

RFP QUESTIONS – INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM

1. Given the specific requirements for this project and detail required, can an extension to the due date be considered for vendors to submit a more thoughtful response?

Yes, the new dates are as follows:

Proposals Due	February 23, 2022, 2:00 p.m.
Contractor Interviews and Demonstrations	February 28-March 4, 2022
Evaluation of Proposals Complete	March 11, 2022
Notice of Intent to Award	March 14, 2022
Award Protest Deadline	March 21, 2022, 5:00 p.m.
City Council Award	March 21, 2022, 7:00 pm
Notice of Award	March 22, 2022

2. Can an extension to the 30-page limit for responses be considered for vendors to submit a response addressing the detail required for this new ITS system? **This can be extended to 75 pages.**
3. Can a breakdown of the number of Fixed-Route vehicles be provided for each participating or optional agencies?

The number of vehicles listed in the equipment pricing sheets coincides with the number of vehicles expected to receive tablets. (City of Sandy, Clackamas MHX = 20 for example)

City of Sandy, 7 fixed route vehicles, 5 demand response vehicles
Clackamas County MHX, 8 fixed route
Clackamas County Shuttles, 4
Canby Area Transit, 18
South Clackamas Transportation District, 7
Clackamas County, Transportation Reaching People, 5

4. Can a breakdown of the number of Demand Response vehicles be provided for each participating or optional agencies?

City of Sandy, 5
Clackamas County TRP, 5

5. Would a direct response to the requirements outlined in this project in a compliance matrix be considered outside of the page limit?

A compliance matrix would be an acceptable supplement to the written response.

6. How many vehicles will be available per each agency for installation at any given time?

Sandy and MHX can provide 2 vehicles per service during the week. If installed on the weekends Sandy would be able to provide up to 4 vehicles. The County Shuttles and TRP are all available on weekends.

7. Have any of the participating agencies conducted a recent physical bus stop survey?

No

8. How many dispatch and administrative users are expected to be using the system per each agency?

Sandy and MHX will have a total of 9 users, three of those should have administrative rights. Clackamas County TRP would have 5 total users, three of those should have administrative rights

9. Does Clackamas County- Reaching People, currently utilize a scheduling software for their door-to-door services? **No.**

- a. Who is currently providing this software?
- b. Is the desire to keep this software through this new ITS implementation?

10. What existing hardware components currently on each agency's vehicles are hoping to be retained through the new ITS implementation?

- a. What are the makes and models of those components? **working on complete list**
 - i. **TRP has none**
 - ii. **Clackamas County Shuttles have none**
 - iii. **Canby, SCTD have none**

11. Do any of the participating agencies have existing vehicle routers or LED/LCD 'next stop' signage which can be used with the new ITS implementation? **YES**

- a. What are the makes and models of those components? **Working on list**

12. Does each participating agency intend to have their own, white-labeled, application downloadable by brand name within the App Store?

**City of Sandy and MHX can share a branded app.
Will check on other agency needs.**

13. Are there any existing bus station electronic/digital signage displays?

- a. What are the makes and models of these components?

No

14. Do the agencies want to see optional pricing for outdoor signage?

YES

15. VOIP is listed in the provided price form but not in the specification. Can this be removed from the price form? **Yes.**

16. Can more clarification be provided to the meaning of "...current MDT system substantially operational by April 2022."

a. Is the City intending to keep current MDT Getac ZX70?

This is entirely dependent on the vendor bids. If the tablets can be utilized at a savings, that would be beneficial but it is not a requirement. The City set April 2022 as a operational date for the new system. Any additions to the tablets (passenger counters for example) can be added at a later date or phased in. With this new outline, April will not be possible.

17. What is the City's and/or the three other participating agencies intended date for full deployment or "Go Live" date? **April or May was the intended target date. Would expect no later than June.**

18. If an APC system is priced, do the agencies expect the vendor to assist in obtaining NTD certification for those components? **Yes**

19. In the testing of ADA components, a Pre-Trip is mentioned. Are any of the agencies interested in an automated solution for pre/post trip inspections? **Please price it if it is an option, it is not a requirement.**

20. In the AVA section, the expectation of new speakers and microphones are indicated. If a proposed system can utilize existing equipment, does a vendor need to price out these components?

No, but it should indicate that the existing equipment will be utilized.

21. How many projects management related meetings are expected to be on-site for each participating agency? **There is no specification set by the RFP or any of the agencies.**

22. Requirements for manual sections are very specific. Is it acceptable for vendors to submit their own version of manuals so long as they are thorough and address the entire system?

Yes

23. Does the City and other participating agencies have designated system testing and installation sites or is the vendor expected to acquire space for this?

a. Can the addresses for each potential location be provided?

The City and MHX have a site to test and install. The location is the Operations Center, 16610 Champion Way, Sandy, OR 97055.

All other agencies also have space, although it may not be covered space (Canby in Canby, SCTD in Mollala).

Clackamas County (Shuttles and TRP) is 2051 Kaen Rd Oregon City, OR 97045

24. Was a consultant utilized to help draft the RFP?

- a. Will any consultants be used during deployment or in the decision-making process to award this RFP?

A consultant was not used to draft the RFP and will not be used during deployment or the decision-making process to award the RFP.

RFP Questions – Intelligent Transportation System

Q: Looking at the pricing sheets, the quantities referenced are the number of vehicles for each solution the agency is looking for? Please verify – [Yes, the quantity is for the number of vehicles to be equipped.](#)

Q: For the digital signs listed in the Scope of Work, Do the agencies have signs at this time to integrate with or do the vendors need to provide these signs? [SAM and MHX currently have digital signs in some vehicles, but not all.](#)

Q: For automatic passenger counting, how many doors are on each vehicle needing this solution? How many vehicles will have this solution for each agency? [For SAM – 4 vehicles have 2 doors. All other vehicles on SAM and MHX have 1 door.](#)

Q: Do any of the vehicles currently have headway signs? If yes, what are the make and model of the signs and do they have a J1708 connection? [Yes, make and model currently unknown, to be updated via addenda](#)

Q: Do any vehicles currently have internal LED signs? If yes, what are the make and model of the signs and do they have a J1708 connection? [Yes, make and model currently unknown, to be updated via addenda](#)

Q: Can vendors submit up to 200 pages to provide the proper information for each agency to review the solutions they need? Especially with each agency wanting different solution combinations. [No, 75 pages and a matrix is the limit.](#)