

ADDENDUM No. 1

2022 Wastewater System Facilities Plan Amendment

**City of Sandy, Oregon
June 24, 2022**

This addendum shall become part of the above-named project Request for Qualifications (RFQ). Proposers shall acknowledge receipt of this addendum in the Cover Letter. Failure to do so could potentially render the proposer non-responsive.

The proposal, special provisions, and plans are modified as follows:

Questions

- 1. Looks like you are asking for a VE as well as the update to the facility plan. Just curious why the VE as the update is essentially an expanded VE. Unless requested otherwise, firms may form the VE from their own staff instead of a standard VE with an outside certified facilitator and staffed from multiple firms**

Response

The City is looking for ways to streamline the approach and reduce cost of the recommended alternative (or come up with a new alternative) at this planning stage, and in-house staff are appropriate. The City is not looking for a formal VE team with an outside certified facilitator.

- 2. How far along are the negotiations for a new outfall?**

Response

The City through Parametrix has been preparing an outfall application for the Sandy River the last year, and is expected to submit the application in summer of 2022. Parametrix has been working closely with DEQ staff on the application.

- 3. Is there an expected re-rating or are you just waiting to see what occur based on the stress test?**

Response

The City is expecting the rerating to reflect the capacity improvements completed in the current WWTP construction project.

- 4. Has there been a lot of interaction with DEQ and have they given any indications what they may allow?**

Response

The City is in close coordination with DEQ, and DEQ will allow what is demonstrated according to the EPA stress test protocol.

5. I would think that you would want to know what the related capacity is before figuring out options.

Response

The City's focus is on long term solutions, and we do need to understand where we need to build the next large capacity expansion – will it be a satellite facility or will it be expansion at the WWTP.

6. It appears that there has been a significant reduction in I/I from the collections system work. Has this trend continued?

Response

The I/I trends are positive.

7. It appears that if the data holds that flow projects would need to be updated, but that was not included as part of the scope.

Response

Updating the flow projections would require a rewrite of the whole facility plan. However, keeping population projections and base flow as they are, we can adjust the later chapters with the more extensive I/I reduction and drop the forecasted peak flows.

8. Just curious about the requirement to have worked with key personnel for five years? It seems to limit the ability to bring in experts.

Response

Our preference is to have the core team have 5 years of working relationships. Outside experts, with limited time on the project do not have to have such a long working relationship..

Addendum can be found at the City of Sandy's website path below:

<https://www.ci.sandy.or.us/publicworks/page/rfq-wastewater-system-facilities-plan-amendment-consulting-engineer-firms>