometric Design of Highways and Streets, published by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, intersection sight distance was measured from a driver's eye position within the proposed driveway 15 feet behind the edge of the traveled way and 3.5 feet above the driveway surface. The available intersection sight distances in each direction were measured to the oncoming driver's eye position within the oncoming travel lane 3.5 feet above the roadway surface.

Intersection sight distance was measured to be in excess of 600 feet to the south from the proposed site access location. Sight distance to the north is restricted by a crest vertical curve where Highway 211 meets Pioneer Boulevard. The available intersection sight distance in this direction was measured to be 330 feet.

In addition to evaluation of intersection sight distance for the northbound and southbound approaches along Highway 211/SE Meinig Avenue, it is appropriate to evaluate whether adequate
stopping sight distance is available for vehicles turning from Highway 26 onto Highway 211 to stop if necessary to avoid a collision.

Vehicles turning from Highway 26 would be expected to turn at speeds of up to approximately 20 mph . Based on this design speed and the 6 percent downhill grade on the approach, the minimum required stopping sight distance for this approach speed was calculated to be 120 feet. The available intersection sight distance for vehicles approaching from this direction was measured to be 203 feet. Accordingly, the access can operate safely with respect to vehicles approaching from Highway 26.

Based on the sight distance analysis, adequate sight lines can be attained for safe and efficient operation at the proposed site access location on Highway 211.

## Site AcCess Alternatives Analysis

At the direction of ODOT staff, three total site access scenarios were examined. The potential site access options include:

1) Shared site access to Highway 211 at the existing City Hall/Joe's Donuts Driveway;
2) A new site access driveway on Highway 211 immediately south of the existing City Hall/Joes' Donuts driveway; and
3) A new site access driveway on Highway 211 directly opposite Tupper Road.

These potential site access scenarios were evaluated in order to determine the relative merits of each. It should be noted that given the low delays, high levels of service and low $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratios projected in the operational analysis portion of this report, it is anticipated that any of the three site access scenarios would result in acceptable operation per ODOT standards. However, the access scenarios differ significantly in near-term and long-term access spacing and safety, as well as viability.

## Access Scenario 1

A shared access to Highway 211 at the existing City Hall/Joe's Donuts Driveway would result in increasing traffic volumes at an intersection in very close proximity to the traffic signal at Pioneer Boulevard. Based on the queueing analysis, this existing driveway is well within the average queue length for northbound vehicles approaching the signal during both the morning and evening peak hours. Conflicts between turning vehicles and through traffic would remain frequent, and the increased traffic volumes using the driveway would exacerbate existing problems at this driveway.

In addition to the operational concerns associated with shared access at the existing City Hall/Joe's Donuts driveway, sharing this access would require approval from the City of Sandy for sharing the access. This approval was previously formally requested of the Sandy City Council and was denied. City staff are also unsupportive of a shared access. As such, this option was determined to be infeasible.

## Access Scenario 2

Although the subject property cannot share access with the existing city driveway, it would be possible to construct a new driveway immediately south of and adjacent to the City Hall/Joe's

Donuts driveway within the subject property. The idea would be to align the driveway at the north end of the property and provide an easement for future use by the city. Given such an easement, at any time that the city and/or Joe's makes substantive changes to their sites the existing driveway could be closed and consolidated with the driveway serving the subject property. In the long term, this would result in (marginally) increased access spacing between the driveway and Pioneer Boulevard as well as a reduction in the number of points of access to Highway 211.

This access alternative also has some substantial weaknesses.

First, since near-term operation would require that both the existing city driveway and the proposed site access operate simultaneously. Since drivers turning right onto Highway 211 primarily focus on conflicts approaching along the highway, they may begin turns only to find they are obstructed by a vehicle that has entered Highway 211 from the adjacent driveway. This may lead to both operational and safety concerns.

Second, since the new driveway would need to be located at the extreme north end of the subject property, it would be placed at the location providing the least possible access spacing between the new driveway and the traffic signal at Pioneer Boulevard. Again, this driveway would be located well within the average northbound queue length during the morning and evening peak hours.

Third, this scenario would result in an immediate degradation to access spacing and safety in the site vicinity which would continue indefinitely until such time as the City of Sandy could be forced to move their access to a shared alignment with the proposed development. Since no improvements are currently planned within the City Hall or Joe's Donuts sites, it is expected that this degradation would continue well into the future.

Fourth, providing exclusive site access to The Pad at the north end of the subject property would result in a permanent driveway which cannot be either closed or relocated at any point in the future. Since Joe's Donuts and the Sandy City Hall currently also have access to Highway 26 (two driveways), it may be possible to close their existing driveway at some point in the future. However, if site access for the Pad is placed at the north end of the subject property, it will not be possible to remove that access in the future.

Fifth, the subject property is located on a slope, with the north end of the site forming the highest point of the subject property. If access is taken at the north end of the site, it will be necessary to provide a long driveway carrying site traffic to the lower elevation from which vehicles will access parking spaces within the site. This will result in a meaningful reduction in the development potential of the subject property.

Based on the analysis, selection of site access at the north end of the site is not recommended.

## Access Scenario 3

Under the third access scenario, a new driveway would be constructed intersecting Highway 211 directly opposite Tupper Road.


Since there is an existing intersection at this location, construction of the driveway would result in no change to the existing access spacing on Highway 211. Although access spacing between the site access and the City Hall/Joe's Donuts access would only be approximately 150 feet, this would be considerably in excess of the access spacing that results from implementation of Access Scenario 2, with ample room for drivers simultaneously exiting the two driveways to anticipate and avoid collisions with each other.

Although the site access would be located within the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue length for northbound traffic on Highway 211, it would be well outside the average queue length during the peak hours. This indicates that although there may be some obstruction of the site access by through traffic, the standing queues would be expected to clear during each signal cycle, allowing for safe and efficient access to and from the site in conjunction with the proposed right-in, right-out restriction.

Although this site access would also be permanent (similar to Access Scenario 2), it may be possible to remove the City Hall/Joe's Donuts access in the future since alternative access is available for these uses. Accordingly, selection of this access alternative results not only in maximizing access spacing in the near term, but in the potential for maximizing access spacing in the long term as well.

Since Tupper Road intersects Highway 211 near the middle of the subject property, this access scenario also results in the most efficient site plan, since vehicles entering the site from the middle of the property can easily access dwelling units on the north and south sides of the site without the need for significant changes in elevation.

Based on the detailed analysis of the three site access scenarios, it is recommended that site access be taken to Highway 211 directly opposite Tupper Road.

## Conclusions

Based on the operational analysis, the study intersections currently operate acceptably and are projected to continue to operate acceptably under year 2022 traffic conditions either with or without the addition of site trips from the proposed development.

Based on the queuing analysis, the northbound $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues on Highway 211 approaching Pioneer Boulevard are projected to extend beyond the Tupper Road/site access intersection during the peak hours. If sufficient width can be made available to accommodate a raised center median within Highway 211, it is recommended that the median be installed in conjunction with the proposed development. If a center median cannot be constructed within Highway 211, it is recommended that the site access be limited to right-in, right-out only through the installation of a "pork-chop" diverter within the new driveway approach.

Based on the crash data, the study intersections are currently operating acceptably with respect to safety.

Based on the detailed warrant analysis, no new traffic signals or turn lanes are recommended in conjunction with the proposed development.

At the request of ODOT staff, three potential site access alternatives were examined. Based on the analysis, it is recommended that site access be provided to Highway 211 directly opposite Tupper Road.


## APPENDIX



5-Minute Interval Summary
7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

| Interval Start Time | Northbound SE Ten Eyck Rd |  |  |  | Southbound SE Ten Eyck Rd |  |  |  | Eastbound Hwy 26 |  |  |  | Westbound Hwy 26 |  |  |  | Interval Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes |  |
| 7:00 AM | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 5 | 26 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 140 |
| 7:05 AM | 10 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 18 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 65 | 2 | 0 | 113 |
| 7:10 AM | 17 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 7 | 36 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 74 | 1 | 0 | 153 |
| 7:15 AM | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 40 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 84 | 1 | 0 | 161 |
| 7:20 AM | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 3 | 40 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 141 |
| 7:25 AM | 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 16 | 0 | 2 | 40 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 1 | 0 | 150 |
| 7:30 AM | 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 8 | 43 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 145 |
| 7:35 AM | 12 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 1 | 0 | 148 |
| 7:40 AM | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 4 | 59 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 140 |
| 7:45 AM | 12 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 4 | 53 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 2 | 0 | 134 |
| 7:50 AM | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 9 | 47 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 139 |
| 7:55 AM | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 62 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 2 | 0 | 129 |
| 8:00 AM | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 46 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 113 |
| 8:05 AM | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 50 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 2 | 0 | 117 |
| 8:10 AM | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 5 | 45 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 1 | 0 | 124 |
| 8:15 AM | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 38 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 1 | 0 | 98 |
| 8:20 AM | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 5 | 38 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 113 |
| 8:25 AM | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 4 | 44 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 2 | 0 | 112 |
| 8:30 AM | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 4 | 66 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 137 |
| 8:35 AM | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 6 | 59 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 1 | 0 | 142 |
| 8:40 AM | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 15 | 0 | 10 | 62 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 43 | 1 | 0 | 148 |
| 8:45 AM | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 5 | 69 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 160 |
| 8:50 AM | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 7 | 56 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 46 | 1 | 0 | 145 |
| 8:55 AM | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 6 | 51 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 44 | 1 | 0 | 136 |
| Total Survey | 215 | 16 | 4 | 0 | 41 | 9 | 272 | 0 | 121 | 1,144 | 80 | 0 | 9 | 1,307 | 20 | 0 | 3,238 |


| Pedestrians <br> Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North | South | East | West |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |

## 15-Minute Interval Summary

7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

| Interval Start Time | Northbound SE Ten Eyck Rd |  |  |  | Southbound SE Ten Eyck Rd |  |  |  | Eastbound Hwy 26 |  |  |  | Westbound Hwy 26 |  |  |  | Interval Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes |  |
| 7:00 AM | 43 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 14 | 80 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 213 | 3 | 0 | 406 |
| 7:15 AM | 41 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 36 | 0 | 14 | 120 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 222 | 2 | 0 | 452 |
| 7:30 AM | 27 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 12 | 158 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 177 | 1 | 0 | 433 |
| 7:45 AM | 20 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 29 | 0 | 16 | 162 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 149 | 4 | 0 | 402 |
| 8:00 AM | 14 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 28 | 0 | 15 | 141 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 136 | 3 | 0 | 354 |
| 8:15 AM | 26 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 12 | 120 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 122 | 3 | 0 | 323 |
| 8:30 AM | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 38 | 0 | 20 | 187 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 135 | 2 | 0 | 427 |
| 8:45 AM | 22 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 18 | 176 | 21 | 0 | 3 | 153 | 2 | 0 | 441 |
| Total Survey | 215 | 16 | 4 | 0 | 41 | 9 | 272 | 0 | 121 | 1,144 | 80 | 0 | 9 | 1,307 | 20 | 0 | 3,238 |


| Pedestrians <br> Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North | South | East | West |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |

Peak Hour Summary
7:00 AM to 8:00 AM

| By <br> Approach | Northbound SE Ten Eyck Rd |  |  |  | Southbound SE Ten Eyck Rd |  |  |  | Eastbound Hwy 26 |  |  |  | Westbound Hwy 26 |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes |  |
| Volume | 145 | 44 | 189 | 0 | 161 | 77 | 238 | 0 | 612 | 1,034 | 1,646 | 0 | 775 | 538 | 1,313 | 0 | 1,693 |
| \%HV | 6.2\% |  |  |  | 3.1\% |  |  |  | 12.1\% |  |  |  | 6.1\% |  |  |  | 8.0\% |
| PHF | 0.81 |  |  |  | 0.82 |  |  |  | 0.81 |  |  |  | 0.84 |  |  |  | 0.93 |
| By <br> Movement | Northbound SE Ten Eyck Rd |  |  |  | Southbound SE Ten Eyck Rd |  |  |  | Eastbound Hwy 26 |  |  |  | Westbound Hwy 26 |  |  |  | Total |
|  | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total |  |
| Volume | 131 | 11 | 3 | 145 | 15 | 4 | 142 | 161 | 56 | 520 | 36 | 612 | 4 | 761 | 10 | 775 | 1,693 |
| \%HV | 6.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.2\% | 13.3\% | 25.0\% | 1.4\% | 3.1\% | 8.9\% | 12.7\% | 8.3\% | 12.1\% | 75.0\% | 5.5\% | 20.0\% | 6.1\% | 8.0\% |
| PHF | 0.74 | 0.55 | 0.75 | 0.81 | 0.63 | 0.33 | 0.81 | 0.82 | 0.74 | 0.77 | 0.75 | 0.81 | 0.25 | 0.84 | 0.63 | 0.84 | 0.93 |



## Rolling Hour Summary

7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Interval } \\ & \text { Start } \\ & \text { Time } \end{aligned}$ | Northbound SE Ten Eyck Rd |  |  |  | Southbound SE Ten Eyck Rd |  |  |  | Eastbound Hwy 26 |  |  |  | Westbound Hwy 26 |  |  |  | Interval Total | Pedestrians Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes |  | North | South | East | West |
| 7:00 AM | 131 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 15 | 4 | 142 | 0 | 56 | 520 | 36 | 0 | 4 | 761 | 10 | 0 | 1,693 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM | 102 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 17 | 7 | 132 | 0 | 57 | 581 | 37 | 0 | 1 | 684 | 10 | 0 | 1,641 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 7:30 AM | 87 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 17 | 4 | 123 | 0 | 55 | 581 | 35 | 0 | 1 | 584 | 11 | 0 | 1,512 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 7:45 AM | 82 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 24 | 6 | 122 | 0 | 63 | 610 | 35 | 0 | 2 | 542 | 12 | 0 | 1,506 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 8:00 AM | 84 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 26 | 5 | 130 | 0 | 65 | 624 | 44 | 0 | 5 | 546 | 10 | 0 | 1,545 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |

Heavy Vehicle Summary

## All Traffic Data <br> Clay Carney <br> (503) 833-2740

SE Ten Eyck Rd \& Hwy 26
Wednesday, March 20, 2019
7:00 AM to 9:00 AM
Out 53
In 74


Heavy Vehicle 5-Minute Interval Summary
7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

| Interval Start Time | Northbound SE Ten Eyck Rd |  |  |  | Southbound SE Ten Eyck Rd |  |  |  | Eastbound Hwy 26 |  |  |  | Westbound Hwy 26 |  |  |  | Interval Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total |  |
| 7:00 AM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 15 |
| 7:05 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 10 |
| 7:10 AM | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 11 |
| 7:15 AM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 12 |
| 7:20 AM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 9 |
| 7:25 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 8 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 14 |
| 7:35 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 14 |
| 7:40 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 10 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 11 |
| 7:50 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 11 |
| 7:55 AM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 10 |
| 8:00 AM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 15 |
| 8:05 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 8 | 19 |
| 8:10 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 8 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 7 |
| 8:20 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 9 |
| 8:25 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 10 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 12 |
| 8:35 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 14 |
| 8:40 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 12 |
| 8:50 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 9 | 14 |
| 8:55 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 8 |
| Total Survey | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 9 | 14 | 9 | 131 | 7 | 147 | 5 | 91 | 3 | 99 | 270 |

Heavy Vehicle 15-Minute Interval Summary
7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

| Interval Start <br> Time | Northbound SE Ten Eyck Rd |  |  |  | Southbound SE Ten Eyck Rd |  |  |  | Eastbound Hwy 26 |  |  |  | Westbound Hwy 26 |  |  |  | Interval Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total |  |
| 7:00 AM | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 1 | 16 | 2 | 13 | 1 | 16 | 36 |
| 7:15 AM | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 17 | 1 | 20 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 29 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 22 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 14 | 38 |
| 7:45 AM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 15 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 13 | 32 |
| 8:00 AM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 21 | 1 | 22 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 16 | 42 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 16 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 9 | 26 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 16 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 33 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 13 | 2 | 16 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 15 | 34 |
| Total Survey | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 9 | 14 | 9 | 131 | 7 | 147 | 5 | 91 | 3 | 99 | 270 |

Heavy Vehicle Peak Hour Summary
7:00 AM to 8:00 AM

| By <br> Approach | Northbound SE Ten Eyck Rd |  |  | Southbound SE Ten Eyck Rd |  |  | Eastbound Hwy 26 |  |  | Westbound Hwy 26 |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |  |
| Volume | 9 | 7 | 16 | 5 | 7 | 12 | 74 | 53 | 127 | 47 | 68 | 115 | 135 |
| PHF | 0.38 |  |  | 0.63 |  |  | 0.80 |  |  | 0.73 |  |  | 0.89 |


| By Movement | Northbound SE Ten Eyck Rd |  |  |  | Southbound SE Ten Eyck Rd |  |  |  | Eastbound Hwy 26 |  |  |  | Westbound Hwy 26 |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total |  |
| Volume | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 66 | 3 | 74 | 3 | 42 | 2 | 47 | 135 |
| PHF | 0.38 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.38 | 0.50 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.80 | 0.25 | 0.75 | 0.50 | 0.73 | 0.89 |

Heavy Vehicle Rolling Hour Summary
7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

| Interval Start <br> Time | Northbound SE Ten Eyck Rd |  |  |  | Southbound SE Ten Eyck Rd |  |  |  | Eastbound Hwy 26 |  |  |  | Westbound Hwy 26 |  |  |  | Interval Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total |  |
| 7:00 AM | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 66 | 3 | 74 | 3 | 42 | 2 | 47 | 135 |
| 7:15 AM | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 73 | 3 | 80 | 1 | 44 | 2 | 47 | 141 |
| 7:30 AM | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 2 | 71 | 3 | 76 | 1 | 49 | 2 | 52 | 138 |
| 7:45 AM | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 4 | 65 | 3 | 72 | 1 | 47 | 2 | 50 | 133 |
| 8:00 AM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 9 | 4 | 65 | 4 | 73 | 2 | 49 | 1 | 52 | 135 |



SE Ten Eyck Rd \& Hwy 26
Tuesday, March 19, 2019
4:00 PM to 6:00 PM


5-Minute Interval Summary
4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Interval } \\ \text { Start } \\ \text { Time } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Northbound SE Ten Eyck Rd |  |  |  | Southbound SE Ten Eyck Rd |  |  |  | Eastbound Hwy 26 |  |  |  | Westbound Hwy 26 |  |  |  | Interval Total | Pedestrians Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes |  | North | South | East | West |
| 4:00 PM | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 11 | 0 | 8 | 58 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 49 | 2 | 0 | 154 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:05 PM | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 12 | 63 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 53 | 3 | 0 | 164 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:10 PM | 7 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 12 | 76 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 1 | 0 | 195 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 14 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 18 | 71 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 1 | 0 | 199 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:20 PM | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 9 | 75 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 7 | 0 | 189 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:25 PM | 12 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 12 | 61 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 168 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 11 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 17 | 87 | 16 | 1 | 1 | 58 | 1 | 0 | 213 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:35 PM | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 59 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 3 | 0 | 172 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:40 PM | 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 54 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 147 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 71 | 15 | 1 | 3 | 51 | 3 | 0 | 173 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:50 PM | 13 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 19 | 74 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 181 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:55 PM | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 10 | 67 | 14 | 0 | 3 | 57 | 1 | 0 | 173 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 13 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 14 | 0 | 12 | 81 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 1 | 0 | 190 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:05 PM | 12 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 14 | 66 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 3 | 1 | 188 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:10 PM | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 13 | 60 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 2 | 0 | 181 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 8 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 9 | 70 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 1 | 0 | 175 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:20 PM | 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 10 | 0 | 15 | 73 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 1 | 0 | 167 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:25 PM | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 14 | 74 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 166 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 15 | 64 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 148 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:35 PM | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 17 | 50 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 132 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:40 PM | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 11 | 56 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 1 | 0 | 117 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 5:45 PM | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 14 | 76 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 41 | 1 | 0 | 159 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:50 PM | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 14 | 69 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 148 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:55 PM | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 16 | 65 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 1 | 0 | 159 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Survey | 210 | 24 | 18 | 1 | 81 | 33 | 199 | 0 | 307 | 1,620 | 258 | 3 | 13 | 1,262 | 33 | 1 | 4,058 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 2 |

15-Minute Interval Summary
4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

| Interval Start Time | Northbound SE Ten Eyck Rd |  |  |  | Southbound SE Ten Eyck Rd |  |  |  | Eastbound Hwy 26 |  |  |  | Westbound Hwy 26 |  |  |  | Interval Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes |  |
| 4:00 PM | 21 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 12 | 4 | 33 | 0 | 32 | 197 | 31 | 0 | 2 | 167 | 6 | 0 | 513 |
| 4:15 PM | 35 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 16 | 2 | 30 | 0 | 39 | 207 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 176 | 8 | 0 | 556 |
| 4:30 PM | 33 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 25 | 0 | 30 | 200 | 39 | 1 | 2 | 180 | 4 | 0 | 532 |
| 4:45 PM | 28 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 21 | 0 | 42 | 212 | 37 | 1 | 6 | 164 | 4 | 0 | 527 |
| 5:00 PM | 33 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 7 | 28 | 0 | 39 | 207 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 185 | 6 | 1 | 559 |
| 5:15 PM | 25 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 11 | 8 | 26 | 0 | 38 | 217 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 143 | 2 | 0 | 508 |
| 5:30 PM | 14 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 19 | 0 | 43 | 170 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 113 | 1 | 0 | 397 |
| 5:45 PM | 21 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 17 | 0 | 44 | 210 | 24 | 0 | 3 | 134 | 2 | 0 | 466 |
| Total Survey | 210 | 24 | 18 | 1 | 81 | 33 | 199 | 0 | 307 | 1,620 | 258 | 3 | 13 | 1,262 | 33 | 1 | 4,058 |


| Pedestrians <br> Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North | South | East | West |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 2 | 0 | 2 |

Peak Hour Summary
4:10 PM to 5:10 PM

| By | Northbound SE Ten Eyck Rd |  |  |  | Southbound SE Ten Eyck Rd |  |  |  | Eastbound Hwy 26 |  |  |  | Westbound Hwy 26 |  |  |  | Total | Pedestrians Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes |  | North | South | East | West |
| Volume | 156 | 170 | 326 | 0 | 161 | 185 | 346 | 0 | 1,140 | 941 | 2,081 | 2 | 731 | 892 | 1,623 | 1 | 2,188 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \%HV | 1.3\% |  |  |  | 5.6\% |  |  |  | 3.0\% |  |  |  | 6.6\% |  |  |  | 4.3\% |  |  |  |  |
| PHF | 0.87 |  |  |  | 0.79 |  |  |  | 0.95 |  |  |  | 0.92 |  |  |  | 0.94 |  |  |  |  |
| ByMovement | Northbound SE Ten Eyck Rd |  |  |  | Southbound SE Ten Eyck Rd |  |  |  | Eastbound Hwy 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Westbound Hwy 26 | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | L | T | R | Total |  |  |  |  |  | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total |  |  |  |  |
| Volume | 128 | 15 | 13 | 156 | 37 | 13 | 111 | 161 | 149 | 842 | 149 | 1,140 | 8 | 702 | 21 | 731 | 2,188 |  |  |  |  |
| \%HV | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.1\% | 5.6\% | 4.0\% | 3.0\% | 2.0\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.7\% | 4.8\% | 6.6\% | 4.3\% |  |  |  |  |
| PHF | 0.84 | 0.63 | 0.65 | 0.87 | 0.58 | 0.65 | 0.75 | 0.79 | 0.89 | 0.94 | 0.85 | 0.95 | 0.33 | 0.93 | 0.58 | 0.92 | 0.94 |  |  |  |  |

## Rolling Hour Summary

4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

| Interval Start Time | Northbound SE Ten Eyck Rd |  |  |  | Southbound SE Ten Eyck Rd |  |  |  | Eastbound Hwy 26 |  |  |  | Westbound Hwy 26 |  |  |  | Interval Total | Pedestrians Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes |  | North | South | East | West |
| 4:00 PM | 117 | 11 | 13 | 0 | 42 | 12 | 109 | 0 | 143 | 816 | 146 | 2 | 10 | 687 | 22 | 0 | 2,128 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 129 | 13 | 10 | 0 | 42 | 15 | 104 | 0 | 150 | 826 | 150 | 2 | 8 | 705 | 22 | 1 | 2,174 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 119 | 15 | 10 | 1 | 37 | 21 | 100 | 0 | 149 | 836 | 143 | 2 | 8 | 672 | 16 | 1 | 2,126 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 100 | 14 | 6 | 1 | 42 | 18 | 94 | 0 | 162 | 806 | 125 | 2 | 6 | 605 | 13 | 1 | 1,991 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 5:00 PM | 93 | 13 | 5 | 1 | 39 | 21 | 90 | 0 | 164 | 804 | 112 | 1 | 3 | 575 | 11 | 1 | 1,930 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 2 |

Out 58
In 34


## SE Ten Eyck Rd \& Hwy 26

Tuesday, March 19, 2019
4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

Heavy Vehicle 5-Minute Interval Summary
4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

| Interval Start Time | Northbound SE Ten Eyck Rd |  |  |  | Southbound SE Ten Eyck Rd |  |  |  | Eastbound Hwy 26 |  |  |  | Westbound Hwy 26 |  |  |  | Interval Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 11 | 15 |
| 4:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 11 |
| 4:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 10 |
| 4:15 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 12 |
| 4:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 12 |
| 4:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 11 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 6 |
| 4:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| 4:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 6 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 6 |
| 4:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 7 |
| 4:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| 5:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 7 |
| 5:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 8 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| 5:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 6 |
| 5:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 7 |
| 5:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 6 |
| 5:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 5:45 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 6 |
| 5:50 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 7 |
| 5:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 8 |
| Total Survey | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 53 | 5 | 68 | 0 | 91 | 3 | 94 | 176 |

Heavy Vehicle 15-Minute Interval Summary 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Interval } \\ \text { Start } \\ \text { Time } \end{gathered}$ | Northbound SE Ten Eyck Rd |  |  |  | SouthboundSE Ten Eyck Rd |  |  |  | Eastbound Hwy 26 |  |  |  | Westbound Hwy 26 |  |  |  | Interval Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 21 | 2 | 23 | 36 |
| 4:15 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 11 | 1 | 15 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 13 | 35 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 17 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 11 | 18 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 21 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 13 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 15 |
| 5:45 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 21 |
| Total Survey | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 53 | 5 | 68 | 0 | 91 | 3 | 94 | 176 |

Heavy Vehicle Peak Hour Summary
4:10 PM to 5:10 PM

| By <br> Approach | Northbound SE Ten Eyck Rd |  |  | Southbound SE Ten Eyck Rd |  |  | Eastbound Hwy 26 |  |  | Westbound Hwy 26 |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |  |
| Volume | 2 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 7 | 16 | 34 | 58 | 92 | 48 | 25 | 73 | 93 |
| PHF | 0.25 |  |  | 0.45 |  |  | 0.57 |  |  | 0.71 |  |  | 0.66 |


| By Movement | Northbound SE Ten Eyck Rd |  |  |  | Southbound SE Ten Eyck Rd |  |  |  | Eastbound Hwy 26 |  |  |  | Westbound Hwy 26 |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total |  |
| Volume | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 6 | 25 | 3 | 34 | 0 | 47 | 1 | 48 | 93 |
| PHF | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.50 | 0.57 | 0.38 | 0.57 | 0.00 | 0.73 | 0.25 | 0.71 | 0.66 |

Heavy Vehicle Rolling Hour Summary
4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Interval } \\ \text { Start } \\ \text { Time } \end{gathered}$ | Northbound SE Ten Eyck Rd |  |  |  | Southbound SE Ten Eyck Rd |  |  |  | Eastbound Hwy 26 |  |  |  | Westbound Hwy 26 |  |  |  | Interval Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total |  |
| 4:00 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 10 | 6 | 29 | 2 | 37 | 0 | 54 | 3 | 57 | 106 |
| 4:15 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 7 | 26 | 3 | 36 | 0 | 43 | 1 | 44 | 91 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 19 | 2 | 26 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 39 | 69 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 22 | 3 | 30 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 36 | 67 |
| 5:00 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 24 | 3 | 31 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 37 | 70 |




5-Minute Interval Summary
7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

| Interval Start Time | Northbound Hwy 211 |  |  |  | Southbound Hwy 211 |  |  |  | Eastbound Dubarko Rd |  |  |  | Westbound Dubarko Rd |  |  |  | Interval Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes |  |
| 7:00 AM | 2 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 38 |
| 7:05 AM | 3 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 45 |
| 7:10 AM | 5 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 64 |
| 7:15 AM | 5 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 57 |
| 7:20 AM | 8 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 52 |
| 7:25 AM | 1 | 23 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 56 |
| 7:30 AM | 3 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 50 |
| 7:35 AM | 2 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 61 |
| 7:40 AM | 2 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 51 |
| 7:45 AM | 4 | 20 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 46 |
| 7:50 AM | 5 | 15 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 47 |
| 7:55 AM | 1 | 21 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 49 |
| 8:00 AM | 3 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 43 |
| 8:05 AM | 2 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 35 |
| 8:10 AM | 2 | 19 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 45 |
| 8:15 AM | 3 | 27 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 46 |
| 8:20 AM | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 34 |
| 8:25 AM | 6 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 29 |
| 8:30 AM | 3 | 27 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 53 |
| 8:35 AM | 1 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 36 |
| 8:40 AM | 0 | 19 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 42 |
| 8:45 AM | 1 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 51 |
| 8:50 AM | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 40 |
| 8:55 AM | 4 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 51 |
| Total Survey | 66 | 474 | 22 | 0 | 6 | 269 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 22 | 45 | 0 | 78 | 68 | 55 | 0 | 1,121 |


| Pedestrians <br> Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North | South | East | West |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

## 15-Minute Interval Summary

7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

| Interval Start Time | Northbound Hwy 211 |  |  |  | Southbound Hwy 211 |  |  |  | Eastbound Dubarko Rd |  |  |  | Westbound Dubarko Rd |  |  |  | Interval Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes |  |
| 7:00 AM | 10 | 61 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 11 | 9 | 14 | 0 | 147 |
| 7:15 AM | 14 | 68 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 35 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 13 | 8 | 10 | 0 | 165 |
| 7:30 AM | 7 | 63 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 35 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 14 | 0 | 16 | 18 | 3 | 0 | 162 |
| 7:45 AM | 10 | 56 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 11 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 142 |
| 8:00 AM | 7 | 50 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 12 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 123 |
| 8:15 AM | 9 | 54 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 109 |
| 8:30 AM | 4 | 60 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 131 |
| 8:45 AM | 5 | 62 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 34 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 0 | 142 |
| Total Survey | 66 | 474 | 22 | 0 | 6 | 269 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 22 | 45 | 0 | 78 | 68 | 55 | 0 | 1,121 |


| Pedestrians <br> Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North | South | East | West |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

Peak Hour Summary
7:05 AM to 8:05 AM

| By <br> Approach | Northbound Hwy 211 |  |  |  | Southbound Hwy 211 |  |  |  | Eastbound Dubarko Rd |  |  |  | Westbound Dubarko Rd |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes |  |
| Volume | 301 | 229 | 530 | 0 | 151 | 286 | 437 | 0 | 48 | 81 | 129 | 0 | 121 | 25 | 146 | 0 | 621 |
| \%HV | 5.3\% |  |  |  | 9.9\% |  |  |  | 6.3\% |  |  |  | 4.1\% |  |  |  | 6.3\% |
| PHF | 0.85 |  |  |  | 0.88 |  |  |  | 0.71 |  |  |  | 0.82 |  |  |  | 0.90 |
| By <br> Movement | Northbound Hwy 211 |  |  |  | Southbound Hwy 211 |  |  |  | Eastbound Dubarko Rd |  |  |  | Westbound Dubarko Rd |  |  |  | Total |
|  | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total |  |
| Volume | 42 | 246 | 13 | 301 | 4 | 145 | 2 | 151 | 8 | 8 | 32 | 48 | 52 | 37 | 32 | 121 | 621 |
| \%HV | 2.4\% | 5.7\% | 7.7\% | 5.3\% | 25.0\% | 9.7\% | 0.0\% | 9.9\% | 12.5\% | 0.0\% | 6.3\% | 6.3\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 12.5\% | 4.1\% | 6.3\% |
| PHF | 0.58 | 0.82 | 0.41 | 0.85 | 0.33 | 0.86 | 0.50 | 0.88 | 0.67 | 0.50 | 0.53 | 0.71 | 0.81 | 0.51 | 0.50 | 0.82 | 0.90 |



Rolling Hour Summary
7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Interval } \\ & \text { Start } \\ & \text { Time } \end{aligned}$ | Northbound Hwy 211 |  |  |  | Southbound Hwy 211 |  |  |  | Eastbound Dubarko Rd |  |  |  | Westbound <br> Dubarko Rd |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Interval } \\ \text { Total } \end{gathered}$ | Pedestrians Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes |  | North | South | East | West |
| 7:00 AM | 41 | 248 | 13 | 0 | 4 | 141 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 31 | 0 | 51 | 41 | 30 | 0 | 616 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM | 38 | 237 | 13 | 0 | 5 | 136 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 8 | 32 | 0 | 52 | 37 | 22 | 0 | 592 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 33 | 223 | 13 | 0 | 3 | 127 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 9 | 25 | 0 | 42 | 36 | 16 | 0 | 536 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:45 AM | 30 | 220 | 15 | 0 | 2 | 133 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 10 | 13 | 0 | 31 | 25 | 19 | 0 | 505 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:00 AM | 25 | 226 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 128 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 15 | 14 | 0 | 27 | 27 | 25 | 0 | 505 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Out 1
In 3

Hwy 211 \& Dubarko Rd
Wednesday, March 20, 2019
7:00 AM to 9:00 AM


Heavy Vehicle 5-Minute Interval Summary
7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

| Interval Start Time | Northbound Hwy 211 |  |  |  | Southbound Hwy 211 |  |  |  | Eastbound Dubarko Rd |  |  |  | Westbound Dubarko Rd |  |  |  | Interval Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 7:05 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 7:10 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 7:20 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| 7:25 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 7:35 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 7:40 AM | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 7:50 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:55 AM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 8:05 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| 8:10 AM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| 8:15 AM | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 8:20 AM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 8:25 AM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 8:35 AM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 8:40 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 8:50 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 8:55 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| Total Survey | 2 | 31 | 1 | 34 | 1 | 31 | 0 | 32 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 10 | 80 |

Heavy Vehicle 15-Minute Interval Summary
7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

| Interval Start Time | Northbound Hwy 211 |  |  |  | Southbound Hwy 211 |  |  |  | Eastbound Dubarko Rd |  |  |  | Westbound Dubarko Rd |  |  |  | Interval Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 6 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 8 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 11 |
| 7:45 AM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 16 |
| 8:15 AM | 1 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| Total Survey | 2 | 31 | 1 | 34 | 1 | 31 | 0 | 32 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 10 | 80 |

Heavy Vehicle Peak Hour Summary
7:05 AM to 8:05 AM

| By <br> Approach | Northbound Hwy 211 |  |  | Southbound Hwy 211 |  |  | Eastbound Dubarko Rd |  |  | Westbound Dubarko Rd |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |  |
| Volume | 16 | 17 | 33 | 15 | 19 | 34 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 39 |
| PHF | 0.57 |  |  | 0.63 |  |  | 0.38 |  |  | 0.42 |  |  | 0.81 |


| By Movement | Northbound Hwy 211 |  |  |  | Southbound Hwy 211 |  |  |  | Eastbound Dubarko Rd |  |  |  | Westbound Dubarko Rd |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total |  |
| Volume | 1 | 14 | 1 | 16 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 39 |
| PHF | 0.25 | 0.58 | 0.25 | 0.57 | 0.25 | 0.58 | 0.00 | 0.63 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.38 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 0.42 | 0.81 |

Heavy Vehicle Rolling Hour Summary
7:00 AM to 9:00 AM

| Interval Start <br> Time | Northbound Hwy 211 |  |  |  | Southbound Hwy 211 |  |  |  | Eastbound Dubarko Rd |  |  |  | Westbound Dubarko Rd |  |  |  | Interval Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total |  |
| 7:00 AM | 1 | 8 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 32 |
| 7:15 AM | 1 | 14 | 1 | 16 | 1 | 16 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 42 |
| 7:30 AM | 2 | 19 | 1 | 22 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 46 |
| 7:45 AM | 2 | 21 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 49 |
| 8:00 AM | 1 | 23 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 48 |




5-Minute Interval Summary
4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

| Interval Start Time | Northbound Hwy 211 |  |  |  | Southbound Hwy 211 |  |  |  | Eastbound Dubarko Rd |  |  |  | Westbound Dubarko Rd |  |  |  | Interval Total | Pedestrians Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes |  | North | South | East | West |
| 4:00 PM | 4 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 25 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 4:05 PM | 4 | 28 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:10 PM | 10 | 17 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 4 | 20 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:20 PM | 6 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 60 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:25 PM | 5 | 16 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 4 | 22 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 67 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:35 PM | 2 | 23 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:40 PM | 2 | 17 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 10 | 23 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 29 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:50 PM | 3 | 22 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:55 PM | 4 | 20 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 4 | 17 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:05 PM | 2 | 24 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:10 PM | 8 | 24 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 4 | 13 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:20 PM | 1 | 19 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 29 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:25 PM | 5 | 14 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 5 | 19 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:35 PM | 5 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:40 PM | 5 | 19 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 4 | 15 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:50 PM | 4 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:55 PM | 5 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Survey | 110 | 439 | 103 | 0 | 18 | 534 | 22 | 0 | 18 | 101 | 99 | 1 | 45 | 77 | 45 | 1 | 1,611 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

15-Minute Interval Summary
4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

| Interva Start Time | Northbound Hwy 211 |  |  |  | Southbound Hwy 211 |  |  |  | Eastbound Dubarko Rd |  |  |  | Westbound Dubarko Rd |  |  |  | Interval Total | Pedestrians Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes |  | North | South | East | West |
| 4:00 PM | 18 | 59 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 75 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 12 | 0 | 7 | 13 | 8 | 0 | 217 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 15 | 48 | 11 | 0 | 4 | 55 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 13 | 11 | 1 | 8 | 16 | 6 | 0 | 197 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 8 | 62 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 192 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 17 | 65 | 16 | 0 | 3 | 68 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 14 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 217 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 14 | 65 | 15 | 0 | 2 | 75 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 21 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 10 | 0 | 230 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 10 | 46 | 16 | 0 | 2 | 65 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 14 | 0 | 8 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 189 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 15 | 53 | 14 | 0 | 2 | 72 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 18 | 14 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 204 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 13 | 41 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 54 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 14 | 9 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 165 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Survey | 110 | 439 | 103 | 0 | 18 | 534 | 22 | 0 | 18 | 101 | 99 | 1 | 45 | 77 | 45 | 1 | 1,611 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

Peak Hour Summary
4:05 PM to 5:05 PM

| By <br> Approach | Northbound Hwy 211 |  |  |  | Southbound Hwy 211 |  |  |  | Eastbound Dubarko Rd |  |  |  | Westbound Dubarko Rd |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes | In | Out | Total | Bikes |  |
| Volume | 347 | 362 | 709 | 0 | 306 | 273 | 579 | 0 | 108 | 117 | 225 | 1 | 98 | 107 | 205 | 0 | 859 |
| \%HV | 2.0\% |  |  |  | 4.6\% |  |  |  | 0.9\% |  |  |  | 5.1\% |  |  |  | 3.1\% |
| PHF | 0.89 |  |  |  | 0.89 |  |  |  | 0.82 |  |  |  | 0.72 |  |  |  | 0.94 |
| By <br> Movement | Northbound Hwy 211 |  |  |  | Southbound Hwy 211 |  |  |  | Eastbound Dubarko Rd |  |  |  | Westbound Dubarko Rd |  |  |  | Total |
|  | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total |  |
| Volume | 58 | 237 | 52 | 347 | 10 | 285 | 11 | 306 | 11 | 45 | 52 | 108 | 25 | 48 | 25 | 98 | 859 |
| \%HV | 3.4\% | 1.7\% | 1.9\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.9\% | 0.0\% | 4.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 0.9\% | 4.0\% | 2.1\% | 12.0\% | 5.1\% | 3.1\% |
| PHF | 0.73 | 0.91 | 0.72 | 0.89 | 0.63 | 0.88 | 0.55 | 0.89 | 0.39 | 0.63 | 0.65 | 0.82 | 0.52 | 0.75 | 0.78 | 0.72 | 0.94 |



Rolling Hour Summary
4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

| Interval Start | Northbound Hwy 211 |  |  |  | Southbound Hwy 211 |  |  |  | Eastbound Dubarko Rd |  |  |  | Westbound Dubarko Rd |  |  |  | Interval Total | Pedestrians Crosswalk |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes | L | T | R | Bikes |  | North | South | East | West |
| 4:00 PM | 58 | 234 | 46 | 0 | 11 | 268 | 12 | 0 | 11 | 45 | 41 | 1 | 26 | 47 | 24 | 0 | 823 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 54 | 240 | 56 | 0 | 9 | 268 | 12 | 0 | 11 | 46 | 50 | 1 | 23 | 41 | 26 | 0 | 836 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 49 | 238 | 61 | 0 | 7 | 278 | 12 | 0 | 6 | 42 | 53 | 0 | 23 | 36 | 23 | 0 | 828 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 56 | 229 | 61 | 0 | 9 | 280 | 10 | 0 | 6 | 54 | 63 | 0 | 19 | 33 | 20 | 1 | 840 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 52 | 205 | 57 | 0 | 7 | 266 | 10 | 0 | 7 | 56 | 58 | 0 | 19 | 30 | 21 | 1 | 788 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Out 3
In

Hwy 211 \& Dubarko Rd
Tuesday, March 19, 2019
4:00 PM to 6:00 PM


Heavy Vehicle 5-Minute Interval Summary
4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

| Interval Start Time | Northbound Hwy 211 |  |  |  | Southbound Hwy 211 |  |  |  | Eastbound Dubarko Rd |  |  |  | Westbound Dubarko Rd |  |  |  | Interval Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| 4:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 4:10 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 4:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 4:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| 4:35 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| 4:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 4:50 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 4:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 5:05 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:15 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:25 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:40 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| Total Survey | 3 | 9 | 2 | 14 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 47 |

Heavy Vehicle 15-Minute Interval Summary 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

| Interval Start Time | Northbound Hwy 211 |  |  |  | Southbound Hwy 211 |  |  |  | Eastbound Dubarko Rd |  |  |  | Westbound Dubarko Rd |  |  |  | Interval Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total |  |
| 4:00 PM | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 11 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 9 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 7 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 5:15 PM | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| Total Survey | 3 | 9 | 2 | 14 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 47 |

Heavy Vehicle Peak Hour Summary
4:05 PM to 5:05 PM

| By <br> Approach | Northbound Hwy 211 |  |  | Southbound Hwy 211 |  |  | Eastbound Dubarko Rd |  |  | Westbound Dubarko Rd |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |  |
| Volume | 7 | 16 | 23 | 14 | 7 | 21 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 27 |
| PHF | 0.58 |  |  | 0.58 |  |  | 0.25 |  |  | 0.42 |  |  | 0.68 |


| By Movement | Northbound Hwy 211 |  |  |  | Southbound Hwy 211 |  |  |  | Eastbound Dubarko Rd |  |  |  | Westbound Dubarko Rd |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total |  |
| Volume | 2 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 27 |
| PHF | 0.25 | 0.50 | 0.25 | 0.58 | 0.00 | 0.58 | 0.00 | 0.58 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.38 | 0.42 | 0.68 |

Heavy Vehicle Rolling Hour Summary
4:00 PM to 6:00 PM

| Interval Start Time | Northbound Hwy 211 |  |  |  | Southbound Hwy 211 |  |  |  | Eastbound Dubarko Rd |  |  |  | Westbound Dubarko Rd |  |  |  | Interval Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total |  |
| 4:00 PM | 2 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 31 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 25 |
| 4:30 PM | 1 | 6 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 20 |
| 4:45 PM | 1 | 6 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 5:00 PM | 1 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 16 |



| HWY | MP | DIR | HS | Location | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2036 | RSQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 026 | 22.72 | 1 |  | 0.02 mile northwest of S.E. 362nd Drive, west city limits of Sandy |  | 29500 |  | 41400 | MODEL |
| 026 | 23.85 | 1 |  | 0.02 mile west of Bluff Road |  | 30100 |  | 42600 | MODEL |
| 026 | 23.89 | 1 |  | 0.02 mile east of Bluff Road |  | 15100 |  | 21600 | MODEL |
| 026 | 24.02 | 1 |  | 0.02 mile west of Beers Avenue |  | 15100 |  | 21600 | MODEL |
| 026 | 24.35 | 1 |  | 0.05 mile west of Eagle Creek-Sandy Highway (OR211) |  | 14800 |  | 21600 | MODEL |
| 026 | 24.42 | 1 |  | 0.02 mile east of Eagle Creek-Sandy Highway (OR211) |  | 12000 |  | 17100 | MODEL |
| 026 | 24.59 | 1 |  | 0.02 mile west of Ten Eyck Road |  | 11200 |  | 16000 | MODEL |
| 026 | 23.89 | 2 | W | 0.02 mile east of Bluff Road |  | 15200 |  | 21300 | MODEL |
| 026 | 24.04 | 2 | W | 0.02 mile west of Beers Avenue |  | 15200 |  | 21300 | MODEL |
| 026 | 24.36 | 2 | W | 0.05 mile west of Eagle Creek-Sandy Highway (OR211) |  | 14500 |  | 20700 | MODEL |
| 026 | 24.40 | 2 | W | 0.02 mile east of Eagle Creek-Sandy Highway (OR211) |  | 12100 |  | 16900 | MODEL |
| 026 | 24.61 | 2 | W | 0.02 mile west of Ten Eyck Road |  | 11700 |  | 16400 | MODEL |
| 026 | 25.10 | 1 |  | 0.02 mile west of Langensand Road |  | 18000 |  | 25400 | MODEL |
| 026 | 25.66 | 1 |  | 0.10 mile east of Vista Loop Drive |  | 19700 |  | 27600 | MODEL |



| Location: | US26; MP 46.38; MT. HOOD HIGHWAY NO. 26; 0.30 mile east of Camp Creek Rd <br> (USFS 28) | Site Name: | Rhododendron (03-006) |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |

HISTORICAL TRAFFIC DATA

|  |  | Percent of AADT |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Year | AADT | Max <br> Day | Max <br> Hour | 10TH <br> Hour | 20TH <br> Hour | 30TH <br> Hour |  |
| 2008 | 8162 | 233 | 22.9 | 20.1 | 19.1 | 18.2 |  |
| 2009 | 8737 | 197 | 22.3 | 19.6 | 18.4 | 17.8 |  |
| 2010 | 8714 | 207 | 21.6 | 19.8 | 18.9 | 18.5 |  |
| 2011 | 8330 | 214 | 24.7 | 20.0 | 18.6 | 18.1 |  |
| 2012 | 8480 | 227 | 24.0 | 21.0 | 20.2 | 19.4 |  |
| 2013 | 8527 | 213 | 23.4 | 21.1 | 20.3 | 19.1 |  |
| 2014 | 8652 | 216 | 23.2 | 21.1 | 20.3 | 19.2 |  |
| 2015 | 8861 | 242 | 21.4 | 20.3 | 19.4 | 18.7 |  |
| 2016 | 10071 | 208 | 22.9 | 19.6 | 18.8 | 17.9 |  |
| 2017 | 10223 | 200 | 19.9 | 19.1 | 18.1 | 17.5 |  |



2017 TRAFFIC DATA

|  | Average <br> Weekday <br> Traffic | Percent <br> of AADT | Average <br> Daily <br> Traffic | Percent <br> of AADT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| January | 6744 | 66 | 9080 | 89 |
| February | 6533 | 64 | 9496 | 93 |
| March | 6763 | 66 | 9337 | 91 |
| April | 6166 | 60 | 8675 | 85 |
| May | 7675 | 75 | 9598 | 94 |
| June | 8568 | 84 | 10695 | 105 |
| July | 11291 | 110 | 13874 | 136 |
| August | 11738 | 115 | 13623 | 133 |
| September | 11300 | 111 | 12734 | 125 |
| October | 6589 | 64 | 8087 | 79 |
| November | 5493 | 54 | 7313 | 72 |
| December | 8753 | 86 | 10161 | 99 |

For Vehicle Classification data near your project, please go to the following web page: https://www.oregon.gov/ODOT/Data /Documents/TVT 2017.xlsx

| Location: | OR35; MP 57.79; MT. HOOD HIGHWAY NO. 26; 0.02 mile east of Warm Springs <br> Highway No. 53 (US26) | Site Name: | Mt. Hood Meadows (03-007) |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Installed: | September, 1995 |  |

HISTORICAL TRAFFIC DATA

|  |  | Percent of AADT |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | AADT | Max <br> Day | Max <br> Hour | $\mathbf{1 0 T H}$ <br> Hour | 20TH <br> Hour | 30TH <br> Hour |  |
| 2008 | 1854 | 398 | 56.8 | 44.2 | 39.9 | 36.1 |  |
| 2009 | 2130 | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |  |
| 2010 | 2145 | 374 | 49.2 | 39.5 | 34.8 | 33.2 |  |
| 2011 | 1976 | 476 | 79.2 | 49.1 | 45.0 | 39.1 |  |
| 2012 | 2023 | 452 | 65.4 | 43.4 | 40.3 | 37.7 |  |
| 2013 | 1868 | 427 | 68.1 | 48.7 | 42.0 | 37.1 |  |
| 2014 | 1908 | 400 | 60.0 | 41.9 | 37.4 | 33.6 |  |
| 2015 | 1931 | 393 | 50.4 | 38.6 | 34.4 | 32.6 |  |
| 2016 | 2455 | 366 | 55.9 | 38.3 | 33.1 | 31.2 |  |
| 2017 | 2565 | 340 | 52.1 | 37.7 | 32.5 | 31.3 |  |



2017 TRAFFIC DATA

|  | Average <br> Weekday <br> Traffic | Percent <br> of AADT | Average <br> Daily <br> Traffic | Percent <br> of AADT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| January | 2449 | 95 | 3616 | 141 |
| February | 1978 | 77 | 3362 | 131 |
| March | 1781 | 69 | 2833 | 110 |
| April | 1116 | 44 | 2050 | 80 |
| May | 1202 | 47 | 1609 | 63 |
| June | 1794 | 70 | 2070 | 81 |
| July | 2405 | 94 | 2837 | 111 |
| August | 2302 | 90 | 2614 | 102 |
| September | 3956 | 154 | 3993 | 156 |
| October | 1387 | 54 | 1614 | 63 |
| November | 768 | 30 | 1156 | 45 |
| December | 2499 | 97 | 2966 | 116 |

For Vehicle Classification data near your project, please go to the following web page:
https://www.oregon.gov/ODOT/Data /Documents/TVT_2017.xlsx
(
(

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
1: Highway 211/Meinig Ave \& Pioneer Blvd
08/11/2020

c Critical Lane Group

|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 「 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 40 | 723 | 134 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 235 | 131 | 10 | 68 | 0 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 40 | 723 | 134 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 235 | 131 | 10 | 68 | 0 |
| Initial $\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{Qb})$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 0.98 | 0.99 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  |  |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1428 | 1428 | 1428 |  |  |  | 0 | 1514 | 1514 | 1452 | 1452 | 0 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 43 | 777 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 253 | 141 | 11 | 73 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 |  |  |  | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 12 | 12 | 12 |  |  |  | 0 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 0 |
| Cap, veh/h | 97 | 1845 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 303 | 252 | 110 | 291 | 0 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 139 | 2638 | 1210 |  |  |  | 0 | 1514 | 1257 | 763 | 1452 | 0 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 439 | 381 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 253 | 141 | 11 | 73 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln | 1421 | 1356 | 1210 |  |  |  | 0 | 1514 | 1257 | 763 | 1452 | 0 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 12.1 | 10.6 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 14.4 | 9.1 | 1.3 | 3.8 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear (g_c), s | 12.1 | 10.6 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 14.4 | 9.1 | 15.7 | 3.8 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 0.10 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap (c), veh/h | 994 | 949 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 303 | 252 | 110 | 291 | 0 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.44 | 0.40 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.83 | 0.56 | 0.10 | 0.25 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 994 | 949 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 530 | 440 | 225 | 508 | 0 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 5.9 | 5.6 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 34.6 | 32.4 | 42.1 | 30.3 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 1.4 | 1.3 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 6.0 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ ( $50 \%$ ),veh/In | 3.5 | 2.9 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 5.6 | 2.8 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 0.0 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 7.3 | 6.9 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 40.5 | 34.4 | 42.5 | 30.7 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | A | A |  |  |  |  | A | D | C | D | C | A |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 820 | A |  |  |  |  | 394 |  |  | 84 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 7.1 |  |  |  |  |  | 38.3 |  |  | 32.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  |  |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs |  | 2 |  | 4 |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s |  | 67.5 |  | 22.5 |  |  |  | 22.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s |  | 4.5 |  | 4.5 |  |  |  | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s |  | 49.5 |  | 31.5 |  |  |  | 31.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+1), s |  | 14.1 |  | 16.4 |  |  |  | 17.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s |  | 6.5 |  | 1.6 |  |  |  | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay |  |  | 18.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for [EBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\neq 1$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 11 | 30 | 336 | 11 | 7 | 199 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 11 | 30 | 336 | 11 | 7 | 199 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 3 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 10 |
| Mvmt Flow | 12 | 33 | 365 | 12 | 8 | 216 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 609 | 377 | 0 | 0 | 380 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 374 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stage 2 | 235 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | - |  | 4.12 |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 |  | - | - | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | - |  | 2.218 |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 458 | 670 | - |  | 1178 |  |
| Stage 1 | 696 | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 804 |  | - | - | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 452 | 666 | - | - | 1175 |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 452 |  | - |  | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 694 |  | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 795 | - | - | - | - |  |


| Approach | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 11.6 | 0 | 0.3 |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT | NBRWBLn1 | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | -591 | 1175 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | -0.075 | 0.006 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | -11.6 | 8.1 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | B | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | - | 0.2 | 0 |
| H |  | - |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  |  | $\uparrow$ | F |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 35 | 9 | 3 | 301 | 187 | 12 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 35 | 9 | 3 | 301 | 187 | 12 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 5 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 38 | 10 | 3 | 327 | 203 | 13 |


| Major/Minor | Minor2 |  | Major1 | Major2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 547 | 214 | 218 | 0 | - | 0 |  |
| Stage 1 | 212 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 335 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | 4.12 | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | 2.218 | - | - | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 498 | 826 | 1352 | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 823 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 725 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  | - | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 495 | 823 | 1349 | - | - | - |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 495 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 819 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 724 | - | - | - | - | - |  |


| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 12.3 | 0.1 | 0 |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT EBLn1 | SBT | SBR |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1349 | -539 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.002 | -0.089 | - | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 7.7 | 0 | 12.3 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | B | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | 0.3 | - | - |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
1: Highway 211/Meinig Ave \& Pioneer Blvd
08/11/2020

c Critical Lane Group


## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for [EBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mi |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\neq 1$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 6 | 15 | 335 | 4 | 4 | 404 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 6 | 15 | 335 | 4 | 4 | 404 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 3 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| Mvmt Flow | 6 | 16 | 356 | 4 | 4 | 430 |


|  |  | Minor1 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Major/Minor | Major2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Conflicting Flow All | 802 | 364 | 0 | 0 | 363 | 0 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 361 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | 441 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | - | - | 4.12 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | - | -2.218 | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 353 | 681 | - | -1196 | - |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 705 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 648 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 349 | 677 | - | -1193 | - |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 349 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 703 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 643 | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 12 | 0 | 0.1 |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT | NBRWBLn1 | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | -534 | 1193 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | -0.042 | 0.004 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | - | 12 | 8 |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | B | A |
| HCM | A |  |  |  |
| 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | - | 0.1 | 0 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | Tr |  |  | $\uparrow$ | F |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 23 | 6 | 9 | 312 | 356 | 48 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 23 | 6 | 9 | 312 | 356 | 48 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 5 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 24 | 6 | 10 | 332 | 379 | 51 |


| Major/Minor | Minor2 | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 761 | 409 | 432 | 0 | - | 0 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 407 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 354 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | 4.12 | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | 2.218 | - | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 373 | 642 | 1128 | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 672 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | 710 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 367 | 640 | 1126 | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 367 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 663 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 709 | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 14.7 | 0.2 | 0 |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT EBLn1 | SBT | SBR |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1126 | -403 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.009 | -0.077 | - | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 8.2 | 0 | 14.7 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | B | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | 0.2 | - | - |

## Trip Generation Calculation Worksheet

Land Use Description: Multi-Family Housing (Low-Rise)
ITE Land Use Code: 220
Independent Variable: Dwelling Units
Quantity: 12 Dwelling Units

## Summary of ITE Trip Generation Data

AM Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic
Trip Rate: $\quad 0.46$ trips per dwelling unit
Directional Distribution: 23\% Entering 77\% Exiting

PM Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic

| Trip Rate: | 0.56 trips per dwelling unit |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Directional Distribution: | $63 \%$ Entering | $37 \%$ Exiting |
|  |  |  |
| Total Weekday Traffic |  |  |
| Trip Rate: $\quad 7.32$ trips per dwelling unit |  |  |
| Directional Distribution: | $50 \%$ Entering | $50 \%$ Exiting |

## Site Trip Generation Calculations

12 Dwelling Units

|  | Entering | Exiting | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM Peak Hour | 1 | 5 | 6 |
| PM Peak Hour | 4 | 3 | 7 |
| Weekday | 44 | 44 | 88 |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
1: Highway 211/Meinig Ave \& Pioneer Blvd
08/11/2020

c Critical Lane Group

|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | ${ }^{7}$ |  |  |  |  | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 42 | 767 | 141 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 253 | 141 | 11 | 71 | 0 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 42 | 767 | 141 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 253 | 141 | 11 | 71 | 0 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 0.98 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  |  |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1428 | 1428 | 1428 |  |  |  | 0 | 1514 | 1514 | 1452 | 1452 | 0 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 45 | 825 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 272 | 152 | 12 | 76 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 |  |  |  | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 12 | 12 | 12 |  |  |  | 0 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 0 |
| Cap, veh/h | 94 | 1812 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 323 | 269 | 111 | 310 | 0 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 137 | 2640 | 1210 |  |  |  | 0 | 1514 | 1259 | 745 | 1452 | 0 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 466 | 404 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 272 | 152 | 12 | 76 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/n | 1421 | 1356 | 1210 |  |  |  | 0 | 1514 | 1259 | 745 | 1452 | 0 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 13.8 | 12.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 15.5 | 9.7 | 1.4 | 3.9 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 13.8 | 12.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 15.5 | 9.7 | 16.9 | 3.9 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 0.10 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap (c), veh/h | 975 | 931 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 323 | 269 | 111 | 310 | 0 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.48 | 0.43 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.84 | 0.57 | 0.11 | 0.24 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 975 | 931 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 547 | 455 | 221 | 524 | 0 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(I) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 6.6 | 6.3 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 33.9 | 31.6 | 42.0 | 29.4 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 1.7 | 1.5 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 5.9 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 5.9 | 3.0 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 0.0 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 8.3 | 7.8 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 39.8 | 33.5 | 42.5 | 29.8 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | A | A |  |  |  |  | A | D | C | D | C | A |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 870 | A |  |  |  |  | 424 |  |  | 88 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 8.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 37.5 |  |  | 31.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  |  |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs |  | 2 |  | 4 |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s |  | 66.3 |  | 23.7 |  |  |  | 23.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s |  | 4.5 |  | 4.5 |  |  |  | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s |  | 48.5 |  | 32.5 |  |  |  | 32.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s |  | 15.8 |  | 17.5 |  |  |  | 18.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s |  | 6.9 |  | 1.7 |  |  |  | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrr Delay |  |  | 18.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for [EBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 11 | 30 | 364 | 11 | 7 | 209 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 11 | 30 | 364 | 11 | 7 | 209 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 3 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 10 |
| Mvmt Flow | 12 | 33 | 396 | 12 | 8 | 227 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 651 | 408 | 0 | 0 | 411 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 405 |  |  | - |  |  |
| Stage 2 | 246 |  |  | - |  |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | - | - | 4.12 |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 |  | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | - |  | 2.218 |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 433 | 643 | - | - | 1148 |  |
| Stage 1 | 673 |  | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 795 |  | - | - | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 427 | 639 | - | - | 1145 |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 427 |  | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 671 |  | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 786 | - | - | - | - |  |


| Approach | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 11.9 | 0 | 0.3 |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT | NBRWBLn1 | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | -564 | 1145 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | -0.079 | 0.007 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | -11.9 | 8.2 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | B | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | - | 0.3 | 0 |
| H |  | - |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  |  |  | F |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 35 | 9 | 3 |  | 197 | 12 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 35 | 9 | 3 | 329 | 197 | 12 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 5 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 38 | 10 | 3 | 358 | 214 | 13 |


| Major/Minor | Minor2 | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 589 | 225 | 229 | 0 | - | 0 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 223 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 366 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | 4.12 | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | 2.218 | - | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 471 | 814 | 1339 | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 814 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | 702 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 468 | 811 | 1336 | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 468 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 810 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 701 | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 12.8 | 0.1 | 0 |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT EBLn1 | SBT | SBR |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1336 | -512 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.002 | -0.093 | - | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 7.7 | 0 | 12.8 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | B | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | 0.3 | - | - |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
1: Highway 211/Meinig Ave \& Pioneer Blvd
08/11/2020

c Critical Lane Group

|  | $\Rightarrow$ | $\rightarrow$ |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\dagger_{\text {¢ }}$ | 「 |  |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ | F | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 78 | 1406 | 283 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 237 | 131 | 23 | 145 | 0 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 78 | 1406 | 283 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 237 | 131 | 23 | 145 | 0 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 0.98 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  |  |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1538 | 1538 | 1538 |  |  |  | 0 | 1550 | 1550 | 1514 | 1514 | 0 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 83 | 1496 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 252 | 139 | 24 | 154 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |  |  |  | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 3 | 3 | 3 |  |  |  | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| Cap, veh/h | 107 | 2026 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 318 | 265 | 106 | 311 | 0 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 150 | 2842 | 1304 |  |  |  | 0 | 1550 | 1288 | 802 | 1514 | 0 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 846 | 733 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 252 | 139 | 24 | 154 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/n | 1531 | 1461 | 1304 |  |  |  | 0 | 1550 | 1288 | 802 | 1514 | 0 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 39.0 | 31.8 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 17.0 | 10.6 | 3.2 | 9.9 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 39.0 | 31.8 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 17.0 | 10.6 | 20.2 | 9.9 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 0.10 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 1091 | 1042 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 318 | 265 | 106 | 311 | 0 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.78 | 0.70 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.79 | 0.53 | 0.23 | 0.50 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 1091 | 1042 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 388 | 322 | 142 | 378 | 0 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(I) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 10.1 | 9.1 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 41.5 | 38.9 | 51.1 | 38.7 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 5.4 | 4.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 8.9 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ (50\%),veh/ln | 13.2 | 10.1 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 7.1 | 3.4 | 0.7 | 3.8 | 0.0 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay (d),s/veh | 15.5 | 13.1 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 50.3 | 40.5 | 52.1 | 39.9 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | B | B |  |  |  |  | A | D | D | D | D | A |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 1579 | A |  |  |  |  | 391 |  |  | 178 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 14.4 |  |  |  |  |  | 46.9 |  |  | 41.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  |  |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs |  | 2 |  | 4 |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s |  | 82.9 |  | 27.1 |  |  |  | 27.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s |  | 4.5 |  | 4.5 |  |  |  | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s |  | 73.5 |  | 27.5 |  |  |  | 27.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s |  | 41.0 |  | 19.0 |  |  |  | 22.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s |  | 16.4 |  | 1.2 |  |  |  | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay |  |  | 22.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for [EBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mi |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 6 | 15 | 353 | 4 | 4 | 424 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 6 | 15 | 353 | 4 | 4 | 424 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 3 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| Mvmt Flow | 6 | 16 | 376 | 4 | 4 | 451 |


| Major/Minor M | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 843 | 384 | 0 | 0 | 383 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 381 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 462 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | - | - | 4.12 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 |  | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | - | - | 2.218 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 334 | 664 | - | - | 1175 | - |
| Stage 1 | 691 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 634 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 330 | 660 | - | - | 1172 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 330 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 689 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 629 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 12.3 |  | 0 |  | 0.1 |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 513 | 1172 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.044 | 0.004 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 12.3 | 8.1 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | B | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.1 | 0 | - |




HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
1: Highway 211/Meinig Ave \& Pioneer Blvd
08/11/2020

c Critical Lane Group

|  | $\rangle$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | $\stackrel{\square}{7}$ |  |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ | $\stackrel{\square}{7}$ | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 42 | 767 | 142 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 256 | 142 | 11 | 71 | 0 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 42 | 767 | 142 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 256 | 142 | 11 | 71 | 0 |
| Initial Q (Qb), veh | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 0.98 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  |  |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/n | 1428 | 1428 | 1428 |  |  |  | 0 | 1514 | 1514 | 1452 | 1452 | 0 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 45 | 825 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 275 | 153 | 12 | 76 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 |  |  |  | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 12 | 12 | 12 |  |  |  | 0 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 0 |
| Cap, veh/h | 94 | 1806 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 326 | 271 | 111 | 313 | 0 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 137 | 2640 | 1210 |  |  |  | 0 | 1514 | 1259 | 742 | 1452 | 0 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 466 | 404 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 275 | 153 | 12 | 76 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln | 1421 | 1356 | 1210 |  |  |  | 0 | 1514 | 1259 | 742 | 1452 | 0 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 13.8 | 12.1 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 15.7 | 9.8 | 1.4 | 3.9 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 13.8 | 12.1 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 15.7 | 9.8 | 17.1 | 3.9 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 0.10 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 972 | 928 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 326 | 271 | 111 | 313 | 0 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.48 | 0.44 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.84 | 0.56 | 0.11 | 0.24 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 972 | 928 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 547 | 455 | 219 | 524 | 0 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 6.7 | 6.4 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 33.8 | 31.5 | 42.0 | 29.2 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 1.7 | 1.5 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 6.0 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ( $50 \%$ ),veh/ln | 4.1 | 3.4 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 6.0 | 3.0 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 0.0 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 8.4 | 7.9 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 39.8 | 33.3 | 42.4 | 29.6 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | A | A |  |  |  |  | A | D | C | D | C | A |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 870 | A |  |  |  |  | 428 |  |  | 88 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 8.1 |  |  |  |  |  | 37.5 |  |  | 31.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  |  |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs |  | 2 |  | 4 |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), $s$ |  | 66.1 |  | 23.9 |  |  |  | 23.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $Y+R \mathrm{Cc}$ ), $s$ |  | 4.5 |  | 4.5 |  |  |  | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s |  | 48.5 |  | 32.5 |  |  |  | 32.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s |  | 15.8 |  | 17.7 |  |  |  | 19.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s |  | 6.9 |  | 1.7 |  |  |  | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl DelayHCM 6th LOS |  |  | 18.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for [EBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | MF |  | $\boldsymbol{F}$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 11 | 30 | 368 | 11 | 7 | 210 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 11 | 30 | 368 | 11 | 7 | 210 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 3 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 10 |
| Mvmt Flow | 12 | 33 | 400 | 12 | 8 | 228 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 656 | 412 | 0 | 0 | 415 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 409 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 247 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | - | - | 4.12 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | - | - | 2.218 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 430 | 640 | - | - | 1144 | - |
| Stage 1 | 671 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 794 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 424 | 636 | - | - | 1141 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 424 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 669 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 785 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 12 |  | 0 |  | 0.3 |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 561 | 1141 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.079 | 0.007 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 12 | 8.2 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | B | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.3 | 0 | - |




HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
1: Highway 211/Meinig Ave \& Pioneer Blvd
08/11/2020

c Critical Lane Group

|  | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\dagger_{\text {¢ }}$ | \% |  |  |  |  | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 78 | 1406 | 285 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 239 | 132 | 23 | 146 | 0 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 78 | 1406 | 285 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 239 | 132 | 23 | 146 | 0 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 0.98 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  |  |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/n | 1538 | 1538 | 1538 |  |  |  | 0 | 1550 | 1550 | 1514 | 1514 | 0 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 83 | 1496 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 254 | 140 | 24 | 155 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |  |  |  | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 3 | 3 | 3 |  |  |  | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| Cap, veh/h | 107 | 2022 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 320 | 266 | 106 | 313 | 0 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 150 | 2842 | 1304 |  |  |  | 0 | 1550 | 1288 | 800 | 1514 | 0 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 846 | 733 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 254 | 140 | 24 | 155 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln | 1531 | 1461 | 1304 |  |  |  | 0 | 1550 | 1288 | 800 | 1514 | 0 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 39.2 | 32.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 17.1 | 10.6 | 3.2 | 10.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 39.2 | 32.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 17.1 | 10.6 | 20.3 | 10.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 0.10 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 1089 | 1040 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 320 | 266 | 106 | 313 | 0 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.78 | 0.71 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.79 | 0.53 | 0.23 | 0.50 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 1089 | 1040 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 388 | 322 | 141 | 378 | 0 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 10.2 | 9.2 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 41.4 | 38.8 | 51.1 | 38.6 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 5.4 | 4.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 9.0 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ( $50 \%$ ),veh/ln | 13.3 | 10.2 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 7.2 | 3.4 | 0.7 | 3.8 | 0.0 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 15.7 | 13.2 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 50.4 | 40.5 | 52.1 | 39.8 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | B | B |  |  |  |  | A | D | D | D | D | A |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 1579 | A |  |  |  |  | 394 |  |  | 179 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 14.5 |  |  |  |  |  | 46.9 |  |  | 41.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  |  |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs |  | 2 |  | 4 |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s |  | 82.8 |  | 27.2 |  |  |  | 27.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc} \mathrm{c}$, s |  | 4.5 |  | 4.5 |  |  |  | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s |  | 73.5 |  | 27.5 |  |  |  | 27.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s |  | 41.2 |  | 19.1 |  |  |  | 22.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s |  | 16.3 |  | 1.2 |  |  |  | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl DelayHCM 6th LOS |  |  | 22.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for [EBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mi |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\neq 1$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 6 | 15 | 356 | 4 | 4 | 427 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 6 | 15 | 356 | 4 | 4 | 427 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 3 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| Mvmt Flow | 6 | 16 | 379 | 4 | 4 | 454 |


| Major/Minor M | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 849 | 387 | 0 | 0 | 386 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 384 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 465 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | - | - | 4.12 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 |  | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | - | - | 2.218 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 331 | 661 | - | - | 1172 | - |
| Stage 1 | 688 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 632 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 327 | 657 | - | - | 1169 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 327 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 686 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 627 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 12.4 |  | 0 |  | 0.1 |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 510 | 1169 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.044 | 0.004 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 12.4 | 8.1 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | B | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.1 | 0 | - |




| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | NBR EBLn1WBLn1 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1106 | - | - | 329 | 689 | 1207 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.009 | - | -0.094 | 0.005 | 0.003 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 8.3 | 0 | -117.1 | 10.3 | 8 | 0 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | - | C | B | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | - | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | - |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
1: Highway 211/Meinig Ave \& Pioneer Blvd
08/11/2020

c Critical Lane Group

|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 「 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 42 | 767 | 142 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 256 | 157 | 11 | 86 | 0 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 42 | 767 | 142 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 256 | 157 | 11 | 86 | 0 |
| Initial $\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{Qb})$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 0.98 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  |  |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1428 | 1428 | 1428 |  |  |  | 0 | 1514 | 1514 | 1452 | 1452 | 0 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 45 | 825 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 275 | 169 | 12 | 92 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 |  |  |  | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 12 | 12 | 12 |  |  |  | 0 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 0 |
| Cap, veh/h | 94 | 1804 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 328 | 273 | 111 | 314 | 0 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 137 | 2640 | 1210 |  |  |  | 0 | 1514 | 1259 | 731 | 1452 | 0 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 466 | 404 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 275 | 169 | 12 | 92 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln | 1421 | 1356 | 1210 |  |  |  | 0 | 1514 | 1259 | 731 | 1452 | 0 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 13.9 | 12.1 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 15.7 | 10.9 | 1.4 | 4.8 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear (g_c), s | 13.9 | 12.1 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 15.7 | 10.9 | 17.1 | 4.8 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 0.10 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap (c), veh/h | 971 | 927 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 328 | 273 | 111 | 314 | 0 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.48 | 0.44 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.84 | 0.62 | 0.11 | 0.29 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 971 | 927 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 547 | 455 | 217 | 524 | 0 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 6.7 | 6.4 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 33.8 | 31.9 | 41.9 | 29.5 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 1.7 | 1.5 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 5.9 | 2.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ ( $50 \%$ ),veh/In | 4.1 | 3.4 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 6.0 | 3.3 | 0.3 | 1.7 | 0.0 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 8.4 | 7.9 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 39.6 | 34.2 | 42.4 | 30.0 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | A | A |  |  |  |  | A | D | C | D | C | A |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 870 | A |  |  |  |  | 444 |  |  | 104 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 8.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 37.6 |  |  | 31.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  |  |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs |  | 2 |  | 4 |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s |  | 66.0 |  | 24.0 |  |  |  | 24.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s |  | 4.5 |  | 4.5 |  |  |  | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s |  | 48.5 |  | 32.5 |  |  |  | 32.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+1), s |  | 15.9 |  | 17.7 |  |  |  | 19.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s |  | 6.9 |  | 1.8 |  |  |  | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay |  |  | 19.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for [EBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

[^0]Synchro 11 Light Report
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| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



| Approach | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 11.2 | 0 | 0 |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT | NBRWBLn1 | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | -629 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | -0.071 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | -11.2 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | $B$ |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | - | 0.2 |




HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
1: Highway 211/Meinig Ave \& Pioneer Blvd
08/11/2020

c Critical Lane Group

|  | $\Rightarrow$ | $\rightarrow$ |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\dagger_{\text {¢ }}$ | 「 |  |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ | F | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 78 | 1406 | 285 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 239 | 147 | 23 | 161 | 0 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 78 | 1406 | 285 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 239 | 147 | 23 | 161 | 0 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 0.98 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  |  |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1538 | 1538 | 1538 |  |  |  | 0 | 1550 | 1550 | 1514 | 1514 | 0 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 83 | 1496 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 254 | 156 | 24 | 171 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |  |  |  | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 3 | 3 | 3 |  |  |  | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| Cap, veh/h | 107 | 2020 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 321 | 267 | 106 | 314 | 0 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 150 | 2842 | 1304 |  |  |  | 0 | 1550 | 1289 | 789 | 1514 | 0 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 846 | 733 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 254 | 156 | 24 | 171 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/n | 1531 | 1461 | 1304 |  |  |  | 0 | 1550 | 1289 | 789 | 1514 | 0 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 39.3 | 32.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 17.1 | 12.0 | 3.3 | 11.1 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 39.3 | 32.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 17.1 | 12.0 | 20.4 | 11.1 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 0.10 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 1088 | 1039 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 321 | 267 | 106 | 314 | 0 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.78 | 0.71 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.79 | 0.58 | 0.23 | 0.55 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 1088 | 1039 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 388 | 322 | 140 | 378 | 0 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(I) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 10.3 | 9.2 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 41.3 | 39.3 | 51.0 | 39.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 5.5 | 4.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 8.9 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ (50\%),veh/ln | 13.4 | 10.3 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 7.1 | 3.9 | 0.7 | 4.3 | 0.0 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay (d),s/veh | 15.7 | 13.3 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 50.2 | 41.3 | 52.0 | 40.4 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | B | B |  |  |  |  | A | D | D | D | D | A |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 1579 | A |  |  |  |  | 410 |  |  | 195 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 14.6 |  |  |  |  |  | 46.8 |  |  | 41.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  |  |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs |  | 2 |  | 4 |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s |  | 82.7 |  | 27.3 |  |  |  | 27.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s |  | 4.5 |  | 4.5 |  |  |  | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s |  | 73.5 |  | 27.5 |  |  |  | 27.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s |  | 41.3 |  | 19.1 |  |  |  | 22.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s |  | 16.3 |  | 1.2 |  |  |  | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl DelayHCM 6th LOS |  |  | 23.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for [EBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |  | A |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 21 | 365 | 8 | 0 | 446 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 21 | 365 | 8 | 0 | 446 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 3 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 22 | 388 | 9 | 0 | 474 |



| Approach | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 10.8 | 0 | 0 |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT | NBRWBLn1 | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | -647 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | -0.035 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | -10.8 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | $B$ |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | - | 0.1 |




## Intersection: 1: Highway 211/Meinig Ave \& Pioneer Blvd

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | T | R | T | R | L | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 322 | 286 | 100 | 310 | 125 | 59 | 155 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 153 | 104 | 32 | 145 | 62 | 11 | 48 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 273 | 225 | 102 | 263 | 138 | 39 | 112 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 612 | 612 |  | 310 |  |  | 343 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 7 | 75 |  | 100 | 100 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 7 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 9 | 1 | 24 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

## Intersection: 2: Highway 211 \& City Hall Driveway

| Movement | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | TR | LT |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 48 | 44 | 44 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 26 | 3 | 3 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 52 | 24 | 21 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 182 | 193 | 310 |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |

Intersection: 3: Highway 211 \& Tupper Road/Site Access

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 62 | 35 | 12 | 13 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 29 | 4 | 1 | 0 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 57 | 23 | 9 | 6 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 276 | 224 | 171 | 193 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Network Summary |  |  |  |  |
| Network wide Queuing Penalty: 37 |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 1: Highway 211/Meinig Ave \& Pioneer Blvd

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | T | R | T | $R$ | L | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 616 | 600 | 100 | 307 | 125 | 108 | 254 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 304 | 272 | 59 | 177 | 90 | 28 | 108 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 505 | 497 | 134 | 308 | 162 | 82 | 200 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 612 | 612 |  | 310 |  |  | 343 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 0 |  | 3 |  |  | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 75 |  | 100 | 100 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 17 | 1 | 28 | 2 | 1 | 15 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 49 | 6 | 37 | 4 | 1 | 3 |

## Intersection: 2: Highway 211 \& City Hall Driveway

| Movement | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | TR | LT |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 44 | 69 | 48 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 15 | 5 | 3 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 42 | 36 | 25 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 182 | 193 | 310 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |

Intersection: 3: Highway 211 \& Tupper Road/Site Access

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 50 | 34 | 83 | 36 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 23 | 3 | 7 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 52 | 20 | 41 | 13 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 276 | 224 | 171 | 193 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Network Summary |  |  |  |  |
| Network wide Queuing Penalty: 103 |  |  |  |  |



OREGON.. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION - TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT DIVISION


[^1]PIONEER BLVD at EAGLE CRK－SANDY HY，City of Sandy，Clackamas County，01／01／2014 to 05／31／2018
颸品

## Right-Turn Lane Warrant Analysis (ODOT Methodology)

Project Name: The Pad Residential Development
Approach: Southbound Highway 211 at Tupper Road
Scenario: 2022 Background Plus Site Trips (RIRO)

Major-Street Design Speed: 40 mph

|  | AM Volume | PM Volume |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Right Turns per Hour: | 15 | 54 |
| Approaching DVH in Outside Lane: | 221 | 437 |
| Calculated Turn Volume Threshold: | 84 | 55 |
| Right Turn Volume Exceeds Threshold? | NO | NO |

## Criterion 1: Vehicular Volume

The vehicular volume criterion is intended for application where the volume of intersecting traffic is the principal reason for considering installation of a right turn lane. The vehicular volume criteria are determined using the curve in Exhibit 7-2.

## Exhibit 7-2 Right Turn Lane Criterion



Note: If there is no right turn lane, a shoulder needs to be provided. If this intersection is in a rural area and is a connection to a public street, a right turn lane is needed.


For standard roadways $>400$ ADT, use 2.5 seconds perception/reaction time and $11.2 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}^{\wedge} 2$ deceleration.
For VLV roadways < 400 ADT, use 2.0 seconds perception $/$ reaction time and $13.4 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}^{\wedge} 2$ deceleration. (90th percentile reaction time and 50th percentile deceleration)

Stopping Sight Distance
 (95th percentile reaction time and 10th percentile deceleration)


[^0]:    Scenario 1 The Pad 12:41 pm 08/11/2020 2022 Background Plus Site AM Peak Hour (RIRO) MTA

[^1]:     the responsibility or the individual diver, ine Crasht Analysis and Reporng a Fill.
    damage only crashes being eligible for inclusion in the Statewide Crash Data

