# City of Sandy 



Agenda
Planning Commission Meeting
Meeting Location: Hybrid - 39250
Pioneer Blvd. and Zoom
Meeting Date: Monday, January
30, 2023
Meeting Time: 6:30 PM

## 1. MEETING FORMAT NOTICE

This meeting will be conducted in a hybrid in-person / online format. The Commission or a portion of the Commission will be present in-person in the Council Chambers and members of the public are welcome to attend in-person as well. Members of the public also have the choice to view and participate in the meeting online via Zoom.

## To attend the meeting in-person

Come to Sandy City Hall (lower parking lot entrance).
39250 Pioneer Blvd., Sandy, OR 97055

To attend the meeting online via Zoom
Please use this link: https://us02web.zoom.us/i/89315193990
If you would rather access the meeting via telephone, dial +1 346248 7799. When prompted, enter the following meeting number: 89315193990
2. ROLL CALL

## 3. PLANNING COMMISSION DISCUSSION

3.1. Planning Commission Chair Appointments for 2023

Planning Commission Chair Appointments - Pdf

## 4. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

4.1. Draft Minutes for November 28, 2022

Planning Commission - 28 Nov 2022 - Minutes - Pdf

## 5. REQUESTS FROM THE FLOOR - CITIZEN COMMUNICATION ON NON- AGENDA ITEMS

The Commission welcomes your comments at this time. Please see the instructions below:

- If you are participating online, click the "raise hand" button and wait to be recognized.
- If you are participating via telephone, dial *9 to "raise your hand" and wait to be recognized.


## 6. DIRECTOR'S REPORT

## 7. NEW BUSINESS

7.1. 22-037 DR/VAR - Johnson RV Canopy Cover 9-239

Staff recommends the Planning Commission approve this design review application and two variances with conditions as outlined in the staff report.
22-037 DR/VAR - Johnson RV Canopy Cover - Pdf
Condition D. 3 Modification Memo from Tracy Brown (January 26, 2023)

## 8. ADJOURNMENT

## Staff Report

Meeting Date: January 30, 2023
From Kelly O'Neill Jr., Development Services Director
SUBJECT: Planning Commission Chair Appointments

## DECISION TO BE MADE:

- Appoint the Chair
- Appoint the Vice Chair


## BACKGROUND / CONTEXT:

Each January, the Planning Commission appoints a Chair and Vice Chair. The 2022 appointments were Commissioner Crosby as the Chair and Commissioner MacleanWenzel as the Vice Chair.

The Chair assists with setting the Commission agenda, setting time limitations for applicants and the public at hearings, presides over meetings and work sessions, reviews final orders, and coordinates with fellow commissioners. The Vice Chair assumes the Chair responsibilities when the Chair is absent.

In accordance with Title 2, Section 2.16.070, the Chair and Vice Chair shall serve oneyear terms. Commissioners shall not serve as the Chair or Vice Chair for more than two consecutive calendar years.

## RECOMMENDATION:

At the January 30, 2023, Planning Commission meeting appoint a Chair and Vice Chair for the calendar year of 2023.

## SUGGESTED MOTION LANGUAGE:

"I move to appoint Commissioner $\qquad$ as the Chair and Commissioner $\qquad$ as the Vice Chair of the Sandy Planning Commission for the calendar year of 2023."

## MINUTES <br> Planning Commission Meeting Monday, November 28, 2022 Hybrid 39250 Pioneer Blvd. and Zoom 6:30 PM

## COMMISSIONERS PRESENT:

## COMMISSIONERS ABSENT:

STAFF PRESENT:

Hollis MacLean-Wenzel, Commissioner, Jerry Crosby, Commissioner, Chris Mayton, Commissioner, Jan Lee, Commissioner, Breezy Poulin, Commissioner, and Darren Wegener, Commissioner

Steven Hook, Commissioner

Kelly O'Neill Jr., Development Services Director, Emily Meharg, Senior Planner, Shelley Denison, Associate Planner, and Josh Soper, City Attorney

COUNCIL LIAISON ABSENT: Rich Sheldon, Councilor

1. MEETING FORMAT NOTICE

Instructions for electronic meeting.
2. ROLL CALL

Chairman Crosby called the meeting to order at 6:30 p.m.
3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

### 3.1. Draft Minutes for September 26, 2022

Chair Crosby asked for any edits. With no requested edits, Crosby declared the minutes approved.
4. REQUESTS FROM THE FLOOR - CITIZEN COMMUNICATION ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS None
5. DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Development Services Director O'Neill congratulated Commissioner Mayton and provided a brief summary of the director's report. Commissioner Maclean-Wenzel and Commissioner Mayton asked some additional questions.
6. PLANNING COMMISSION DISCUSSION

Commissioner Maclean-Wenzel told the Commission that she is resigning from the Commission for personal reasons and that she will not be participating beyond the November 28, 2022, meeting. Commissioner Wegener congratulated Commissioner Mayton and thanked Commissioner Maclean-Wenzel for her time spent on the Commission.

Commissioner Crosby polled the Commission on their availability for January 23, 2023. Commissioners Crosby, Lee, and Poulin all stated they are available on January 23. City Attorney Soper said he would review the Planning Commission bylaws during the meeting to determine the quorum rules. Commissioner Mayton provided a brief summary of his time spent on the Commission and thanked everyone.

## 7. WORK SESSION

### 7.1. Cul-de-Sac Code Review

## Staff Report:

Associate Planner Denison provided a brief presentation on cul-de-sacs and the need to make the code standards clear and objective. Development Services Director O'Neill provided some additional details on why cul-de-sacs were removed from the Sandy Development Code.

## Discussion:

Chairman Crosby thanked staff for the work on the cul-de-sac research and talked about the articles in the Commission packet. He then added additional details on his experience with at one time living on a dead-end street on Dubarko Road. Commissioner Lee stated that her experience with dead-end streets has been advantageous where she lives. Chairman Crosby then asked if the City's intent is to encourage or allow cul-de-sacs. Associate Planner Denison stated that the answer would depend on what your priorities are, and then she provided additional details on her research. Commissioner MacleanWenzel stated that if we do allow cul-de-sacs, they need to have good bicycle and pedestrian connectivity. Commissioner Wegener stated that he grew up on cul-de-sacs and had a sense of community when living on cul-de-sacs. He then stated that modernizing cul-de-sacs to allow for pedestrian and bicycle access is important.

Chairman Crosby asked if there are other reasons for developers to desire cul-de-sacs. Associate Planner Denison stated that installing cul-de-sacs saves the developer money but is an inefficient use of land. Commissioner MacleanWenzel asked if any of the research talked about public transit. Associate Planner Denison said that her research didn't reveal anything about public transit.

Development Services Director O'Neill provided some examples of living on dead end streets, cul-de-sacs, and through streets. Associate Planner Denison said that research shows that both cul-de-sacs and through streets have some safety issues and vehicular/pedestrian conflicts. She went on to elaborate that we should think about how we want the City of Sandy to feel to determine how to write clear and objective code.

Commissioner Maclean-Wenzel stated that when she lived in Portland, she had lots of experience with her children playing in parks instead of streets. Associate Planner Denison stated that additional parkland might be a great idea. Commissioner Mayton stated that driveway design, parking, public transit access, and pedestrian and bicycle connectivity are very important design considerations. He went on to elaborate that the cul-de-sacs he has experiences with have parking issues. Development Services Director O'Neill stated the traditional reasons in Sandy for allowing cul-de-sacs.

Commissioner Wegener asked about the advantages and disadvantages with loop streets. Development Services Director O'Neill stated that loop streets sometimes cause issues for first responders, especially when the names do not change when the cardinal directions change. Commissioner Wegener said that having pocket development is great, but too many cul-de-sacs create pockets and do not allow for a larger development feel. Commissioner MacleanWenzel said that the cul-de-sac considerations should take into account evacuation routes. Associate Planner Denison and Development Services Director O'Neill stated that fire evacuation routes should be prioritized. Commissioner Lee stated that a poor example of cul-de-sac use is Sunriver.

Associate Planner Denison stated that if Commissioners have additional thoughts on cul-de-sacs to please send their thoughts to staff in the next few weeks. She also stated that she will send an article from the Washington State Department of Transportation regarding street network connectivity.

## 8. NEW BUSINESS

### 8.1. Self-Service Storage Code Modifications (22-043 DCA)

Chair Crosby opened the public hearing on File No. 22-043 DCA at 7:42 p.m. Crosby called for any abstentions, conflicts of interest, ex-parte contact, challenges to the jurisdiction of the Planning Commission, or any challenges to any individual member of the Planning Commission. No challenges were made, and no declarations were made by the Planning Commission.

## Staff Report:

Senior Planner Meharg provided a brief presentation on modifying the Sandy Municipal Code regarding self-service storage. City Attorney Soper explained why and when Measure 56 notices are sent.

## Public Testimony:

## Ara Nenninger

Spartan Investment Group
17301 W Colfax Ave, Ste. 120
Golden, CO 80401
Ms. Nenninger stated that she understands that self-service storage facilities produce less living wage jobs, but that the property manager is paid a living wage. She also stated that self-service storage is an amenity for residents.

## Staff Recap:

Senior Planner Meharg explained that the proposed code modifications would not outright ban self-service storage in the city limits, would allow for the existing self-service storage structures to be reconstructed, and would allow an expansion of up to 20 percent. Development Services Director O'Neill provided additional input on the importance of having storage facilities in Sandy, but also stated that prime employment lands such as those adjacent to the new extension of 362 nd Drive and Bell Street should be preserved for uses with more employment opportunities.

Motion: Motion to close the public hearing at 7:56 p.m.
Moved By: Commissioner Wegener
Seconded By: Commissioner Mayton
Yes votes: All Ayes
No votes: None
Abstentions: None

## Discussion:

Commissioner Mayton stated that he wants some self-service storage in Sandy but is supportive of the proposed code modifications to limit self-service storage in the C-1 and C-2 zones. Commissioner Lee stated that she supports the staff recommendation and that she doesn't want the land by 362nd Drive and Bell Street to be all self-service storage. Commissioner Maclean-Wenzel and Chairman Crosby both supported the staff recommendation. Chairman Crosby stated that the code provisions regarding 'visible from public view' should be clarified. There was additional discussion around visible from public view.


#### Abstract

Motion: Motion to forward a recommendation of approval to the City Council to adopt the regulations for self-service storage with notes as identified by the Commission. Moved By: Commissioner Wegener Seconded By: Commissioner Mayton Yes votes: All Ayes No votes: None Abstentions: None The motion passed at 8:07 p.m.


## 9. ADJOURNMENT

Based on discussion earlier in the meeting, City Attorney Soper said that the Commission needs four members present to be considered a quorum. Development Services Director O'Neill stated that he would reach out to Commissioner Hook to determine his availability for January 23, 2023. Chair Crosby adjourned the meeting at 8:10 p.m.


Chair, Jerry Crosby


Planning Director, Kelly O'Neill Jr
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WHERE INNOVATION MEETS ELEVATION

## Staff Report

Meeting Date: January 30, 2023
From Shelley Denison, Associate Planner
SUBJECT: 22-037 DR/VAR - Johnson RV Canopy Cover

## DECISION TO BE MADE:

Deny, approve, or approve with conditions a Type III design review with two variances.

## BACKGROUND / CONTEXT:

The applicant is requesting approval to redevelop the site as a recreational vehicle repair and service center. Site improvements include construction of a 7,375 square foot awning on the east side of the existing building, a new access, paving, landscaping, lighting, and stormwater facilities. The applicant is also requesting a Type II Variance to the roof pitch design standard and a Type III Special Variance regarding Industrial Way frontage improvements.

## RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends the Planning Commission approve this design review application and two variances with conditions as outlined in the staff report.

LIST OF ATTACHMENTS/EXHIBITS:
Attachment 1: Staff Report
Attachment 2: Exhibits A - C
Attachment 3: Exhibits D-H
Attachment 4: Exhibit I
Attachment 5: Exhibit J
Attachment 6: Exhibit K
Attachment 7: Exhibits L-Q

## PLANNING COMMISSION STAFF REPORT <br> TYPE III LAND USE PROPOSAL

This proposal was reviewed concurrently as a Type III Design Review with one (1) Type II Variance and one (1) Type III Special Variance. The following exhibits, findings of fact, and conditions explain the proposal and support the staff recommendation.

DATE: January 24, 2023
FILE NO.: 22-037 DR/VAR
PROJECT NAME: Johnson RV Canopy Cover
APPLICANT/OWNER: Robert Murray, Johnson RV
PHYSICAL ADDRESS: 16800 362nd Drive

LEGAL DESCRIPTION: T2S R4E Section 14 Tax Lot 1111

OVERVIEW: The applicant is requesting approval to redevelop the site as a recreational vehicle repair and service center. Site improvements include construction of a 7,375 square foot awning on the east side of the existing building, a new access, paving, landscaping, lighting, and stormwater facilities. The applicant is also requesting a Type II Variance to the roof pitch design standard and a Type III Special Variance regarding Industrial Way frontage improvements.
TABLE OF CONTENTS:
EXHIBITS ..... 3
FINDINGS OF FACT. ..... 4
GENERAL FINDINGS ..... 4
ZONING - Chapter 17.50 .....  .5
DESIGN REVIEW - Chapter 17.90 .....  6
Site Access - Section 17.90.130(A) .....  6
Pedestrian Accessibility - Section 17.90.130(B) .....  6
Building Facades, Materials, and Colors - Section 17.90.130(C) .....  6
Roof Pitch, Materials, and Parapets - Section 17.90.130(D) .....  7
External Storage - Section 17.90.130(J) .....  7
Trash Collection/Recycling Areas - Section 17.90.130(K) .....  7
FENCES - Chapter 17.74 .....  8
VARIANCES - Chapter 17.66 .....  9
Type II Variance: Roof Pitch .....  9
Type III Special Variance: Sidewalk Waiver ..... 10
TRANSPORTATION, UTILITIES, AND IMPROVEMENTS - Chapters 17.84 and 15.30 ..... 12
Sidewalk Requirements - Section 17.84.30 ..... 12
Street Requirements - Section 17.84.50 ..... 12
Utilities - Sections 17.84.60 and 17.84.80 ..... 13
Lighting - Chapter 15.30 ..... 14
PARKING, LOADING, AND ACCESS REQUIREMENTS - Chapter 17.98 ..... 15
Off-Street Parking - Sections 17.98.10-17.98.80 and 17.98.160 ..... 15
Driveways and Access to Arterial and Collector Streets - Sections 17.98.80 and 17.98.100 ..... 16
Landscaping and Screening - Section 17.98.120 ..... 16
Drainage - Section 17.98.140 ..... 17
URBAN FORESTRY - CHAPTER 17.102 ..... 18
LANDSCAPING AND SCREENING - Chapter 17.92 ..... 19
RECOMMENDATION ..... 21
RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL ..... 21

## EXHIBITS

## Applicant's Submittals:

A. Land Use Applications
B. Project Narrative
C. Owner Authorization Letter (dated September 15, 2022)
D. Civil Plans

- Sheet C1 - Cover sheet and notes
- Sheet C2-Existing conditions
- Sheet C3 - Site plan
- Sheet C4 - Utility plan
- Sheet C5 - Grading/erosion control plan
E. Architectural Plans
- Sheet A1.01 - Existing building elevations
- Sheet A3.01 - Proposed building elevations
F. Landscape Plans
- Sheet L101 - Planting plan
- Sheet L102 - Planting details and notes
G. Tree Retention Plan
H. Applicant email regarding tree retention (dated September 14, 2022)
I. Photometric Analysis and Lighting Cut Sheets
J. Preliminary Stormwater Report
K. Traffic Impact Study


## Agency Comments:

L. Fire Marshal (dated June 24, 2022)
M. City Engineer (dated December 5, 2022)
N. City Engineer follow up (Dated January 20, 2023)
O. City Traffic Engineer (dated December 7, 2022)
P. City Traffic Engineer follow up (dated January 4, 2023)
Q. City of Sandy Public Works (dated January 9, 2023)

## FINDINGS OF FACT

## GENERAL FINDINGS

1. These findings are based on the applicant's submittal items received on August 31, 2022, with additional items received on November 14, 2022. The application was deemed complete on November 21, 2022. The 120-day deadline is March 21, 2023.
2. This report is based upon the exhibits listed in this document, including the applicant's submittals and agency comments.
3. This application is not subject to the moratorium on development adopted by City Council through Resolution 2022-24 because it was submitted prior to the effective date of the moratorium and because there is not a new sanitary sewer connection proposed.
4. The subject site at 16800362 nd Drive is approximately 3.4 acres in size. There is an existing structure on the site. This application does not propose removal of the existing structure, but rather an addition to it.
5. The subject site has a Comprehensive Plan Map designation of Light Industrial and a Zoning Map designation of Light Industrial (I-2).
6. The applicant, Johnson RV, submitted an application to construct a 7,375 square foot awning on the east side of the existing building with associated site improvements. This awning is intended to accommodate an RV wash area. The applicant is also requesting the following variances:
A. Type II Variance to Section 17.90 .130 (D.1) to change the roof pitch from the required 3:12 to the requested $2: 12$.
B. Type III Special Variance to Section 17.84 .30 to waive sidewalk improvement requirements on the south side of Industrial Way.
7. The City of Sandy completed the following notices:
A. A transmittal was sent to agencies asking for comment on November 21, 2022.
B. Notification of the proposed application was mailed to affected property owners within 500 feet of the subject property on December 19, 2022.
C. A legal notice was published in the Sandy Post on January 18, 2023.
8. At publication of this staff report, no written public comments were received.

## ZONING - Chapter 17.50

9. Chapter 17.50 contains the development standards specific to the Light Industrial (I-2) zoning district. The proposed use of the development as RV repair and service is considered a primary use permitted outright per Section 17.50.10(A.2.a).
10. Section 17.50.30 contains development requirements for this zone, including setbacks, landscaping, and structure height.
11. The awning is proposed for the eastern or "rear" yard of the property, and the Code does not specify a rear yard setback.
12. Per the Code, at least 15 percent of the subject site shall be landscaped. Per the landscaping plan (Exhibit F), 15.71 percent of the site will be landscaped.
13. The maximum allowable structure height in the I- 2 zone is 45 feet. According to the architectural elevation plans (Exhibit E), the awning is proposed to be 22 feet and eight (8) inches in height.
14. Section $17.50 .30(\mathrm{C})$ requires that all buildings that are visible from an arterial street shall be screened from view by a vegetative buffer of at least 20 feet in depth as measured from the property line and running the entire length of the property. According to Sandy's current Transportation System Plan, 362nd Drive is a minor arterial street and is visible from the southern, western, and northern property lines. There is an existing vegetative buffer along these edges of the property, but it is currently less than 20 feet in depth in many places. According to the landscaping plan (Exhibit F) and the project narrative (Exhibit B), this buffer will be increased to 20 feet and additional planting provided. Along the northern property line, the Landscape Plan details additional shrubs and trees located within an approximately 10 -foot-wide area on the development side of the existing fence; however, no additional landscaping is proposed to be planted in the remaining 10 feet of the landscape buffer on the public right-of-way side of the existing fence. There are many existing conifers along the western property line and the applicant is proposing to plant an additional layer of shrubs behind the existing conifers. In addition, the Landscape Plan details two groupings of proposed evergreen trees located at the northwest corner of the property and where the existing driveway will be removed. However, there are still gaps in the existing and proposed landscaping, particularly just north of the existing conifers on the western property line. Staff conducted a site visit and determined that the existing landscape buffer does not fully screen either the existing building or the RV storage areas and it is likely that some of the proposed shrubs on the submitted Landscape Plan will need to be replaced with evergreen trees capable of growing at least 30 feet in height to accomplish the required screening. The applicant shall update the landscape plan to detail additional evergreen trees at least eight feet in height and capable of growing to at least 30 feet in height at a density that will create a visual screen within five (5) years.

## DESIGN REVIEW - Chapter 17.90

15. The proposal is subject to all the requirements for Design Review as stated in Section 17.90.00.
16. Section 17.90 .70 specifies that design review approval shall be void after two (2) years from the date of the Final Order unless the applicant has submitted plans for building permit approval.
17. Section 17.90.130 contains design standards for the Light Industrial (I-2) zone.

## Site Access - Section 17.90.130(A)

18. Section 17.90.130(A) requires that all lots shall abut or have access to a dedicated public street. Additionally, each lot shall be permitted one access point, but lots with street frontage of 150 feet or more may be permitted additional access points as approved by the City Engineer.
19. The subject site abuts 362 nd Drive and Industrial Way, which are both public streets. There is currently one access point to the site on 362nd Drive approximately 279 feet from the intersection of 362 nd Drive and Industrial Way. The applicant is requesting to relocate the existing access southward by approximately 53 feet. The purpose for this is to align the subject site's access point with the access point of the site to the west. See Sheet C3 of Exhibit D for an illustration of this.
20. The applicant is also requesting to construct an additional access point to the site on Industrial Way. This access, which will be gated, is intended to allow movement of large RVs onto and off of the site. The subject lot has over 800 feet of street frontage, and the addition of the Industrial Way access point has been permitted by the City Engineer (Exhibit N).

## Pedestrian Accessibility - Section 17.90.130(B)

21. Section 17.90 .130 (B) requires that the primary entrance to a building must be both attractive and functional, and that building entries must comply with the accessibility requirements of the Oregon State Structural Specialty Code. As no changes are being proposed to the primary entrance of the existing primary structure, this section is not necessary for design review.

## Building Facades, Materials, and Colors - Section 17.90.130(C)

22. Section 17.90.130(C.1) outlines facade requirements. Because the proposed development is a canopy cover and does not include facades, this standard is not applicable.
23. Sections 17.90 .130 (C.2) and $17.90 .130(\mathrm{C} .3)$ detail building material and siding requirements. The proposed awning will contain a metal standing seam roof and will be sided with vertical
ribbed metal siding as shown in Exhibit E. Use of metal in this manner is permitted in the subject zoning district.
24. Section 17.90 .130 (C.4) includes standards related to masonry finishes. No masonry finishes are being proposed as part of this project.
25. Section 17.90.130(C.5) states that buildings must include changes in relief on ten (10) percent of the facades facing public streets. While the architectural plans show changes in relief, it is not clear if they meet the ten (10) percent standard. The applicant shall submit documentation showing compliance with this standard.
26. Section 17.90.130(C.6) details color requirements. Earth tones, creams, and pastels of earth tones are preferred for exterior building finishes. The applicant is proposing the paint colors "Sterling Gray" and "Charcoal Gray" for the awning. The applicant shall submit swatches of these colors for review and approval.
27. Sections 17.90 .130 (C.7) through $17.90 .130(\mathrm{C} .10)$ do not apply to the design review of this project.

## Roof Pitch, Materials, and Parapets - Section 17.90.130(D)

28. Section $17.90 .130(\mathrm{D})$ requires that roofs in the I-2 zoning district have a pitch of $3: 12$. The existing structure has a roof pitch of $2: 12$. The applicant is proposing that the awning have a roof pitch of $2: 12$ to match the existing structure, and they have applied for a Type II Variance to this requirement. Analysis of this variance can be found in analysis of Chapter 17.66 of this staff report.

External Storage - Section 17.90.130(J)
29. Per Section 17.90.130(J), the exterior storage of merchandise and/or materials, except as specifically authorized as a permitted accessory use, is prohibited. The applicant is requesting approval to redevelop the site as a recreational vehicle repair and service center, not as a sales lot for recreational vehicles. The applicant shall not use the outdoor portion of the property as storage for merchandise and/or related materials unless authorized.

## Trash Collection/Recycling Areas - Section 17.90.130(K)

30. The applicant is proposing a new garbage facility on the south side of the building as shown on Sheet C3 of Exhibit D. This facility will be screened by a chain link fence enclosure with vinyl slats. This complies with the requirements of Section 17.90.130(K).

## FENCES - Chapter 17.74

31. Section 17.74 .30 contains requirements related to vision clearance. Per Section 17.74.30(A), a vision clearance area shall be maintained on each corner of property at the intersection of two streets. No visual obstruction (e.g., sign, structure, solid fence, or vegetation) shall be placed or located in the "vision clearance area" between the height of 36 inches (three feet) and eight and one-half feet measured from the street grade at the curb line, or where curbs are absent from the edge of asphalt as specified in the table below. Based on the submitted Landscape Plan (Exhibit F), it appears that the applicant is proposing to locate the vegetative buffer at the northwest corner of the subject property within the required vision clearance area. The applicant shall update the Landscape Plan to detail the minimum $\mathbf{2 0}$-foot-deep vegetative buffer as required by Section $17.50 .30(\mathrm{C})$ such that it is located outside of the required vision clearance area.
32. Section 17.74 .40 specifies, among other things, fence height in front, side, and rear yards. According to Section 17.74.40, fences in industrial zones shall not exceed six (6) feet in height in the front yard and eight (8) feet in height in rear and side yards. Section 17.74.40(E.1) states that barbed wire fencing may be permitted for industrial uses when the wire is employed on the top of any other type of fencing, and when the barbed wire is a minimum of six feet above the finished ground surface and does not extend over a public right-of-way. The maximum height shall not exceed eight feet.
33. Sheet C 3 of Exhibit D shows a proposed fenced garbage enclosure in what would be considered the side yard of the property. This fence is proposed to be six (6) feet in height, complying with this standard.
34. Sheet C2 of Exhibit D shows an existing six (6) foot tall chain link fence with barbed wire surrounding the property. A portion of this fence will be relocated to accommodate the new entrances on 362nd Drive and Industrial Way. Per Section 17.74.40(E), the relocated fences shall be six (6) feet in height with the barbed wire extending no more than two (2) feet above the top of the fence.

## VARIANCES - Chapter 17.66

35. The applicant requested the following two (2) variances:
A. Type II Variance to Section 17.90.130(D.1) to change the roof pitch from the required $3: 12$ to the requested $2: 12$.
B. Type III Special Variance to Section 17.84 .30 to waive sidewalk improvement requirements on the south side of Industrial Way.

## Type II Variance: Roof Pitch

36. The applicant has requested a variance to the required roof pitch standard found in Section 17.90.130(D). While the Code standard is $3: 12$, the applicant proposes a $2: 12$ roof pitch. The applicant's reasoning for this request is that the existing structure has a $2: 12$ roof pitch and allowing the awning to match would provide architectural consistency.
37. Variances are a means of requesting a major adjustment to development standards, and the Type II Variance process is generally reserved for major adjustments on individual lots.
38. Section 17.66 .70 details the review criteria for a Type II Variance:
A. The circumstances necessitating the variance are not of the applicant's making. The existing structure has been on the site since before the current Code standard requiring a 3:12 roof pitch. Also, the existing building existed on the subject site prior to the property being purchased by the applicant. This criterion is satisfied.
B. The hardship does not arise from a violation of this Code, and approval will not allow otherwise prohibited uses in the district in which the property is located. Staff finds no reason to believe that allowing a $2: 12$ roof pitch would open the door to prohibited uses being allowed in the I-2 zone. This criterion is satisfied.
C. Granting of the variance will not adversely affect implementation of the Comprehensive Plan. According to the Comprehensive Plan, the I-2 zoning district is intended to provide locations for industrial uses which have minimal impact on their surroundings and do not produce undue noise, light, smoke, odor, or other pollutants. There is no evidence to suggest that allowing a $2: 12$ roof pitch on the proposed project would violate the intent of the I-2 zone. This criterion is satisfied.
D. The variance authorized will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or materially injurious to other property in the vicinity. Neighboring property owners were given notice of this project, and no comments from them were received. Additionally, staff finds no reason to believe that an alternative roof pitch would be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to surrounding properties. This criterion is satisfied.
E. The development will be the same as development permitted under this Code and City standards to the greatest extent that is reasonably possible while permitting some economic use of the land. Save this Variance and the Type III Special Variance (both of which having been applied for appropriately according to the Code), this project complies with the Code. This criterion is satisfied.
F. Special circumstances or conditions apply to the property which do not apply generally to other properties in the same zone or vicinity, and result from lot size or shape (legally existing prior to the effective date of this Code), topography, or other circumstances over which the applicant has no control. The primary structure on the subject site was constructed prior to the current Code standards. This criterion is satisfied.

## Type III Special Variance: Sidewalk Waiver

39. The Planning Commission may grant a special variance waiving a specified provision for under the Type III procedure if it finds that the provision is unreasonable and unwarranted due to the specific nature of the proposed development.
40. The applicant has requested a Type III Special Variance to the sidewalk improvement requirements found in Section 17.84.30. Specifically, the applicant is requesting a waiver for the requirement to construct a sidewalk along the Industrial Way frontage. The City's adopted Transportation System Plan from 2011 shows that the eastern leg of the 362 nd Drive and Industrial Way intersection is planned to be realigned at some point in the future. Therefore, as a sidewalk will be constructed along the Industrial Way frontage during this realignment, staff is supportive of the applicant's request to waive sidewalk improvement requirements along the south side of the current section of Industrial Way. As recommended by the City's Transportation Engineer (Exhibit O) and in accordance with Section 17.84.20(A.2), the applicant shall pay a fee-in-lieu of sidewalk improvements along the Industrial Way frontage of the subject property in an amount determined by an approved engineer estimate. Prior to submitting the payment, the applicant shall submit an itemized engineer estimate for sidewalk and street tree installation along the entire frontage of Industrial Way for staff review and approval.
41. Section 17.66.80 details the review criteria for a Type III Special Variance. While three criteria are listed, only one must be met.
42. The review criterion found in Section 17.66 .80 (A) requires that the unique nature of the proposed development is such that the intent and purpose of the regulations and of the provisions to be waived will not be violated; and authorization of the special variance will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare and will not be injurious to other property in the area when compared with the effects of development otherwise permitted. Because there are clear plans for sidewalks to be constructed along Industrial Way in the future, staff believes that approving this variance request does not violate the intent of the site improvement standards, nor will it be injurious to the public welfare or nearby properties. In fact, by waiving the sidewalk requirement now and not requiring sidewalk improvements on

Industrial Way with this project but instead with the future realignment, the nuisance inherent to construction activity will be lessened.

## TRANSPORTATION, UTILITIES, AND IMPROVEMENTS - Chapters

### 17.84 and 15.30

43. Chapter 17.84 contains standards for improvements required with development. All improvements required by the standards in this Chapter shall be installed concurrently with development.

## Sidewalk Requirements - Section 17.84.30

44. Sidewalks are required along both sides of all arterial, collector, and local streets. Industrial Way is a collector street and 362 nd Drive is an arterial street as defined by Sandy's adopted Transportation System Plan. However, as the applicant has requested a waiver to the sidewalk requirements along Industrial Way, this section will only address the sidewalk improvements required along 362nd Drive.
45. According to Section 17.84.30(A.2), sidewalks along arterial streets shall be a minimum of six (6) feet wide. Typically, a planter strip is also required to be sited between the sidewalk and the curb. However, exceptions are made as necessary to continue an existing curb-tight sidewalk. The applicant is proposing a curb tight sidewalk to align with the existing sidewalk. As stated in the narrative (Exhibit B), "the applicant proposes constructing a curb tight sidewalk along the unimproved portion of 362 nd Drive. The reason for this is due to the curb tight condition of the existing sidewalk abutting the unimproved section and steep slopes along this portion of the unimproved frontage. Construction of a setback sidewalk with planter strip would require extensive grading, construction of a wall, and the dedication of additional frontage. For these reasons the applicant proposes eliminating the landscape strip as allowed by Section 17.84 .30 (3)(c) and build a curb tight sidewalk in this location." The City Engineer (Exhibit M) reviewed the proposal and concluded that the new curb-tight sidewalk shown on 362 nd Drive is acceptable. The Proposed Site Plan (Exhibit D, sheet 3) details an approximately 48 square foot proposed public sidewalk easement or dedication to accommodate the 362 nd Drive sidewalk extension at the northwest corner of the subject site. The applicant shall record a right-of-way dedication to accommodate the 362nd Drive sidewalk extension at the northwest corner of the subject site.
46. Per the City Engineer (Exhibit M), an ADA compliant ramp with a truncated dome shall be installed at the corner of 362nd Drive and Industrial Way.
47. The required sidewalk along the 362nd Drive frontage shall be installed prior to occupancy of the awning area.
$\underline{\text { Street Requirements - Section 17.84.50 }}$
48. Section 17.84.50(A) explains that a Transportation Impact Study (TIS) is required in order to evaluate the impact of a proposed development on the transportation system. The applicant provided a TIS prepared by a qualified Professional Engineer licensed in the State of Oregon (Exhibit K). The TIS was reviewed by a third-party traffic engineer contracted by the City (Exhibits N and O ).
49. According to the submitted TIS, the site is projected to accommodate 18 site trips during the morning peak hour, 22 trips during the evening peak hour, and 108 daily site trips.
50. Based on a review of the TIS submitted by the applicant, the City-contracted traffic engineer has recommended the following conditions of approval:
A. Page 10 states that HCM 6th edition was used. The volume - capacity ratio at the intersection of US 26 and 362nd Drive is based on HCM 2000 results. This is not expected to change the findings but the report shall be updated to reflect the appropriate HCM methodology used.
B. Page 11 states that the 10 th edition of the Trip Generation Manual was used to estimate trip generation while the report in the appendix shows the 11th edition was used. The report shall be updated to reflect the appropriate Trip Generation edition used.
C. The development shall contribute System Development Charges toward citywide impacts.
D. The development shall clear vegetation as appropriate to maintain the intersection site distance from the site access along Industrial Way.
E. Minimum sight distance requirements shall be met at all site driveways. Sight distances should be re-verified in the final engineering/construction stages of development.

## Utilities - Sections 17.84.60 and 17.84.80

51. Per Section 17.84.60, all development sites shall be provided with public water, sanitary sewer, broadband (fiber), and storm drainage. The development shall be connected to these utilities as appropriate.
52. Section $17.84 .60(\mathrm{D})$ requires that public facilities installed concurrent with development of a site shall be extended through the site to the edge of adjacent property(ies). As shown on Sheet C4 of Exhibit D, an existing public sanitary sewer line currently runs across the front of the subject property. No recorded easement was found to accommodate this facility. As part of the approval for this development, the applicant shall provide a 15-foot-wide easement for the sanitary sewer facility in compliance with Section 17.84.60(D) and Section 17.84.90(A).
53. All public facility installations required with development shall conform to the City's facilities master plans.
54. The applicant shall be responsible for making necessary arrangements with franchise utility providers for provision of plans, timing of installation, and payment for services installed.
55. All franchise utility distribution facilities installed to serve new development shall be placed underground.
56. All site runoff shall be detained such that post-development runoff does not exceed the predevelopment runoff rate for the 2, 5, 10 and 25 year storm events. Stormwater quality treatment shall be provided for all site drainage per the standards in the City of Portland Stormwater Management Manual (COP SWMM). The City Engineer (Exhibit M) finds that the submitted stormwater report (Exhibit J) is acceptable.
57. The applicant has proposed installing a septage receiving station on the property. Per the city engineer, (Exhibit $M$ ), a sampling manhole shall be required downstream of the grease separator.

## Lighting - Chapter 15.30

58. Chapter 15.30 contains the City of Sandy's Dark Sky Ordinance. Downward facing, full cutoff lighting shall be required. Lights shall not exceed 4,125 Kelvins or 591 nanometers to minimize negative impacts on wildlife and human health. The applicant submitted lighting plans and lighting fixture cut sheets (Exhibit I). All proposed lighting fixtures are shown to be downward facing and full cut-off at 4,000 Kelvins.
59. Section $15.30 .060(\mathrm{D})$ states that all outdoor lighting systems shall be designed and operated so that the area ten feet beyond the property line of the premises receives no more than onequarter of a foot-candle of light from the premises lighting system. The submitted Lighting and Photometric Plan (Exhibit I) details a maximum foot candle of 0.25 extending ten (10) feet from the property.

## PARKING, LOADING, AND ACCESS REQUIREMENTS - Chapter 17.98

60. The intent of Chapter 17.98 is to outline regulations to provide adequate capacity and appropriate location and design of parking and loading areas as well as adequate access to such areas.

Off-Street Parking - Sections 17.98.10-17.98.80 and 17.98.160
61. Section 17.98.10(L) states that required vehicle and bicycle parking spaces shall be unobstructed, available for parking of vehicles and bicycles of residents, customers, patrons, and employees only, and shall not be used for storage of vehicles or materials or for parking of vehicles and bicycles used in conducting the business or use and shall not be used for sale, repair, or servicing of any vehicle or bicycle.
62. Section 17.98.20 outlines standards regarding off-street parking. According to Section 17.98.20(A.11), the proposed use of the property requires one (1) parking space per 1,000 square feet of total floor area as well as one (1) parking space per two (2) employees. Additionally, two (2) bicycle parking spaces are required.
63. The combined total floor area of the existing and proposed structures is 22,219 square feet. Additionally, 20 employees work at the site. Using the rounding procedure found in Section 17.98.10(P), 32 off-street parking spaces are required.
64. There are 11 existing parking spaces and two (2) existing bicycle parking spaces. The applicant is proposing adding an additional 19 standard parking spaces.
65. Section 17.98.60 details design, size, and access requirements for off-street parking facilities.
66. The applicant shall pave all areas for required parking and maneuvering of vehicles with a durable, hard surface such as concrete or asphalt.
67. Per Section 17.98.60(B.1), a standard parking space shall be nine (9) feet by 18 feet. Sheet C3 of Exhibit D shows that all existing and proposed parking spaces meet this requirement.
68. Because the applicant is proposing a double sided two-way 90 degree parking aisle, the minimum aisle width must be 25 feet. According to the site plan (Exhibit D), the proposed aisle width is approximately 35 feet 9 inches.
69. Per Section 17.98.70, groups of more than three (3) parking spaces shall be permanently striped and shall be provided with adequate aisles or turnaround areas so that all vehicles enter the right-of-way in a forward manner.
70. As required by Section 17.98 .160 , bicycle spaces must be 2.5 feet wide and six (6) feet deep. It is unclear from the submitted site plan if the existing bicycle parking spaces meet this requirement. Additionally, as explained in the analysis for Section 17.98 .120 of this staff report, a planter will be required in the current location of the site's bicycle parking. The
applicant shall submit an updated site plan showing a new location for bicycle parking in compliance with the requirements found in Section 17.98.160.

Driveways and Access to Arterial and Collector Streets - Sections 17.98.80 and 17.98.100
71. According to the adopted Transportation System Plan, Industrial Way is a collector street and 362nd Drive is an arterial street.
72. According to Section $17.98 .80(\mathrm{~A})$, accesses to arterials or collectors shall be located a minimum of 150 feet from any other access or street intersection. Exceptions may be granted by the City Engineer. The proposed location for the driveway realignment on 362nd Drive is approximately 105 feet from the intersection of 362 nd Drive and Industrial Way. Staff and the City's traffic engineer agree that aligning the driveway on 362 nd Drive with the driveway on the abutting property to the west justifies waiving the distance requirement (Exhibit O).
73. According to Section 17.98.100(A), a driveway to an off-street parking area shall be improved from the public right-of-way to the parking area a minimum width of 20 feet for a two-way drive. The proposed site plan (Exhibit D) shows a width of 30 feet for both the driveway on Industrial Way and the driveway on 362nd Drive.

## Landscaping and Screening - Section 17.98.120

74. Section 17.98.120(A) requires screening of all parking areas containing four (4) or more spaces according to the underlying zoning district requirements along a public right-of-way.
75. Section 17.98 .120 (D) states that parking areas shall be divided into bays of not more than 20 parking spaces in parking areas with 20 or more parking spaces. Between, and at the end of each parking bay, there shall be planters that have a minimum width of five (5) feet and a minimum length of 17 feet for a single depth bay. Each planter shall contain one major structural tree and ground cover. According to the proposed site plan (Exhibit D), no proposed parking bay contains more than 20 parking spaces. While planters of appropriate sizes are shown on the site plan on both sides of the proposed parking bay on the west end of the property, the parking bay next to the building does not show a planter on its north end. According to the landscape plan (Exhibit F), each bay is proposed to have a large Village Green Zelkova tree with Creeping Raspberry ground cover. The applicant shall update the Landscape Plan to detail a tree in a minimum five (5) foot by 17-foot (inside dimension) landscape planter north of the parking bay in front of the building.
76. Parking area setbacks shall be landscaped with major trees, shrubs, and ground cover as required by Section 17.98.120(E) and Chapter 17.92. The submitted landscape plan (Exhibit F) shows trees, shrubs, and groundcover in the parking area setback.
77. Section 17.98.120(F) requires that wheel stops, bumper guards, or other methods to protect landscaped areas and pedestrian walkways shall be provided. As shown on the proposed site plan (Exhibit D), wheel stops are proposed in front of parking spaces adjacent to the building
to prevent vehicles from encroaching on sidewalks. The proposed parking spaces along the west buffer planting do not require wheel stops due to the depth of this planter.

## Drainage - Section 17.98.140

78. Section 17.98 .140 states that parking areas, aisles, and turnarounds shall have adequate provisions made for the on-site collection of drainage waters to eliminate sheet flow of such waters onto sidewalks, public rights-of-way, and abutting private property. The applicant submitted a preliminary stormwater management plan (Exhibit J) which shows compliance with the City of Sandy stormwater management requirements. Additionally, as shown on the utility plan (Sheet C4, Exhibit D), all roof and parking lot storm water will be routed to a proposed underground stormwater detention tank.

## URBAN FORESTRY - CHAPTER 17.102

79. In addition to the landscaping requirements of Chapter 17.92, Chapter 17.102 contains Urban Forestry regulations. Per Section 17.102.20, this Chapter applies to properties within the Sandy Urban Growth Boundary that are greater than one acre. Because the subject property is approximately 3.4 acres, the standards of this Chapter are applicable.
80. Based on review of an existing site plan (Exhibit D, Sheet 3), a submitted tree retention plan (Exhibit G), and a site visit (Exhibit H), it was determined that there are only two (2) trees at least eight (8) inches DBH on the property. One (1) of these trees will need to be removed in order to accommodate construction of the driveway on Industrial Way.
81. Typically, a Type I permit would be required in order to remove a tree. However, because the requirement found in Section 17.102.50(A.1) that prescribes retention of at least three (3) trees 11 inches DBH or greater would be impossible to meet as there are no such trees on the property, staff determined that it would be appropriate to waive this permit requirement on this land use application.

## LANDSCAPING AND SCREENING - Chapter 17.92

82. Section 17.92 .10 contains general provisions for landscaping. All required landscaping and related improvements shall be completed or financially guaranteed prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy and/or final of the building permit.
83. Appropriate care and maintenance of landscaping on-site and landscaping in the adjacent public right-of-way shall be the right and responsibility of the property owner.
84. Per Section 17.92.10(C), significant plant and tree specimens ( 8 -inch or greater DBH) should be preserved to the greatest extent practicable and integrated into the design of a development. Based on the Existing Conditions Plan (Exhibit D, Sheet 2), a majority of the trees on the subject property are less than 8 -inches DBH; however, they are required as part of the landscaping buffer requirement outlined in Section 17.50.30(C). There are three (3) trees on the subject property detailed at 8 -inches DBH or greater along the north property line and some additional 8 -inch or greater trees located in the Industrial Way right-of-way north of the north property line. Based on the Grading and Erosion Control Plan (Exhibit D, Sheet 5), the applicant is proposing to remove four (4) trees from the subject property, one (1) of which is 8 -inches DBH or greater, to accommodate the proposed driveway access to Industrial Way. In addition, the applicant is proposing to remove two (2) trees 8 -inches or greater DBH from the Industrial Way right-of-way to accommodate the driveway as well and one (1) additional tree 8 -inches DBH or greater at the northwest corner of the site to accommodate the sidewalk extension along 362 nd Drive.
85. Section 17.92 .20 contains minimum landscaping area requirements. The subject property is zoned Light Industrial, I-2. Section 17.92.20 requires that a minimum of 15 percent of the site be landscaped in the I-2 zoning district. The submitted landscaping plan (Exhibit F) shows a 15.71 percent landscaping area on the property.
86. Section 17.92.30 states that planting of trees is required for all parking lots with four (4) or more parking spaces and along public street frontages. Parking lot trees are required at one (1) medium tree per eight (8) spaces or one (1) large tree per 12 spaces. The applicant is proposing three (3) large canopy trees in the parking area for 32 spaces. Street trees are required at one (1) medium tree every 30 feet or one (1) large tree every 50 feet. According to the landscaping plan (Exhibit F), a number of existing conifer and deciduous trees meeting these spacing requirements and proposed for retention. The 362nd Drive frontage of the site has existing street trees spaced approximately 30 feet on center. The Landscape Plan does not detail a street tree north of the proposed driveway in the space created through the relocation of the existing driveway. The applicant shall update the Landscape Plan to detail an additional street tree north of the proposed driveway on 362nd Drive spaced approximately 30 feet on center south of the existing street tree at the west Industrial Way intersection. The applicant is requesting a waiver to frontage improvements along the Industrial Way frontage of the site, including both the sidewalk and the street trees, which is discussed in more detail in Chapter 17.66 of this document.
87. Section 17.92 .40 requires that all landscaping shall be irrigated, either with a manual or automatic system. The applicant shall submit details on the proposed automatic irrigation system with building plans. As required by Section 17.92.140, the applicant shall be required to maintain all vegetation planted in the development for two (2) years from the date of completion, and shall replace any dead or dying plants during that period. Per Section $17.92 .10(\mathrm{~L})$, all landscaping shall be continually maintained, including necessary watering, weeding, pruning, and replacing.
88. Section 17.92 .50 specifies the types and sizes of plant materials that are required when planting new landscaping. Trees are typically required to be a minimum caliper of 1.5 -inches measured six (6) inches from grade if deciduous, or five (5) feet in height if coniferous. Shrubs are required to be a minimum of one (1) gallon in size or two (2) feet in height when measured immediately after planting. All trees planted on the site shall be a minimum of 1.5 -inches in caliper measured six (6) inches above the ground (if deciduous) or five (5) feet in height (if coniferous) and shall be planted per the City of Sandy standard planting detail. Evergreen trees needed to meet the requirements of Section 17.50.30(C) shall be a minimum of eight (8) feet in height and capable of growing to at least 30 feet in height. Trees shall be planted, staked, and bark mulch, vegetation, or other approved material installed prior to occupancy and/or the final of the building permit. Tree ties shall be loosely tied twine or other soft material and shall be removed after one (1) growing season (or a maximum of one (1) year). All shrubs shall be a minimum of one (1) gallon in size or two (2) feet in height when measured immediately after planting. Use of native plant materials or plants acclimatized to the Pacific Northwest is encouraged where possible.
89. Section 17.92.60 requires revegetation in all areas that are not landscaped or remain as natural areas. The applicant did not submit any plans for re-vegetation of areas damaged through construction. Exposed soils shall be covered by mulch, sheeting, temporary seeding, or other suitable material following construction to maintain erosion control.
90. Section 17.92 .80 requires boundary plantings in parking, loading, and vehicle maneuvering areas to buffer these uses from adjacent properties and the public right-of-way. Parking lot buffer landscaping is discussed in further detail in Section 17.98.120 of this document.
91. Section 17.92.130 contains standards for a performance bond. The applicant has the option to defer the installation of trees and other landscaping for weather-related reasons. Staff recommends the applicant utilize this option rather than planting trees and landscaping during the dry summer months. Consistent with the warranty period in Section 17.92.140, staff recommends a two (2) year maintenance and warranty period for trees and landscaping. If the applicant chooses to postpone tree and/or landscaping installation, the applicant shall post a performance bond equal to 120 percent of the cost of the trees/landscaping, assuring planting within six (6) months. The cost of the trees shall be based on the average of three (3) estimates from three landscaping contractors; the estimates shall include as separate items all materials, labor, and other costs of the required action, including a two (2) year maintenance and warranty period.

## RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends the Planning Commission approve this design review application and two variances with conditions as outlined below.

## RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

## A. Prior to submittal of trade permits and/or grading or other construction permits, the applicant shall update the plans submitted with the land use application to include the following items as specified below:

1. Update the architectural elevation plans to show compliance with the change in relief standard found in Section 17.90.130(C.5).
2. Submit paint swatches of proposed colors to show compliance with Section 17.90.130(C.6).
3. Update the Transportation Impact Study with the following:
a. Page 10 states that HCM 6th edition was used. The volume - capacity ratio at the intersection of US 26 and 362nd Drive is based on HCM 2000 results. the report shall be updated to reflect the appropriate HCM methodology used.
b. Page 11 states that the 10th edition of the Trip Generation Manual was used to estimate trip generation while the report in the appendix shows the 11th edition was used. The report shall be updated to reflect the appropriate Trip Generation edition used.
4. Update the landscaping plan with the following:
a. Detail additional evergreen trees at least eight (8) feet in height and capable of growing to at least 30 feet in height at a density that will create a visual screen within five (5) years.
b. Detail the minimum 20-foot-deep vegetative buffer as required by Section $17.50 .30(\mathrm{C})$ such that it is located outside of the required vision clearance area.
c. Detail a tree in a minimum five (5) foot by 17 -foot (inside dimension) landscape planter north of the parking bay in front of the building.
d. Detail an additional street tree north of the proposed driveway on 362nd Drive spaced approximately 30 feet on center south of the existing street tree at the west Industrial Way intersection.
5. Update the site plan to show a new location for bicycle parking in compliance with the
requirements found in Section 17.98.160.

## B. Submit the following information with the Building Permit:

1. Submit details on the proposed automatic irrigation system for staff review and approval. As required by Section 17.92.140, the installer and/or developer shall be required to maintain all vegetation planted with the development for two (2) years from the date of completion, and shall replace any dead or dying plants during that period.
2. Submit a detailed final stormwater report stamped by a licensed professional engineer for staff review and approval. The calculations shall meet the water quality/quantity criteria as stated in the City of Sandy Development Code (SDC) Chapter 13.18 Standards and the City of Portland Stormwater Management Manual (SWMM) Standards that were adopted by reference into the Sandy Development Code.
3. Submit an itemized engineer estimate for sidewalk and street tree installation along the entire frontage of Industrial Way for staff review and approval.
4. Submit draft documents for the 15 -foot-wide utility easement and right-of-way dedication for staff review and approval.

## C. Prior to receiving a certificate of occupancy and/or final of the building permit, the applicant shall complete all of the following improvements or provide financial assurance for their future completion:

1. Install all required landscaping.
a. Appropriate care and maintenance of landscaping on-site and landscaping in the adjacent public right-of-way shall be the right and responsibility of the property owner.
b. Per Section 17.92.10(L), all landscaping shall be continually maintained, including necessary watering, weeding, pruning and replacing.
c. All trees planted on the site shall be a minimum of 1.5 -inches in caliper measured six (6) inches above the ground if deciduous) or five (5) feet in height (if coniferous) and shall be planted per the City of Sandy standard planting detail. Trees shall be planted, staked, and bark mulch, vegetation, or other approved material installed prior to occupancy. Tree ties shall be loosely tied twine or other soft material and shall be removed after one (1) growing season (or a maximum of one (1) year). All shrubs shall be a minimum of one (1) gallon in size or two (2) feet in height when measured immediately after planting. Use of native plant materials or plants acclimatized to the Pacific Northwest is encouraged where possible.
d. Exposed soils shall be covered by mulch, sheeting, temporary seeding, or other suitable material following construction to maintain erosion control.
e. If the applicant chooses to postpone tree and/or landscaping installation, the applicant shall post a performance bond equal to 120 percent of the cost of the trees/landscaping, assuring planting within six (6) months. The cost of the trees shall be based on the average of three (3) estimates from three landscaping contractors; the estimates shall include as separate items all materials, labor, and other costs of the required action, including a two (2) year maintenance and warranty period.
2. Install all required improvements concurrently with development.
a. An ADA compliant ramp with a truncated dome shall be installed at the corner of 362nd Drive and Industrial Way.
b. The required sidewalk along the 362 nd Drive frontage shall be installed with street improvements or with development of the site if street improvements are deferred.
c. Pave all areas for required parking and maneuvering of vehicles with a durable, hard surface such as concrete or asphalt.
d. The development shall be connected to public utilities as appropriate.
e. All public facility installations required with development shall conform to the City's facilities master plans.
f. The applicant shall be responsible for making necessary arrangements with franchise utility providers for provision of plans, timing of installation, and payment for services installed.
g. All franchise utility distribution facilities installed to serve new development shall be placed underground.
3. Record a 15 -foot-wide public utility easement along 362 nd Drive and submit a copy of the recorded easement to the Development Services Department.
4. Record a right-of-way dedication to accommodate the 362 nd Drive sidewalk extension at the northwest corner of the subject site and submit a copy to the Development Services Department.
5. Pay a fee in-lieu of sidewalk improvements and street tree installation along the Industrial Way frontage of the subject property in an amount determined by an approved engineer estimate.
6. Submit verification from the project engineer detailing that sight distances are met for both driveways.
7. Install a sampling manhole downstream of the grease separator.

## D. General Conditions

1. Design review approval shall be void after two (2) years from the date of the Final Order unless the applicant has submitted plans for building permit approval.
2. Per Section $17.74 .40(\mathrm{E})$, the relocated perimeter fences shall not be greater than six (6) feet in height with the barbed wire extending no more than two (2) feet above the top of the fence.
3. The applicant shall not use the outdoor portion of the property as storage for merchandise and/or related materials unless authorized.
4. The development shall clear vegetation as appropriate to maintain the intersection site distance from the site access along Industrial Way.
5. All site runoff shall be detained such that post-development runoff does not exceed the predevelopment runoff rate for the $2,5,10$ and 25 year storm events. Stormwater quality treatment shall be provided for all site drainage per the standards in the City of Portland Stormwater Management Manual (COP SWMM).
6. Required vehicle and bicycle parking spaces shall be unobstructed, available for parking of vehicles and bicycles of residents, customers, patrons, and employees only, and shall not be used for storage of vehicles or materials or for parking of vehicles and bicycles used in conducting the business or use and shall not be used for sale, repair, or servicing of any vehicle or bicycle.
7. Groups of more than three (3) parking spaces shall be permanently striped and shall be provided with adequate aisles or turnaround areas so that all vehicles enter the right-ofway in a forward manner.
8. As required by Section $17.92 .10(\mathrm{~L})$, all landscaping shall be continually maintained, including necessary watering, weeding, pruning, and replacing. As required by Section 17.92.140, the developer shall maintain all vegetation planted in the development for two (2) years from the date of completion and shall replace any dead or dying plants during that period.
9. Exposed soils shall be covered by mulch, sheeting, temporary seeding, or other suitable material following grading or construction to maintain erosion control for a period of two (2) years following the date of recording of the final plat associated with those improvements.
10. Successors-in-interest of the applicant shall comply with site development requirements prior to the issuance of building permits.
11. Comply with all standards required by Section 17.84 of the Sandy Development Code. Public and franchise improvements shall be installed or financially guaranteed in accordance with Chapter 17 of the Sandy Municipal Code prior to temporary or final
occupancy of structures. Water lines and fire hydrants shall be installed in accordance with City standards. All sanitary sewer lines shall be installed in accordance with City standards.
12. Comply with all other conditions or regulations imposed by the Sandy Fire District or state and federal agencies.


| Name of Project: | Johnson RV Repair and Service Center |
| :--- | :--- |
| Location or Address: | 16800 362nd Drive |


| Map \& Tax Lot \# | T: ${ }_{2 S}$ | R: $_{4 \mathrm{E}}$ | Section: $_{14}$ | Tax Lot (s): <br> 1111 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Request: Type II design review approval to redevelop the site as a recreational vehicle repair and service center. Site
improvements include construction of a 7,375 square foot awning on the east side of the existing building, a new access,
paving, landscaping, lighting, and stormwater facilities. The applicant is also requesting a Type II Variance to the roof ptich
standard and a Type lil Special Variance to Section 17.84 .30 regarding Industrial Way frontage improvements.

I am the (check one)
$\square$ owner $\square$ lessee of the property listed above, and the statements and information contained herein are in all respects true, complete and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

| Applicant (if different than owner) |  |  | Owner Johnson RV (Robert Murray) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Address |  |  | Address 41777 Highway 26 |
| City/State/Zip |  |  | City/State/Zip Sandy, OR 97055 |
| Email |  |  | Email rmurray@johnsonrv.com |
| Phone |  |  | Phone 503-702-6580 |
| Signature |  |  |  |
| File \#: | Date: | Fee\$: | Planner: |
| Type of review: | Type ID | Type li $\square$ | Type III $\square \quad$ Type IV $\square$ |
| Has applicant at | ed a pre-app? | $\square \quad$ No | If yes, date of pre-app meeting: |

Development Services Department, 39250 Pioneer Blvd, Sandy, OR 97055, 503.489.2160

## EXHIBIT B

Project Narrative<br>for<br>Johnson RV Repair and Service Center

16800 362nd Drive, Sandy, Oregon (24E 14 tax lot 1111)


Prepared by
Tracy Brown Planning Consultants, LLC
September, 2022
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## I. General Project Description

Johnson RV requests land use approval to redevelop the subject property to provide repair and service for recreational vehicles. The proposal includes construction of a new 7,375 square foot awning on the east side of the existing building to cover a proposed RV wash area, in addition to other site improvements including paving, adding 19 employee parking spaces, constructing a recreational vehicle sanitary sewer dump station, installing an oil-grease separator, and providing stormwater detention/water quality treatment system and landscaping. In addition to these site improvements, the applicant also proposes relocating the existing access on 362nd Drive that will used primarily by employees and constructing a new access on Industrial Way to allow recreational vehicles to be safety moved on and off the site. The site is expected to employ approximately 20 employees.

The property is located on the east side of 362 nd Drive at the corner of 362 nd Drive and Industrial Way ( 16800 362nd Drive) and includes a single tax lot (24E14 tax lot 1111). The property is currently accessed from 362nd Drive.

The subject property contains approximately 3.43 acres and has a Light Industrial (I-2) zoning designation. The property abuts other I-2 designated properties along its southern, northern, and eastern boundaries, and a majority of its western boundary. Fred Meyer, zoned C-2, General Commercial is located across 362nd Drive to the northwest of the site.

The applicant attended pre-application conferences with the City on February 17, 2022.

## II. Application Approval Requests

The applicant is requesting the following approvals with this application:

- Type II Design Review;
- Type II Variance to Section 17.90.130(D) regarding roof pitch; and,
- Type III Special Variance to Section 17.84 .30 to waive sidewalk improvements along Industrial Way.
III. Items Submitted With This Application
- General Land Use Application
- Notification List and Mailing Labels
- Exhibit A - Project Narrative
- Exhibit B - Civil Plans
- Sheet C1 - Cover Sheet and Notes
- Sheet C2 - Existing Conditions Plan
- Sheet C3 - Site Plan
- Sheet C4 - Utility Plan
- Sheet C5 - Grading/Erosion Control Plan
- Exhibit C - Architectural Plans
- Sheet A1.01 - Existing Elevations
- Sheet A3.01 - Proposed Building Elevations
- Exhibit D - Landscape Plan
- Sheet L101 - Planting Plan
- Sheet L102 - Planting Details and Notes
- Exhibit E - Photometric Analysis and Lighting Cut Sheets
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## IV. Review of Applicable Approval Criteria

Development applications are required to meet standards set forth in the Sandy Development Code, codified as Title 17 of the Municipal Code. The following section addresses all applicable review criteria. Pertinent code provisions are cited below in plain text followed by a response identifying how the proposal complies with this standard in italics.

## Chapter Title

17.50 Light Industrial (I-2)
17.66 Adjustments \& Variances
17.74 Accessory Development - Additional Provisions and Procedures
17.80 Additional Setbacks on Collector and Arterial Streets
17.84 Improvements Required with Development
17.90 Design Standards
17.92 Landscaping and Screening
17.98 Parking, Loading, and Access Requirements
17.102 Urban Forestry
15.30 Dark Sky Ordinance

CHAPTER 17.50-LIGHT INDUSTRIAL (I-2)
It is the intent of this district to provide locations in suitable areas for manufacturing and warehousing business, or other commercial uses that do not depend on high visibility. Commercial or retail uses must be compatible with an environment that includes heavy truck traffic and outdoor storage of industrial materials. Because building design standards are less restrictive in this zone than in other zones, buildings (regardless of use) shall be screened from view from arterial streets and highways.

### 17.50.10 - PERIMITTED USES

A. Primary Uses Permitted Outright:
2. Service and professional businesses and organizations, including but not limited to:
a. Automotive repair and service;

Response: The proposed use is to provide recreational vehicle repair and service which is similar in nature to an automobile repair and service facility (Section 17.50.10(A(2)(a)), an outright permitted use in the I-2 zone.
17.50.30 DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS

| Standard | Requirement | Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lot Area | No Minimun | The total site area contains 150,091 sf (3.45 acres) |
| Lot Dimension | No Minimun | complies |
| Setbacks <br> Front $\qquad$ <br> Side or Rear. $\qquad$ <br> Corner $\qquad$ | 30 ft . minimum; 70 ft . maximum <br> None, unless abutting a more restrictive zone <br> 15 ft. | SE 362nd Drive is considered the front lot line. All buildings are existing. Complies <br> The site does not abut a more restrictive zone. Complies <br> All buildings are existing. Complies |
| Outside Display/Sale Lot Area | 40\% | No outside display is proposed. |
| Lot Coverage - Impervious | 80\% | Complies |
| Landscaping | 15\% | $15.71 \%(23,585 \mathrm{sf})$ of the site will contain landscaping. Complies |
| Structure Height | 45 ft . | The proposed canopy has an eave height of 19 ft 9 inches Complies |
| Transit Street Setback | See Chapter 17.82 | N/A |
| Off-street Parking | See Section 17.98 | See Chapter 17.98 |

Response: As shown on the table above, the proposed development complies with all applicable development standards.
A. Special Setbacks-Side or Rear Yard Abutting a More Restrictive District.

1. An additional ten feet shall be added for each 10 -foot increment in building height over 35 feet;
2. Measurement of the height transition area shall be made between the foundation of the proposed building and the property line of the abutting district;
3. When the proposed structure has different sections that have different heights, the height transition area shall be measured for each vertical surface as if it were to be freestanding. The building then must be located on the site so that no section is closer to the abutting property line than it would be if the section was free-standing;
4. The required buffering and screening and utilities may be located within the height transition area. Off-street parking, accessory structures and incidental development may be located within the height transition area but not any areas designated as buffering and screening area.
Response: The proposed development does not abut a more restrictive zoning district and this section is not applicable.
B. Off-Street Parking. Parking shall not be located in a required standard 30 foot setback area. Where feasible, ingress and egress to parking shall be provided from side streets or alleys. When access must be provided directly from a public right-of-way, driveways for ingress or egress shall be limited to one per 150 feet. For lots with frontage of less than 150 feet or less, a shared driveway may be required.
Response: The site is currently accessed by a driveway on 362nd Drive. To increase the safety functioning of this driveway, the applicant proposes moving this driveway to the south to align with a driveway on the west side of 362nd Drive. In addition, to shifting the access on 362nd Drive, a new access is proposed on Industrial Way to be used to move recreational vehicles on and off the site. This driveway will be located about 204 feet from the Industrial Way/362nd. The proposal complies with this section.
C.Screening. All buildings (regardless of use) that are visible from an arterial street or highway shall be screened from view by a vegetative buffer as specified below:
5. Minimum depth of the buffer shall be 20 feet measured from the property line and run the entire length of the property.
6. Existing trees shall be preserved to the greatest extent possible.
7. Evergreen trees at least eight feet in height and capable of growing to at least 30 feet in height shall be planted at a density that will create a visual screen within five years.
8. If the property does not abut a highway or arterial street, the screening requirement can be met by an offsite screen that has the effect of screening the property from view from arterial streets and highways.
Response: The site is located along 362nd Drive, classified in the adopted Transportation Plan as a Minor Arterial. Per this section, the southern, western, and northern property lines are required to contain a vegetative screen as the existing building is visible from 362nd Avenue in these directions. The site currently contains a vegetative buffer planted by the previous occupants of the site. As shown on the submitted Site Plan, the depth of the existing landscaping buffer is less than 20 feet deep as required. For this reason, as shown on the Site Plan, the buffer will be increased to 20 feet and additional planting provided. The proposal complies with this section.

## CHAPTER 17.66-ADJUSTMENTS AND VARIANCES

As reviewed in this narrative, the proposal complies with all relevant code criteria with the exception of the following:

- Section 17.84 .30 regarding completing unimproved frontage improvements on Industrial Way; and,
- Section 17.90 .130 (D) regarding the minimum roof pitch.

For this reason, the following variances are requested with this application:

- Type II Variance to Section 17.90.130 (D) to allow the new canopy to contain a 2;12 pitch roof rather than 3:12 as required by this section.
- Type III Special Variance requesting a waiver of Section 17.84 .30 requiring construction of frontage improvements along Industrial Way.

The narrative below first reviews the Type II Variance followed by the Type III Special Variance.

### 17.66.70 TYPE II VARIANCE CRITERIA

The authority to grant a variance does not include authority to approve a development that is designed, arranged or intended for a use not otherwise approvable in the location. The criteria are as follows:
Request: As reviewed in this narrative, the applicant requests a Type II variance to Sections 17.90.130(D) regarding the minimum roof pitch required in the l-2 zone.

Variance Section 17.90.130(D). This section requires buildings in the I-2 zone to contain a minimum 3:12 roof pitch. As shown on submitted Building Elevations, the roof pitch of the proposed awning will be 2:12, slightly shallower than what is required. The proposed building addition is more similar to an awning as most of the structure will be open, rather than the roof of an enclosed building. Regardless, staff has advised the applicant to apply for a Type II Variance to this section.

As reviewed below, the reasons for this request is because of the 2:12 roof pitch of the existing building which the proposed awning will be attached to. The proposed awning structure will attach to the existing building and will continue the 2:12 roof pitch of this structure to the eave of the awning.
A. The circumstances necessitating the variance are not of the applicant's making. Response: The circumstances necessitating this variance are due to the roof pitch of the existing building roof which the proposed awning will be attached to. As shown on the submitted Building Elevations, the roof line of the existing building will be extended with the proposed awning. The proposal complies with this criteria.
B. The hardship does not arise from a violation of this Code, and approval will not allow otherwise prohibited uses in the district in which the property is located. Response: Approval of this variance will allow construction of the proposed awning to create a seamless transition between the existing roof and the
proposed awning. The proposed awning will be located behind the existing building and will be marginally visible due to this location, site conditions, and surrounding site landscaping. The proposal complies with this criteria.
C. Granting of the variance will not adversely affect implementation of the Comprehensive Plan.
Response: Approval of the requested variance will not adversely affect implementation of the Comprehensive Plan. On the contrary, approval of this variance will help to facilitate development of the subject property in accordance with the goals and policies of the Plan. The proposal complies with this criteria.
D. The variance authorized will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or materially injurious to other property in the vicinity.
Response: Approval of the requested variance will have no affect on the public welfare or will it be injurious to other property in the vicinity. The proposed roof pitch reduction is intended to blend seamlessly with the roofline of the existing structure. In addition, redevelopment and active use of the subject property will provide overall benefits to properties in the vicinity and the city at large. The proposal complies with this criteria.
E. The development will be the same as development permitted under this Code and City standards to the greatest extent that is reasonably possible while permitting some economic use of the land.
Response: The requested variance and construction of a 2:12 pitch awning is designed to match the roof pitch of the existing structure and will also contain a similar pitch to other structures in the vicinity. In 2018, the city approved a variance allowing a 1:12 pitch roof to a new structure on the U.S. Metals property located directly south of the subject property. The proposal complies with this criteria.
F. Special circumstances or conditions apply to the property which do not apply generally to other properties in the same zone or vicinity, and result from lot size or shape (legally existing prior to the effective date of this Code), topography, or other circumstances over which the applicant has no control.
Response: The circumstances necessitating this variance are due to the roof pitch of the existing building and the site specific conditions of this site. These conditions are unique to the subject property and the proposal complies with this criteria.

## Section 17.66.80-TYPE III SPECIAL VARIANCES

The Planning Commission may grant a special variance waiving a specified provision for under the Type III procedure if it finds that the provision is unreasonable and unwarranted due to the specific nature of the proposed development. In submitting an application for a Type III Special Variance, the proposed development explanation shall provide facts and evidence sufficient to enable the Planning Commission to make
findings in compliance with the criteria set forth in this section while avoiding conflict with the Comprehensive Plan.
Response: The applicant requests a Special Variance to waive construction of frontage improvements along the Industrial Way frontage on the property as required by Section 17.84.30. The City's 2011 Transportation System Plan, Figure 15 shows the eastern leg of the 362nd Drive and Industrial Way intersection (Project M1) is planned to be realigned at some point in the future. For this reason, it doesn't make sense for the applicant to construct these improvements only to have them removed with construction of the realignment project. At the advice of Planning staff the applicant has requested a Special Variance to this section.

One of the following sets of criteria shall be applied as appropriate.
A. The unique nature of the proposed development is such that:

1. The intent and purpose of the regulations and of the provisions to be waived will not be violated; and
Response: The applicant requests approval to waive construction of Industrial Way frontage improvements given the future realignment of this street. Approval of this request make sense given the cost of installing these improvements and the cost to remove them when the realignment project occurs. The proposal complies with this criteria.
2. Authorization of the special variance will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare and will not be injurious to other property in the area when compared with the effects of development otherwise permitted.
Response: Elimination of improvements along the Industrial Way frontage will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare as a sidewalk currently exists along 362nd Drive and on the north side of Industrial Way directly across from the subject property. The proposal complies with this criteria.
B. The variance approved is the minimum variance needed to permit practical compliance with a requirement of another law or regulation.
Response: The request to waive construction of these improvements is a temporary condition and will be completed at a later date when Industrial Way is realigned. This request is the minimum variance needed to accommodate the proposed development. The proposal complies with this criteria.
C. When restoration or replacement of a nonconforming development is necessary due to damage by fire, flood, or other casual or natural disaster, the restoration or replacement will decrease the degree of the previous noncompliance to the greatest extent possible.
Response: The proposed use is a new use/redevelopment of the subject property and this criteria is not applicable.
CHAPTER 17.74-ACCESSORY DEVELOPMENT-ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS AND PROCEDURES

### 17.74.40 FENCES AND WINDSCREENS

B. Fences-Commercial/Industrial.

1. Fences on corner lots. Any fence or retaining wall, constructed upon or adjacent to any property line that abuts two or more intersecting streets, shall not exceed three feet in height within the clear vision area.
2. Fences in a front yard (Commercial). The height of a fence or retaining wall in a front yard shall not exceed four feet.
3. Fences in a front yard (Industrial). The height of a fence or retaining wall in a front yard shall not exceed six ft.
4. Fences-Side and Rear Yards. The height of a fence or retaining wall adjacent to a side or rear yard or a side or rear property line shall not exceed eight feet.
5. Sight Obscuring Hedges. Trees or shrubs that form a sight-obscuring hedge shall comply with the same height requirement as a fence within the clear vision area. Deciduous trees separated by at least 15 feet may grow to any height. Response: As shown on the Existing Conditions Plan, the site is currently surrounded by a six foot tall chain link fence with barbed wire as permitted in the industrial zone. As shown on the Site Plan, a portion of this fence will be relocated to accommodate the entrance on 362nd Drive and the new access on Industrial Way. The proposal complies with this standard.
E. Wire Fences.
6. Barbed wire fencing may be permitted for agricultural, community service, commercial or industrial uses when the wire is employed on the top of any other type of fencing, and when the barbed wire is a minimum of six feet above the finished ground surface, and does not extend over a public way. The maximum height shall not exceed eight feet.
7. No electrically charged or sharp pointed fencing such as razor wire (other than barbed wire fencing) shall be constructed or maintained within the city limits. Response: The existing chain link fence includes three strands of barbed wire as permitted. All new fencing will also include barbed wire as allowed. All barbed wire is a minimum of six fee above the ground surface and does not extend over a public right-of-way. No electrically charged or razor wire are proposed. The proposal complies with this standard.
F. Fences in excess of six feet in height require a building permit. Response: No new fencing over six fence is proposed.

## CHAPTER 17.80-ADDITIONAL SETBACKS ON COLLECTOR \& ARTERIAL STREETS

### 17.80.20 SPECIFIC SETBACKS

Any structure located on streets listed above or identified in the Transportation System Plan as arterials or collectors shall have a minimum setback of 20 feet measured from the property line. This applies to applicable front, rear and side yards. Response: 362nd Drive is identified in the City's Transportation System Plan as a minor arterial and Industrial Way a collector street, both requiring a 20 foot setback.

As shown on the Site Plan, no structures are proposed within 20 feet of these rights-of-way as required. The proposal complies with this standard.

## CHAPTER 17.84-IMPROVEMENTS REQUIRED WITH DEVELOPMENT

 17.84.20 TIMING OF IMPROVEMENTSA. All improvements required by the standards in this chapter shall be installed concurrently with development as follows:
Response: All improvements will be completed prior to occupancy
2. Where a land division is not proposed, the site shall have required public and franchise utility improvements installed or financially guaranteed in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 17 prior to temporary or final occupancy of structures.
Response: A land division is not proposed.

### 17.84.30 PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLIST REQUIREMENTS

A. Sidewalks shall be required along both sides of all arterial, collector, and local streets, as follows:

1. Sidewalks shall be a minimum of 5 ft . wide on local streets. The sidewalks shall be separated from curbs by a tree planting area that provides separation between sidewalk and curb, unless modified in accordance with Subsection 3 below.
Response: The subject property does not abut a local street.
2. Sidewalks along arterial and collector streets shall be separated from curbs with a planting area, except as necessary to continue an existing curb-tight sidewalk. The planting area shall be landscaped with trees and plant materials approved by the City. The sidewalks shall be a minimum of 6 ft . wide.
Response: As noted above, the subject property abuts Industrial Way identified in the TSP as a collector street and 362nd Drive a minor arterial. As shown on submitted plans, sidewalk improvements on 362nd Drive are proposed as curb tight to match this improvement directly south of the proposed improvement. In addition, the applicant has requested a Special Variance to waive improving the unimproved Industrial Way site frontage.
3. Sidewalk improvements shall be made according to City standards, unless the City determines that the public benefit in the particular case does not warrant imposing a severe adverse impact to a natural or other significant feature such as requiring removal of a mature tree, requiring undue grading, or requiring modification to an existing building. Any exceptions to the standards shall generally be in the following order.
a. Narrow landscape strips.
b. Narrow sidewalk or portion of sidewalk to no less than four feet in width.
c. Eliminate landscape strips.
d. Narrow on-street improvements by eliminating on-street parking.
e. Eliminate sidewalks.

Response: As shown on submitted plans, the applicant proposes constructing a curb tight sidewalk along the unimproved portion of 362nd Drive. The reason for this is due to the curb tight condition of the existing sidewalk abutting the unimproved section and steep slopes along this portion of the unimproved frontage. Construction of a setback sidewalk with planter strip would require extensive grading, construction of a wall, and the dedication of additional frontage. For these reasons the applicant proposes eliminating the landscape strip as allowed by Section 17.84.30(3) (c) and build a curb tight sidewalk in this location.
4. The timing of the installation of sidewalks shall be as follows:

Response: All required improvements will be completed prior to occupancy or the applicant will bond these improvements as allowed.

### 17.84.50 STREET REQUIREMENTS

A. Traffic evaluations may be required of all development proposals in accordance with the following:

1. A proposal establishing the scope of the traffic evaluation shall be submitted for review to the City Engineer. The evaluation requirements shall reflect the magnitude of the project in accordance with accepted traffic engineering practices. Large projects should assess all nearby key intersections. Once the scope of the traffic evaluation has been approved, the applicant shall present the results with and an overall site development proposal. If required by the City Engineer, such evaluations shall be signed by a Licensed Professional Civil Engineer or Licensed Professional Traffic Engineer licensed in the State of Oregon.
2. If the traffic evaluation identifies level-of-service conditions less than the minimum standard established in the Transportation System Plan, improvements and funding strategies mitigating the problem shall be considered concurrent with a development proposal.
Response: The City of Sandy has required the applicant to provide a traffic impact study with this application. The applicant contracted with a Traffic Engineer to complete this study which will be included with the application package.

### 17.84.60 PUBLIC FACILITY EXTENSIONS

A. All development sites shall be provided with public water, sanitary sewer, broadband (fiber), and storm drainage.
Response: The site will be connected to all utilities as appropriate.
B. Where necessary to serve property as specified in "A" above, required public facility installations shall be constructed concurrent with development.
Response: This section is not applicable.
C. Off-site public facility extensions necessary to fully serve a development site and adjacent properties shall be constructed concurrent with development.

Response: This section is not applicable.
D. As necessary to provide for orderly development of adjacent properties, public facilities installed concurrent with development of a site shall be extended through the site to the edge of adjacent property(ies).
Response: As shown on the Utility Plan, an existing public sanitary sewer line currently runs across the front of the subject property. No recorded easement was found to accommodate this facility. As part of the approval, the applicant will provide a 15 foot wide easement for this facility. All other onsite facilities will be private.
E. All public facility installations required with development shall conform to the City's facilities master plans.
Response: This section is not applicable.
F. Private on-site sanitary sewer and storm drainage facilities may be considered provided all the following conditions exist:

1. Extension of a public facility through the site is not necessary for the future orderly development of adjacent properties;
2. The development site remains in one ownership and land division does not occur (with the exception of land divisions that may occur under the provisions of 17.84 .50 F above);
3. The facilities are designed and constructed in accordance with the Uniform Plumbing Code and other applicable codes, and permits and/or authorization to proceed with construction is issued prior to commencement of work.
Response: All utilities will be private.

### 17.84.70 PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT PROCEDURES

Response: No public improvements are proposed.

### 17.84.80 FRANCHISE UTILITY EXTENSIONS

These standards are intended to supplement, not replace or supersede, requirements contained within individual franchise agreements the City has with providers of electrical power, telephone, cable television, and natural gas services (hereinafter referred to as "franchise utilities").
Response: Franchise utilities will be installed as needed according to the requirements of this section.

### 17.84.90 LAND FOR PUBLIC PURPOSES

A. Easements for public sanitary sewer, water, storm drain, pedestrian and bicycle facilities shall be provided whenever these facilities are located outside a public right-of-way in accordance with the following:
Response: As noted above, an existing public sanitary sewer lines traverses the site but is not contained within an easement. The applicant intends to provide a 15 -foot wide easement to accommodate this facility as required.

## CHAPTER 17.90 DESIGN STANDARDS

### 17.90.10 APPLICABILITY

The provisions of this chapter apply to all zones and uses as follows except as specified in Sections 17.90.10(B), (C), (D), (E), and (F) below:
A. All construction within a Commercial or Industrial Zoning District or a nonresidential use in a Residential Zoning District including the following:

1. New construction;
2. Replacement of a building that is destroyed as specified in Section 17.08.30;
3. Addition to an existing building;
4. Exterior alterations other than general maintenance on an existing building;
5. Site improvements including changes to landscaping, parking, civic spaces, etc.

Response: The proposal includes constructing a new awning/wash facility on the east side of the existing building and additional site improvements. As such, the requirements of this chapter are applicable.

### 17.90.130 LIGHT INDUSTRIAL (I-2) DESIGN STANDARDS

A. Access.

1. All lots shall abut or have access to a dedicated public street.
2. All lots which have access to a public alley shall provide for all personal and service access for vehicles from that alley.
3. Parking lots may include public alley accessed garages at the rear property line.
4. Joint use of access points and interconnections shall be required, where deemed needed by the Director and City Engineer.
5. Each lot shall be permitted one access point, except lots with street frontage of 150 feet or more may be permitted one or more additional access point, if approved by the City Engineer.
6. Connection to Adjacent Properties: The location of any real improvements to the property must provide for a future street and pedestrian network to adjacent properties.
Response: The subject property is currently accessed from 362nd Drive. Due to access spacing issues, the applicant proposes relocating this access slightly to the south to align with the existing access across 362nd Drive from the property. In addition, to better accommodate the movement of large RV's on and off the site, the applicant also proposes constructing a new access onto Industrial Way. No joint use access points have been identified or are necessary The proposal complies with this standard.

## B. Pedestrian Accessibility.

1. Special attention shall be given to designing a primary building entrance, which is both attractive and functional.
2. Building entries must comply with the accessibility requirements of the Oregon State Structural Specialty Code.
3. Buildings located at the intersection of two streets shall consider the use of a corner entrance to the building.
4. Pedestrian environment may be enhanced by street furniture, landscaping, awnings, and movable planters of seasonal flowers.
Response: The subject building is existing and no improvements to the building entrance or facade visible from a street are proposed. The proposal complies with this standard.
C. Building Facades, Materials and Colors.
5. Facades. Facades shall be varied and articulated to provide visual interest to pedestrians. Within larger projects, variations in facades, floor levels, architectural features, and exterior finishes shall create the appearance of several smaller buildings.
Response: No changes to the facade of either building, except the eastern facade of the repair building are proposed.
6. Building Materials. Exterior building materials shall convey an impression of durability. Materials such as masonry, stone, stucco, and wood are encouraged. Metal is not allowed as the primary exterior building material except in the I-2 and I-3 districts, but it may be used for accents including awnings.
Response: The proposed awning will contain a metal standing seam roof and will be sided with vertical ribbed metal siding as permitted by this section.
7. Siding. Lap or horizontal siding or walls of brick, masonry or stone shall be required. Vertical grooved (i.e., T1-11) sheet siding is prohibited.
Response: Metal siding is proposed as allowed in the section above. The proposal complies with this standard.
8. Masonry Finishes. Where masonry is used for exterior finish, decorative patterns must be incorporated. Examples of these decorative patterns include multicolored masonry units, such as brick, stone, or cast stone, in layered or geometric patterns or split-faced concrete block to simulate a rusticated stone-type construction.
9. Change in Relief. Buildings must include changes in relief on ten percent of the facades facing public streets or residential development. Relief changes include cornices, bases, fenestration, fluted masonry or other treatments for pedestrian interest and scale.
Response: No masonry is proposed. The proposed awing will be open to the east and north and contain a 20 foot deep section and a 35 foot deep section to provide a degree of relief change. The proposal complies with this section.
10. Colors. Preferred colors for exterior building finishes are earth tones, creams, and pastels of earth tones. High-intensity primary colors, metallic colors, and black may be utilized as trim and detail colors but shall not be used as primary wall colors.
Response: As noted on the submitted Architectural Plans, the new structure will contain integrated color noted as Sterling Grey with Charcoal Grey trim. The proposed colors comply with this section. Because these colors are different then
the color of the existing building, the applicant intends to repaint the entire existing building to match the new awning at a later date.
11. Ornamental Devices. Ornamental devices, such as molding, entablature and friezes, are encouraged at the roofline. Where such ornamentation is present in the form of a linear molding or board, the band must be at least eight inches wide.
12. Alcoves, Porches, Arcades, etc. Building must incorporate features such as arcades, awnings, roofs, porches, alcoves, and porticoes to protect pedestrians from the rain and sun. Awnings and entrances may be designed to be shared between two structures.
13. Continuous Outdoor Arcades. Continuous outdoor arcades are strongly encouraged.
14. Traditional Storefront Elements. For buildings designed to house retail, service, or office businesses, traditional storefront elements are required. These elements include:
a. Clearly delineated upper and lower facades;
b. A lower facade dominated by large display windows and a recessed entry or entries;
c. Smaller, regularly spaced windows in the upper floor;
d. Decorative trim, such as window hoods, surrounding upper floor windows;
e. A decorative cornice near the top of the facade.

Response: The proposed awning does not require any of the design features in these sections.

## D. Roof Pitch, Materials, and Parapets.

1. 

| Zoning District | Pitch |
| :--- | :--- |
| $1-2$ | $3: 12$ |

2. Flat roofs (with minimum pitch for drainage) are permitted with detailed stepped parapets or detailed brick coursing.
3. Parapet corners must be stepped or the parapet must be designed to emphasize the center or primary entrance(s), unless the primary entrance is at the corner of the building.
4. Visible roof materials must be wood or architectural grade composition shingle, slate, tile or sheet metal with standing or batten seam.
5. All roof and wall-mounted mechanical, electrical, communications, and service equipment, including satellite dishes and vent pipes, must be screened from public view by parapets, walls or by other approved means.
Response: No changes to the primary roof structure of either building on the site are proposed. The proposed awning contains a 2:12 pitch roof to match the pitch of the existing building which it is attached to. A Type II Variance to the 3:12 pitch roof standard in this section has been requested as reviewed in Chapter 17.66.

## E. Building Orientation and Entrance Standards.

1. Primary entries shall face a public street or designated pedestrian way.
2. Primary entrances must be architecturally emphasized and visible from the public right-of-way.
3. Buildings must have an entrance connecting directly between the right-of-way and the building interior.
4. Secondary entries may face parking lots or loading areas. Buildings must have an entrance connecting directly between the street and the building interior.
5. Entries shall be sheltered with an overhang or portico with a depth of at least four feet.
6. Multiple units: Ground floor units shall face a public street or designated pedestrian way and be visible from the street whenever feasible and shall avoid out-of-direction travel.
Response: No changes to the facades of either building are proposed.

## F. Windows.

1. Windows, which allow views to the interior activity or display areas, are encouraged. Windows shall include sills at bottom and pediments at the top. Glass curtain walls, reflective glass, and painted or darkly tinted glass shall not be used.
2. Ground Floor Windows. All new buildings must provide ground floor windows along street frontages.
a. Required window areas must be either windows that allow views into working areas or lobbies, pedestrian entrances, or display windows.
b. Required windows must have a sill no more than four feet above grade. Where interior floor levels prohibit such placement, the sill must be raised to allow it to be no more than two feet above the finished floor level, up to a maximum sill height of six feet above grade.
c. Darkly tinted windows and mirrored windows that block two way visibility are prohibited for ground floor windows along street façades.
d. Any wall that faces a public right-of-way must contain at least ten percent of the ground floor wall area in display areas, windows, and doorways. Blank walls facing a public right-of-way are prohibited.
e. Glass curtain windows are not permitted fronting public rights-of-way.
3. Upper Floor Window Standards.
a. Glass area dimensions shall not exceed five feet by seven feet. (The longest dimension may be taken either horizontally or vertically.)
b. Windows must have trim or molding at least two inches wide around their perimeters.
c. At least half of all the window area in upper floors must be made up of glass panes with dimensions no greater than two feet by three feet. Windows that have one foot by one foot grid inside double pane glass are appropriate and are encouraged.
Response: No new windows or changes to existing windows are proposed.

## G. Landscaping/Streetscape.

1. Benches, outdoor seating, and trash receptacles must complement the existing ornamental street lighting and be in keeping with the overall architectural character of the area.
2. Benches and other streetscape items may be placed within the public right-of-way but must not block free movement of pedestrians. A minimum pedestrian walkway width of five feet must be maintained at all times.
Response: None of these amenities are proposed.
H. Lighting.
3. All building entrances and exits must be well lighted.
4. Exterior lighting must be an integral part of the architectural design and must complement any ornamental street lighting and remain in context with the overall architectural character of the district.
5. Lighting must be adequate for safety purposes.
6. Lighting must be of a pedestrian scale and the source light must be shielded to reduce glare.
Response: A Photometric Analysis is included with the application package.
I. Safety and Security.
7. Locate windows in a manner, which enables tenants, employees and police to watch over pedestrian, parking and loading areas.
8. In commercial, public and semipublic development and where possible in industrial development, locate windows in a manner which enables surveillance of interior activity from the public right-of-way.
9. Provide an identification system, which clearly locates buildings and their entries for patrons and emergency services.
10. Locate, orient and select on-site lighting to facilitate surveillance of on-site activities from the public right-of-way or other public areas.
Response: No new windows are proposed to the existing buildings. The applicant intends to install surveillance cameras to monitor on-site activities as necessary.
J. External Storage.
11. The exterior storage of merchandise and/or materials, except as specifically authorized as a permitted accessory use, is prohibited.
Response: The majority of the site will be used to stage recreational vehicles for servicing.
K. Trash Collection/Recycling Areas.
12. All trash collection areas must be located within the structure or behind the building in an enclosure in accordance with the provisions of the City of Sandy Design Standards, Appendix A.
Response: As shown on the Site Plan, a new garbage enclosure will be located on the south side of the building. This facility will be a screened by a chain link fence enclosure with vinyl slats installed.

## CHAPTER 17.92 LANDSCAPING AND SCREENING GENERAL STANDARDS ALL ZONES

Response: As noted above, because of site constraints with the proposed stormwater detention facility and the nature of the proposed use, no onsite landscaping is proposed with this application. The proposal includes landscaping within the public right-of-way in the location of the removed approach on Proctor Blvd.

### 17.92.10 GENERAL PROVISIONS

A. Where landscaping is required by this Code, detailed planting plans shall be submitted for review with development applications. No development may commence until the Director or Planning Commission has determined the plans comply with the purposes clause and specific standards in this chapter. All required landscaping and related improvements shall be completed or financially guaranteed prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy.
Response: A Landscape Plan containing the details of the proposed landscape planting is included with the application package. The applicant understands all required landscaping shall be completed or financially guaranteed prior to the issuance of a final Certificate of Occupancy.
B. Appropriate care and maintenance of landscaping on-site and landscaping in the adjacent public right-of-way is the right and responsibility of the property owner, unless City ordinances specify otherwise for general public and safety reasons. If street trees or other plant materials do not survive or are removed, materials shall be replaced in kind within 6 months.
Response: All required landscape materials will be cared for as specified in this section.
C. Significant plant and tree specimens should be preserved to the greatest extent practicable and integrated into the design of a development. Trees of 25 -inches or greater circumference measured at a height of $4-1 / 2 \mathrm{ft}$. above grade are considered significant. Plants to be saved and methods of protection shall be indicated on the detailed planting plan submitted for approval. Existing trees may be considered preserved if no cutting, filling, or compaction of the soil takes place between the trunk of the tree and the area $5-\mathrm{ft}$. outside the tree's drip line. Trees to be retained shall be protected from damage during construction by a construction fence located 5 ft . outside the dripline.
Response: The applicant intends to retain all existing landscaping and supplement this by planting additional materials. No significant trees are located on the site.
D. Planter and boundary areas used for required plantings shall have a minimum diameter of $5-\mathrm{ft}$. (2-1/2 ft. radius, inside dimensions). Where the curb or the edge of these areas are used as a tire stop for parking, the planter or boundary plantings shall be a minimum width of $7-1 / 2 \mathrm{ft}$.
Response: The landscape planters along the north, south, and west property lines are proposed to be formalized with additional plant materials added. In addition, new landscape planters will be added on the south side of the parking bay along
the west side of the existing building and on the north side of the parking bay along the western property line landscape buffer.
E. In no case shall shrubs, conifer trees, or other screening be permitted within vision clearance areas of street, alley, or driveway intersections, or where the City Engineer otherwise deems such plantings would endanger pedestrians and vehicles.
Response: No landscape materials will be located with a vision clearance area.
F. Landscaped planters and other landscaping features shall be used to define, soften or screen the appearance of off-street parking areas and other activity from the public street. Up to 35 percent of the total required landscaped area may be developed into pedestrian amenities, including, but not limited to sidewalk cafes, seating, water features, and plazas, as approved by the Director or Planning Commission.
Response: A landscape planter is proposed at the end of the parking bay on the west side of the existing building and on the north side of the parking bay along the western property line landscape buffer.
G. Required landscaping/open space shall be designed and arranged to offer the maximum benefits to the occupants of the development as well as provide visual appeal and building separation.
Response: As noted above and shown on the plans, 15.71 percent of the site will be landscaped. All landscaped areas are designed to screen the site and to enhance the appearance of the site to provide visual appeal and interest.
H. Balconies required for entrances and exits shall not be considered as open space except where such exits and entrances are for the sole use of the unit.
I. Roofed structures shall not be included as open space except for open unenclosed public patios, balconies, gazebos, or other similar structures or spaces.
Response: These sections are not applicable.
J. Driveways and parking areas shall not be included as open space.

Response: None of these areas are included in site landscaping calculations.
K. All areas not occupied by paved roadways, walkways, patios, or buildings shall be landscaped.
Response: As shown on submitted plans all areas not occupied by buildings and paved surfaces will be landscaped.
L. All landscaping shall be continually maintained, including necessary watering, weeding, pruning and replacing.
Response: All landscaping is intended to be maintained as required.

### 17.92.20 MINIMUM IMPROVEMENTS - LANDSCAPING AND SCREENING

The minimum landscaping area of a site to be retained in landscaping shall be as follows: I-2, Light Industrial - 15\%
Response: As shown on the Landscape Plan and Site Plan, all areas not containing building or hard surfaces will be landscaped. About 23,585 square feet (15.71 percent) of the 3.45 acre total site is proposed to be landscaped. The area of landscaping exceeds the 15 percent minimum landscaping (22,514 square feet) required in the $1-2$ zone. The proposal complies with this standard.

### 17.92.30 REQUIRED TREE PLANTINGS

Planting of trees is required for all parking lots with 4 or more parking spaces, public street frontages, and along private drives more than 150 feet long. Trees shall be planted outside the street right-of-way except where there is a designated planting strip or City adopted street tree plan.
The City maintains a list of appropriate trees for street tree and parking lot planting situations. Selection of species should be made from the city-approved list. Alternate selections may be approved by the Director following written request. The type of tree used shall determine frequency of trees in planting areas. Trees in parking areas shall be dispersed throughout the lot to provide a canopy for shade and visual relief. Response: The proposed development contains 19 new parking spaces for a total of 32 spaces. A Landscape Plan is included with the submitted plan set. This plan identifies all proposed landscaping. All existing landscaping will be retained and supplemented with new plant materials.

### 17.92.40 IRRIGATION

Landscaping shall be irrigated, either with a manual or automatic system, to sustain viable plant life.
Response: All formal landscape areas will be irrigated using either a manual or automatic system. The details of this system will be determined with building plans.

### 17.92.50 TYPES AND SIZES OF PLANT MATERIALS

A. At least $75 \%$ of the required landscaping area shall be planted with a suitable combination of trees, shrubs, or evergreen ground cover except as otherwise authorized by Chapter 17.92.10 F.
B. Plant Materials. Use of native plant materials or plants acclimatized to the Pacific Northwest is encouraged where possible.
C. Trees shall be species having an average mature spread of crown greater than 15 feet and having trunks which can be maintained in a clear condition with over 5 feet of clear wood (without branches). Trees having a mature spread of crown less than 15 feet may be substituted by grouping the same so as to create the equivalent of a 15 -foot crown spread.
D. Deciduous trees shall be balled and burlapped, be a minimum of 7 feet in overall height or $11 / 2$ inches in caliper measured 6 inches above the ground, immediately after planting. Bare root trees will be acceptable to plant during their dormant season.
E. Coniferous trees shall be a minimum five feet in height above ground at time of planting.
F. Shrubs shall be a minimum of 1 gallon in size or 2 feet in height when measured immediately after planting.
G. Hedges, where required to screen and buffer off-street parking from adjoining properties shall be planted with an evergreen species maintained so as to form a continuous, solid visual screen within 2 years after planting.
H. Vines for screening purposes shall be a minimum of 1 gallon in size or 30 inches in height immediate after planting and may be used in conjunction with fences, screens, or walls to meet physical barrier requirements as specified.
I. Groundcovers shall be fully rooted and shall be well branched or leafed. If used in lieu of turf in whole or in part, ground covers shall be planted in such a manner as to provide complete coverage in one year.
J. Turf areas shall be planted in species normally grown as permanent lawns in western Oregon. Either sod or seed are acceptable. Acceptable varieties include improved perennial ryes and fescues used within the local landscape industry.
K. Landscaped areas may include architectural features or artificial ground covers such as sculptures, benches, masonry or stone walls, fences, rock groupings, bark dust, decorative hard paving and gravel areas, interspersed with planted areas. The exposed area developed with such features shall not exceed $25 \%$ of the required landscaped area. Artificial plants are prohibited in any required landscape area.
Response: The submitted Landscape Plan has been designed in accordance with the standards of this section. All deciduous trees will be at least 1.5-inch caliper, coniferous trees at five feet in height, shrubs will be two to five gallons, groundcover will be four inch pots and spaced 30 -inches on-center as appropriate. The submitted Landscape Plan complies with these standards.

### 17.92.70 LANDSCAPING BETWEEN PUBLIC RIGHT-OF-WAY AND PROPERTY LINES

Except for portions allowed for parking, loading, or traffic maneuvering, a required setback area abutting a public street and open area between the property line and the roadway in the public street shall be landscaped. That portion of the landscaping within the street right-of-way shall not count as part of the lot area percentage to be landscaped.
Response: Offsite landscaping is not counted toward required landscaping. No offsite areas are proposed to contain landscaping.

### 17.92.80 BUFFER PLANTING - PARKING, LOADING AND MANEUVERING AREAS

Buffer plantings are used to reduce building scale, provide transition between contrasting architectural styles, and generally mitigate incompatible or undesirable views. They are used to soften rather than block viewing. Where required, a mix of plant materials shall be used to achieve the desired buffering effect. Buffering is required in conjunction with issuance of construction permits for parking areas containing 4 or more spaces, loading areas, and vehicle maneuvering areas.

Boundary plantings shall be used to buffer these uses from adjacent properties and the public right-of-way. On-site plantings shall be used between parking bays, as well as between parking bays and vehicle maneuvering areas. A balance of low-lying
ground cover and shrubs, and vertical shrubs and trees shall be used to buffer the view of these facilities. Decorative walls and fences may be used in conjunction with plantings, but may not be used by themselves to comply with buffering requirements. Exception: truck parking lots are exempt from parking bay buffer planting requirements.
Response: As shown on submitted plans, all parking spaces will be buffered by a landscape planter.

### 17.92.90 SCREENING (HEDGES, FENCES, WALLS, BERMS)

Screening is uses where unsightly views or visual conflicts must be obscured or blocked and where privacy and security are desired. Fences and walls used for screening may be constructed of wood, concrete, stone, brick, and wrought iron, or other commonly used fencing/wall materials. Acoustically designed fences and walls are also used where noise pollution requires mitigation.
A. Height and Opacity. Where landscaping is used for required screening, it shall be at least 6 ft . in height and at least 80 percent opaque, as seen from a perpendicular line of sight, within 2 years following establishment of the primary use of the site.
B. Chain Link Fencing. A chain link fence with slats shall qualify for screening only if a landscape buffer is also provided in compliance with Section 17.92.00 above.
C. Height Measurement. The height of hedges, fences, walls, and berm shall be measured from the lowest adjoining finished grade, except where used to comply with screening requirements for parking, loading, storage, and similar areas. In these cases, height shall be measured from the finished grade of such improvements. Screening is not permitted within vision clearance areas.
D. Berms. Earthen berms up to 6 ft . in height may be used to comply with screening requirements. Slope of berms may not exceed $2: 1$ and both faces of the slope shall be planted with ground cover, shrubs, and trees.
Response: All existing and proposed plant materials comply with the requirements of this section.

### 17.92.100 SCREENING OF SERVICE FACILITIES

Site-obscuring shrubbery or a berm, wall or fence shall be placed along a property line between residential and commercial and industrial zones and around unsightly areas such as trash and recycling areas, gas meters, ground level air conditioning units, disc antennas exceeding 36 inches in diameter and equipment storage or an industrial or commercial use with outside storage of equipment or materials.
Response: The entire site perimeter will be screened by landscape materials as shown on the Landscape Plan.

### 17.92.110 OUTDOOR STORAGE

All outdoor storage areas for commercial, industrial, public and semi-public uses are to be entirely screened by a sight obscuring fence, vegetative materials, or other alternative deemed appropriate by the Director. Exceptions to the preceding requirements include: new or used cars, cycles and trucks (but not including car parts
or damaged vehicles); new or used boat sales; recreational vehicle sales; new or used large equipment sales or rentals; manufactured home
Response: Recreational vehicles waiting to be repaired will be parked on the site as an ancillary use. No outdoor storage is proposed.

## CHAPTER 17.98 PARKING, LOADING, AND ACCESS REQUIREMENTS

### 17.98.10 GENERAL PROVISIONS

A. Provision and Maintenance. The provision of required off-street parking for motor vehicles and bicycles, and loading facilities for motor vehicles is a continuing obligation of the property owners. Building permits or other permits will only be issued after review and approval of site plans showing location of permanent access, parking and loading facilities.
B. Unspecified Requirements. Vehicle and bicycle parking requirements for uses not specified in this chapter shall be determined by the Director based upon the requirements of similar specified uses.
C. New Structure or Use. When a structure is constructed or a new use of land is commenced, on-site vehicle and bicycle parking and loading spaces shall be provided in accordance with Section 17.98.20 below or as otherwise modified through a planned development or specific area plan.
Response: All of these sections have been reviewed and the proposal addresses these requirements.
D. Alteration of Existing Structures. When an existing structure is altered to the extent that the existing use is intensified, on-site vehicle and bicycle parking shall be provided in the amount required for such intensification.
E. Increased Intensity. When increased intensity requires no more than 2 vehicle spaces, no additional parking facilities shall be required. However, the effects of changes, additions, or enlargements shall be cumulative. When the net effect of one or more changes generates a need for more than two spaces, the additional required spaces shall be provided. Additional spaces shall be required for the intensification but not for the original use.
F. Change in Use. When an existing structure or use of land is changed in use from one use to another use as listed in Section 17.98 .20 below and the vehicle and bicycle parking requirements for each use type are the same; no additional parking shall be required. However, where a change in use results in an intensification of use in terms of number of vehicle and bicycle parking spaces required, additional parking space shall be provided in an amount equal to the difference between the number of spaces required for the existing use and number of spaces required for the more intensive use.
Response: The proposed use constitutes a change in use.
G. Time of Completion. Required parking spaces and loading areas shall be improved and available for use prior to issuance of a temporary occupancy and/or final building inspection.
Response: All required parking will be constructed prior to temporary or final occupancy.
H. Inoperative Motor Vehicles. In any residential district, all motor vehicles incapable of movement under their own power or lacking legal registration shall be completely screened from public view.
I. Truck Parking. In residential zoning districts, no overnight parking of trucks or other equipment on wheels or tracks exceeding a 1 -ton capacity used in the conduct of a business activity shall be permitted except vehicles and equipment necessary for farming and truck gardening on the premises where such use is conducted.
J. Mixed Uses. In the case of mixed uses, the total required vehicle and bicycle parking shall be the sum of requirements of individual uses computed separately.
K. Conflicting Parking Requirements. When a building or use is planned or constructed in such a manner that more than one standard is applicable, the use that requires the greater number of parking spaces shall govern.
Response: These sections are not applicable.
L. Availability of Parking Spaces. Required vehicle and bicycle parking spaces shall be unobstructed, available for parking of vehicles and bicycles of residents, customers, patrons, and employees only, and shall not be used for storage of vehicles or materials or for parking of vehicles and bicycles used in conducting the business or use and shall not be used for sale, repair, or servicing of any vehicle or bicycle.
Response: All proposed vehicle and bicycle parking spaces will be available as required.
N. Location of Required Parking.

1. Off-street vehicle parking required for residential uses, except for residential uses in the Central Business District, shall be provided on the development site of the primary structure. Except where permitted by 17.98 .40 below, required parking for all other uses in other districts shall be provided on the same site as the use or upon abutting property.
2. May be utilized in the $\mathrm{C}-1$ Zoning District to meet the minimum parking requirements as specified in Section 17.98 .30 (B).
3. Bicycle parking required for all uses in all districts shall be provided on the development site in accordance with Section 17.98.160 below.
Response: All vehicle and bicycle parking will be located on the same lot as the proposed use.
P. Fractions. When the sum of the required vehicle and bicycle parking spaces is a fraction of a space ( 0.5 or more of a space) a full space shall be required.
Response: The calculation of required vehicle and bicycle parking has been rounded according to the requirements in this section.
Q. Maximum Parking Allowed. Commercial or Industrial zoned properties shall not be permitted to exceed the minimum off-street vehicle parking required by Section 17.98 .20 by more than 30 percent.

Response: Section 17.98 .20 as reviewed below requires 32 parking spaces and this is the number of spaces proposed with this application.

### 17.98.20 OFF-STREET PARKING REQUIREMENTS

A. Off Street Parking Requirements. Off street parking shall conform to the following standards:

1. All square footage measurements are gross square feet of total floor area.
2. 18 lineal inches of bench shall be considered 1 seat.
3. Except as otherwise specified, parking for employees shall be provided based on 1 space per 2 employees for the largest shift in addition to required parking specified in Sections A6-A9 below.
4. Where less than 5 parking spaces are required, then only one bicycle space shall be required except as otherwise modified in Sections 5-9 below.
5. In addition to requirements for residential off street parking, new dwellings shall meet the on-street parking requirements in Section 17.98.200.
Response: The proposal complies with these requirements as applicable.
6. 

| Industrial Uses | Number of Parking Spaces | Number of Bicycle Spaces |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sales, Storage, Rental, <br> Services and Repairs of: <br> recreation vehicles | 1 per 1000 sf., plus <br> 1 per 2 employees | 2 |
| Required Parking | 22,219 sf building $=22$ parking <br> spaces |  |
|  | Number of employees $=20$ <br> $20 / 2=10$ parking spaces required |  |
| Proposed Parking | $22+10$ spaces $=32$ spaces <br> required | 32 spaces total (30 standard and <br> 2 ADA spaces). 11 existing <br> spaces and 19 new spaces |
| 2 existing spaces |  |  |

Response: As shown on the table above, the 22,219 square feet of indoor work area require a minimum of 22 vehicle parking spaces and two bicycle parking spaces. In addition, the applicant has indicated the site will employee 20 employees requiring an additional 10 parking spaces ( 1 space/ 2 employees). As shown on the submitted Site Plan, 32 vehicle parking spaces ( 30 standard and two ADA spaces) and two existing bicycle parking spaces in compliance with this standard.

### 17.98.50 SETBACKS

A. Parking areas, which abut a residential zoning district, shall meet the setback of the most restrictive adjoining residential zoning district.
B. Required parking shall not be located in a required front or side yard setback area abutting a public street except in industrial districts. For single family and twofamily dwellings, required off-street parking may be located in a driveway.
C. Parking areas shall be setback from a lot line adjoining a street the same distance as the required building setbacks. Regardless of other provisions, a minimum setback of 5 feet shall be provided along the property fronting on a public street. The setback area shall be landscaped as provided in this code.
Response: No parking is proposed to abut a residential zone and no parking is proposed within a required front or side yard setback area.

### 17.98.60 DESIGN, SIZE AND ACCESS

All off-street parking facilities, vehicular maneuvering areas, driveways, loading facilities, accessways, and private streets shall conform to the standards set forth in this section.
A. Parking Lot Design. All areas for required parking and maneuvering of vehicles shall have a durable hard surface such as concrete or asphalt.
Response: All parking and maneuvering areas will be surfaced with asphalt.
B. Size of Space.

1. A standard parking space shall be 9 feet by 18 feet.
2. A compact parking space shall be 8 feet by 16 feet.
3. Handicapped parking spaces shall be 13 feet by 18 feet. Accessible parking shall be provided for all uses in compliance with the requirements of the State of Oregon (ORS 447.233) and the Americans with Disabilities Act.
4. Parallel parking spaces shall be a length of 22 feet.
5. No more than 35 percent of the parking stalls shall be compact spaces. Response: All proposed parking spaces are designed in compliance with these standards. All parking spaces will be standard spaces or ADA spaces in compliance with this standard.
C. Aisle Width.

Response: All proposed parking spaces comply with these standards.

### 17.98.70 ON-SITE CIRCULATION

A. Groups of more than three (3) parking spaces shall be permanently striped.
B. Backing and Maneuvering. Except for a single family dwelling or two family dwelling, groups of more than 3 parking spaces shall be provided with adequate aisles or turnaround areas so that all vehicles enter the right-of-way (except for alleys) in a forward manner. Parking spaces shall not have backing or maneuvering movements for any of the parking spaces occurring across public sidewalks or within any public street, except as approved by the City Engineer. Evaluations of requests for exceptions shall consider constraints due to lot patterns and impacts to the safety and capacity of the adjacent public street, bicycle and pedestrian facilities.
Response: All proposed parking will be permanently striped and the site has sufficient space to allow for all vehicles to turn-around to exit the site in a forward manner.

### 17.98.80 ACCESS TO ARTERIAL AND COLLECTOR STREETS

A. Location and design of all accesses to and/or from arterials and collectors (as designated in the Transportation System Plan) are subject to review and approval by the City Engineer. Where practical, access from a lower functional order street may be required. Accesses to arterials or collectors shall be located a minimum of 150 ft . from any other access or street intersection. Exceptions may be granted by the City Engineer. Evaluations of exceptions shall consider posted speed of the street on which access is proposed, constraints due to lot patterns, and effects on safety and capacity of the adjacent public street, bicycle and pedestrian facilities.
B. No development site shall be allowed more than one access point to any arterial or collector street (as designated in the Transportation System Plan) except as approved by the City Engineer. Evaluations of exceptions shall consider posted speed of street on which access is proposed, constraints due to lot patterns, and effects on safety and capacity of the adjacent public street, bicycle and pedestrian facilities.
C. When developed property is to be expanded or altered in a manner that significantly affects on-site parking or circulation, both existing and proposed accesses shall be reviewed under the standards in A and B above. As a part of an expansion or alteration approval, the City may require relocation and/or reconstruction of existing accesses not meeting those standards.
Response: 362nd Drive is classified as a Minor Arterial and Industrial Way, a Collector. The existing access on 362nd Drive is proposed to be relocated to the south and a new access is proposed on Industrial Way. The 362nd Drive access is intended to be used primarily by employees and the Industrial Way access will be used to move recreational vehicles on and off the site. A Traffic Engineer was contracted to prepare a traffic impact study as requested.

### 17.98.100 DRIVEWAYS

A. A driveway to an off-street parking area shall be improved from the public roadway to the parking area a minimum width of 20 feet for a two-way drive or 12 feet for a one-way drive but in either case not less than the full width of the standard approach for the first 20 feet of the driveway.
B. A driveway for a single-family dwelling shall have a minimum width of 10 feet.
C. A driveway for a two-family dwelling shall have a minimum width of 20 feet. A driveway approach must be constructed in accordance with applicable city standards and the entire driveway must be paved with asphalt or concrete.
D. Driveways, aisles, turnaround areas and ramps shall have a minimum vertical clearance of twelve feet for their entire length and width but such clearance may be reduced in parking structures.
E. No driveway shall traverse a slope in excess of 15 percent at any point along the driveway length.
F. The location and design of the driveway shall provide for unobstructed sight per the vision clearance requirements. Requests for exceptions to these requirements will be evaluated by the City Engineer considering the physical limitations of the lot and safety impacts to vehicular, bicycle, and pedestrian traffic.

Response: The proposal complies with the minimum standards in this section.

### 17.98.110 VISION CLEARANCE

A. Except within the Central Business District, vision clearance areas shall be provided at intersections of all streets and at intersections of driveways and alleys with streets to promote pedestrian, bicycle, and vehicular safety. The extent of vision clearance to be provided shall be determined from standards in Chapter 17.74 and taking into account functional classification of the streets involved, type of traffic control present at the intersection, and designated speed for the streets.
B. Traffic control devices, streetlights, and utility installations meeting approval by the City Engineer are permitted within vision clearance areas.
Response: None of these items are located within vision clearance areas.

### 17.98.120 LANDSCAPING AND SCREENING

A. Screening of all parking areas containing 4 or more spaces and all parking areas in conjunction with an off-street loading facility shall be required in accordance with zoning district requirements and Chapter 17.98. Where not otherwise specified by district requirement, screening along a public right-of-way shall include a minimum 5 - ft . depth of buffer plantings adjacent to the right-of-way. Response: The proposal includes 32 parking spaces. All parking spaces will be screened by a 20 foot deep landscaped buffer along 362nd Drive and Industrial Way. The proposal complies with this standard.
B. When parking in a commercial or industrial district adjoins a residential zoning district, a sight-obscuring screen that is at least $80 \%$ opaque when viewed horizontally from between 2 and 8 feet above the average ground level shall be required. The screening shall be composed of materials that are an adequate size so as to achieve the required degree of screening within 3 years after installation. Response: As shown on the City's Zoning Map, the subject property does not abut a residential zone. For this reason, compliance with this section is not required.
C. Except for a residential development which has landscaped yards, parking facilities shall include landscaping to cover not less than $10 \%$ of the area devoted to parking facilities. The landscaping shall be uniformly distributed throughout the parking area and may consist of trees, shrubs, and ground covers.
Response: The Landscape Plan shows landscaping within and along the edge of all paved areas.
D. Parking areas shall be divided into bays of not more than 20 spaces in parking areas with 20 or more spaces. Between, and at the end of each parking bay, there shall be planters that have a minimum width of 5 feet and a minimum length of 17 feet for a single depth bay and 34 feet for a double bay. Each planter shall contain one major structural tree and ground cover. Truck parking and loading areas are exempt from this requirement.

Response: All parking areas are divided into bays containing no more than 20 parking spaces. A planter in compliance with this section is proposed at each end of the proposed parking bays.
E. Parking area setbacks shall be landscaped with major trees, shrubs, and ground cover as specified in Chapter 17.92.
Response: As shown on the submitted Landscape Plan, all parking area setbacks will be landscaped in compliance with Chapter 17.92.
F. Wheel stops, bumper guards, or other methods to protect landscaped areas shall be provided. No vehicle may project over a property line or a public right-of-way. Parking may project over an internal sidewalk, but a minimum clearance of 5 feet for safe pedestrian circulation is required.
Response: As shown on the Site Plan, wheel stops are proposed in front of parking spaces adjacent to the building to prevent vehicles from encroaching on sidewalks. The proposed parking spaces along the west buffer planting do not require wheel stops due to the depth of this planter.

### 17.98.130 PAVING

A. Parking areas, driveways, aisles and turnarounds shall be paved with concrete, asphalt or comparable surfacing, constructed to city standards for off-street vehicle areas.
B. Gravel surfacing shall be permitted only for areas designated for non-motorized trailer or equipment storage, propane or electrically powered vehicles, or storage of tracked vehicles.
Response: As shown on submitted plans all driving surfaces will be paved with asphalt.

### 17.98.140 DRAINAGE

Parking areas, aisles and turnarounds shall have adequate provisions made for the onsite collection of drainage waters to eliminate sheet flow of such waters onto sidewalks, public rights-of-way and abutting private property.
Response: A preliminary stormwater management plan is provided as part of the application package. This plan has been designed in accordance with the City of Sandy Stormwater Management requirements. As shown on the submitted Utility Plan all roof and parking lot stormwater water will be routed to the proposed underground stormwater detention tank.

### 17.98.150 LIGHTING

Artificial lighting shall be provided in all required off-street parking areas. Lighting shall be directed into the site and shall be arranged to not produce direct glare on adjacent properties. Light elements shall be shielded and shall not be visible from abutting residential properties. Lighting shall be provided in all bicycle parking areas so that all facilities are thoroughly illuminated and visible from adjacent sidewalks or vehicle parking lots during all hours of use.

Response: As noted above, the applicant proposes installing new lighting to illuminate the site. All site lighting will be designed and installed in accordance with Chapter 15.30, Dark Sky Ordinance standards.

### 17.98.160 BICYCLE PARKING FACILITIES

Multi-family developments, industrial, commercial and community service uses, transit transfer stations, and park and ride lots shall meet the following standards for bicycle parking facilities. The intent of this section is to provide secure bicycle parking that is visible from a building's primary entrance and convenient to bicyclists. A. Location.

1. Bicycle parking shall be located on-site, convenient to primary building entrances, and have direct access to both the public right-of-way and to the main entrance of the principal structure.
2. Bicycle parking areas shall be visible from building interiors where possible.
3. For facilities with multiple buildings or parking lots, bicycle parking shall be located in areas of greatest use and convenience to bicyclists.
4. If the bicycle parking area is located within the vehicle parking area, the bicycle facilities shall be separated from vehicular maneuvering areas by curbing or other barrier to prevent damage to parked bicycles.
5. Curb cuts shall be installed to provide safe, convenient access to bicycle parking areas.
Response: A rack to accommodate two bicycle parking spaces currently exists on the site. The proposal complies with this standard.
B. Bicycle Parking Space Dimensions.
6. Each required bicycle parking space shall be at least $21 / 2$ feet by 6 feet. If covered, vertical clearance of 7 feet must be provided.
7. An access aisle of at least 5 feet wide shall be provided and maintained beside or between each row of bicycle parking. Vertical or upright bicycle storage structures are exempted from the parking space length.
Response: The two bicycle parking spaces comply with the space dimension requirements of this section.
C. Security.
8. Bicycle parking facilities shall offer security in the form of either a lockable enclosure in which the bicycle can be stored or a stationary object (i.e., a "rack") upon which the bicycle can be located.
9. Racks requiring user-supplied locks shall accommodate both cable and Ushaped locks. Racks shall be designed and installed to permit the frame and both wheels to be secured, with removal of the front wheel, or the frame and one wheel to be secured, if both wheels remain on the bicycle.
10. Bicycle racks shall be securely anchored to the ground or a structure and shall be designed to hold bicycles securely by means of the bicycle frame.
11. All outdoor bicycle parking facilities shall provide adequate shelter from precipitation where possible.

Response: The existing bicycle rack is secured to allow bicycles to be securely locked.

### 17.98.190 OFF-STREET LOADING FACILITIES

A. The minimum area required for commercial and industrial loading spaces is as follows:

1. 250 square feet for buildings of 5,000 to 19,999 square feet of gross floor area.
2. 500 square feet for buildings of 20,000 to 49,999 square feet of gross floor area
3. 750 square feet for buildings in excess of 50,000 square feet of gross floor area.
B. The required loading berth shall be not less than 10 feet in width by 35 feet in length and shall have an unobstructed height clearance of 14 feet.
C. Loading areas shall be screened from public view from public streets and adjacent properties except in industrial districts and shall require the same screening as parking lots.
D. Sufficient space for turning and maneuvering of vehicles shall be provided on the site in accordance with the standard specifications established by the City Engineer.
E. Entrances and exits shall be provided at locations approved in accordance with applicable ordinances and statutes.
F. No off-street loading facilities shall be required where buildings abut a public alley in such a manner that loading operations can be conducted from said alley in accordance with applicable traffic and parking ordinances.
Response: The proposed use does not warrant a separate designated loading area.

## CHAPTER 17.102-URBAN FORESTRY

### 17.102.20-APPLICABILITY

This chapter applies only to properties within the Sandy Urban Growth Boundary that are greater than one acre including contiguous parcels under the same ownership.
A. General: No person shall cut, harvest, or remove trees 11 inches DBH or greater without first obtaining a permit and demonstrating compliance with this chapter.

1. As a condition of permit issuance, the applicant shall agree to implement required provisions of this chapter and to allow all inspections to be conducted.
2. Tree removal is subject to the provisions of Chapter 15.44, Erosion Control, Chapter 17.56, Hillside Development, and Chapter 17.60 Flood and Slope Hazard.
Response: The site contains greater than one acre and the standards of this chapter are applicable.

### 17.102.50 - TREE RETENTION AND PROTECTION REQUIREMENTS

A. Tree Retention: The landowner is responsible for retention and protection of trees required to be retained as specified below:

1. At least three trees 11 inches DBH or greater are to be retained for every one-acre of contiguous ownership.
2. Retained trees can be located anywhere on the site at the landowner's discretion before the harvest begins. Clusters of trees are encouraged.
3. Trees proposed for retention shall be healthy and likely to grow to maturity, and be located to minimize the potential for blow-down following the harvest.
4. If possible, at least two of the required trees per acre must be of conifer species.
5. Trees within the required protected setback areas may be counted towards the tree retention standard if they meet these requirements.
Response: As shown on the Existing Conditions Plan, the site does not contain any trees 11-inches and greater.

## CHAPTER 15.30 - DARK SKY ORDINANCE

15.30.000 Purpose.

The purpose of the Sandy Dark Sky Ordinance is to regulate outdoor lighting in order to reduce or prevent light pollution. This means to the extent reasonably possible the reduction or prevention of glare and light trespass, the conservation of energy, and promotion of safety and security. (Ord. 2002-11)
Response: All new light fixtures will be designed and installed in accordance with these regulations. A photometric analysis and lighting fixture cut-sheets are included with the application package.

## V. Conclusion

Johnson RV requests land use approval to redevelop the subject property to provide repair and service for recreational vehicles. The proposal includes construction of a new 7,375 square foot awning on the east side of the existing building to cover a proposed RV wash area, in addition to other site improvements including paving, adding 19 employee parking spaces, constructing a recreational vehicle sanitary sewer dump station, installing an oil-grease separator, and providing stormwater detention/water quality treatment system and landscaping. In addition to these site improvements, the applicant also proposes relocating the existing access on 362nd Drive that will used primarily by employees and constructing a new access on Industrial Way to allow recreational vehicles to be safety moved on and off the site. The site is expected to employ approximately 20 employees.

In addition to design review approval, the applicant is also requesting a Type II Variance and a Type III Special Variance as described above. As shown on submitted plans and demonstrated in this narrative, the proposal complies with all applicable code sections except as reviewed above and the applicant requests the application be approved.

## EXHIBIT C

September 15, 2022

City of Sandy
39250 Pioneer Blvd
Sandy, OR 97055
503-668-5533

## RE: NOTICE REGARDING INCOMPLETION OF SUBMISSION FILE NUMBER: 22-037 DR/VAR PROJECT NAME: Johnson RV Canopy Cover

Please accept this letter as authorization for Robert Murray to be an authorized agent for RV
Direct/Brandon Johnson. Please email me if you have any concerns or questions at bjohnson@johnsonrv.com.

Sincerely,


Brandon Johnson
CEO


## EXHIBIT D




SHEET INDEX COVER SHEET AND NOTES
EIITING CONDITONS PLAN
 SIILITMANAN
GRAING/ARO
GRADING//RROSION CONTROL PLAN

## 





成

| SITE INFORMATON: BuILDNG SITE: <br>  AREA | PROJECT TEAM: CLIENT <br> JOHNSON RV ATTN: ROBERT MURRAY 16800 SE 362ND AVE., 16800 SE 362ND AVE SANDY, OR 97055 503-702-6580 <br> ENGINEER/SURVEYOR <br>  <br>  |  | TRAFFIC ENGINEER <br>  <br> LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT <br>  <br>  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |






## EXHIBIT E







## Letter of Incompleteness (Johnson RV Canopy Cover) File No. 22-037 DR

Tracy Brown [tbrownplan@gmail.com](mailto:tbrownplan@gmail.com)
Wed, Sep 14, 2022 at 2:22 PM
To: Sandy Planning [planning@cityofsandy.com](mailto:planning@cityofsandy.com), Robert Murray [rmurray@johnsonrv.com](mailto:rmurray@johnsonrv.com), Lance Forney
[lance@allcountysurveyors.com](mailto:lance@allcountysurveyors.com), Ray Moore [raym@allcountysurveyors.com](mailto:raym@allcountysurveyors.com)
Hi Emily, I wanted to let you know Mike Ard is working on the TIS and Robert will be getting confirmation of his ability to submit the application. Both of these items will be completed soon. Regarding the requested Arborist Report for trees 8 -inches DBH and greater: I just conducted a site visit to review the three onsite trees identified as 8 -inches or greater. There are only two trees inside the fence and one on the outside of this size.

The most easterly tree (identified as Tree \#1 on the attached plan and photo, is close to 8 -inches but this tree will need to be removed to construct the new entrance driveway.

Tree \#2 (Douglas fir) to the west of Tree \#1 is located inside the fence and is listed as 8 -inches. Based on my measurement, this tree is only 7 -inches at most and will be retained in a landscape planter.

Tree \#3 listed as 10 -inches on the plan is located outside the fence. This tree is really two trees, a 6 -inch and a 4 -inch Austrian Pine and will also be retained.

My conclusion after this site visit, is there are at most two trees 8 -inches and greater on the site and one of these will be removed. Also, there would seem to be little value requiring the expense of an Arborist Report given these circumstances.

Please let us know if you agree or how you would like us to proceed.
Thank, Tracy

## Tracy Brown Planning Consultants, LLC

Sandy, Oregon
503-781-0453
tbrownplan@gmail.com
www.tracybrownplanningconsultants.com

## [Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]
This e-mail is a public record of the City of Sandy and is subject to the State of Oregon Retention Schedule and may be subject to public disclosure under the Oregon Public Records Law. This e-mail, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please send a reply e-mail to let the sender know of the error and destroy all copies of the original message.
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## Introduction

The modern styling of the D-Series is striking yet unobtrusive - making a bold, progressive statement even as it blends seamlessly with its environment. The D-Series distills the benefits of the latest in LED technology into a high performance, high efficacy, long-life luminaire.
The outstanding photometric performance results in sites with excellent uniformity, greater pole spacing and lower power density. It is ideal for replacing up to 750 W metal halide in pedestrian and area lighting applications with typical energy savings of $65 \%$ and expected service life of over 100,000 hours.

## Ordering Information

EXAMPLE: DSX1 LED P7 40K T3M MVOLT SPA NLTAIR2 PIRHN DDBXD


[^0][^1]
## Ordering Information

## Accessories

DLL127F 1.5 JU Photocell - SSL twist-lock ( $120-277 \mathrm{~V})^{25}$ DLL347F 1.5CUL JU Photocell - SSL twist-lock (347V) ${ }^{25}$ DLL480F 1.5 CUL JU Photocell - SSL twist-Iock (480V) ${ }^{25}$ DSHORT SBK U Shorting cap ${ }^{25}$
DSX1HS 30CU House-side shield for P1, P2, P3, P4 and P5 ${ }^{23}$ DSX1HS 40C U House-side shield for P6 and P7 DSX1HS 60C U House-side shield for P8, P9, P10, P11 and P12 PUMBA DDBXD U* Square and round pole universal mounting -
KMA8 DDBXD U Mast arm mounting bracket adaptor (specify
External glare shield
For more control options, visit DTL and ROAM online.

## NOTES

1 HA not available with $\mathrm{P} 4, \mathrm{P} 5, \mathrm{P} 6, \mathrm{P} 7, \mathrm{P} 9$ and P 13 .
2 P10, P11, P12 or P13 and rotated optics (L90, R90) only available together
3 Any Type 5 distribution with photocell, is not available with WBA.
4 Not available with HS
5 MVOLT driver operates on any line voltage from $120-277 \mathrm{~V}(50 / 60 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ).
6 XVOLT only suitable for use with $\mathrm{P} 3, \mathrm{P} 5, \mathrm{P} 6, \mathrm{P} 7, \mathrm{P} 9$ and P 13 .
7 XVOLT works with any voltage between 277 V and 480 V .
8 XVOLT not available with fusing (SF or DF and not available with PIR, PIRH, PIR1FC3V, PIRH1FC3V.
Single fuse (SF) requires 120V, 277V or 347V. Double fuse (DF) requires 208V, 240V or 480V XVOLT not available with fusing (SF or DF
10 Suitable for mounting to round poles between $3.5^{\prime \prime}$ and $12^{\prime \prime}$ diameter.
11 Universal mounting brackets intended for retrofit on existing, pre-drilled poles only. 1.5 G vibration load rating per ANCI C136.31. Only usable when pole's drill pattern is NOT Lithonia template \#8
2 Must order fixture with SPA option. Must be ordered as a separate accessory; see Accessories information. For use with $2-3 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ diameter mast arm (not included)
13 Must be ordered with PIRHN. Sensor cover available only in dark bronze, black, white and natural aluminum colors.
14 Must be ordered with NLTAIR2. For more information on nLight Air 2 visit this link.
15 Photocell ordered and shipped as a separate line item from Acuity Brands Controls. See accessories. Shorting cap included.
16 If ROAM ${ }^{\ominus}$ node required, it must be ordered and shipped as a separate line item from Acuity Brands Controls. Node with integral dimming
17 DMG not available with PIRHN, PER5, PERT, PIR, PIRH, PIR1FC3V or PIRH1FC3V, FAO
18 Provides $50 / 50$ fixture operation via (2) independent drivers. Not available with PER, PER5, PER7, PIR or PIRH. Not available P1, P2, P3, P4 or P5
20 Reference Controls Option Default settings table on page 4.
21 Reference Motion Sensor table on page 4 to see functionality
22 Not available with other dimming controls options.
23 Not available with BLC, LCCO and RCCO distribution. Also available as a separate accessory; see Accessories information
${ }_{25}^{24}$ Must te ordered with fixture for factory pre-driling. Rernare to be specified with PER, PER5 or PER7 option. See Control Option Table on page 4 26 For retrofit use only. Only usable when pole's drill pattern is NOT Lithonia template \#8.

## Options

## EGS - External Glare Shield



## Drilling

HANDHOLE ORIENTATION


Tenon Mounting Slipfitter

| Tenon 0.D. | Mounting | Single Unit | 2 @ 180 | 2@90 | 3 @ 90 | 3 @120 | 4 @ 90 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-3/8" | RPA | AS3-5 190 | AS3-5 280 | AS3-5290 | AS3-5390 | AS3-5320 | AS3-5 490 |
| 2-7/8" | RPA | AST25-190 | AST25-280 | AST25-290 | AST25-390 | AST25-320 | AST25-490 |
| $4{ }^{4}$ | RPA | AST35-190 | AST35-280 | AST35-290 | AST35-390 | AST35-320 | AST35-490 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mounting Option | Drilling Template | Single | $2 @ 180$ | $2 @ 90$ | $3 @ 90$ | $3 @ 120$ | $4 @ 90$ |
| Head Location |  | Side B | Side B \& D | Side B \& C | Side B, C \& D | Round Pole Only | Side A, B, C \& D |
| Drill Nomenclature | $\# 8$ | DM19AS | DM28AS | DM29AS | DM39AS | DM32AS | DM49AS |

## DSX1 Area Luminaire - EPA

*Includes luminaire and integral mounting arm. Other tenons, arms, brackets or other accessories are not included in this EPA data.

| Fixture Quantity \& Mounting Configuration | Single DM19 | 2 @ 180 DM28 | 2 @ 90 DM29 | 3 @ 90 DM39 | 3 @ 120 DM32 | 4 @ 90 DM49 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mounting Type | $\square$ | - |  |  |  | - |
| DSX1 LED | 1.013 | 2.025 | 1.945 | 3.038 | 2.850 | 3.749 |


|  | Drilling Template | Minimum Acceptable Outside Pole Dimension |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SPA | $\# 8$ | $2-7 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ | $2-7 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ | $3.5^{\prime \prime}$ | $3.5^{\prime \prime}$ | $3^{\prime \prime}$ | $3.5^{\prime \prime}$ |
| RPA | $\# 8$ | $2-7 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ | $2-7 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ | $3.5^{\prime \prime}$ | $3.5^{\prime \prime}$ | $3^{\prime \prime}$ | $3.5^{\prime \prime}$ |
| SPUMBA | $\# 5$ | $2-7 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ | $33^{\prime \prime}$ | $4^{\prime \prime}$ | $4^{\prime \prime}$ | $3.5^{\prime \prime}$ | $4^{\prime \prime}$ |
| RPUMBA | $\# 5$ | $2-7 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ | $3.5^{\prime \prime}$ | $5^{\prime \prime}$ | $5^{\prime \prime}$ | $3.5^{\prime \prime}$ | $5^{\prime \prime}$ |

COMMERCIAL OUTDOOR

## Photometric Diagrams To see complete photometric reports or download .ies files for this product, visit Lithonia Lighting's D-Series Area Size 1 homepage.

Isofootcandle plots for the DSX1 LED 60C 1000 40K. Distances are in units of mounting height ( $25^{\prime}$ ).


## Performance Data

Lumen Ambient Temperature (LAT) Multipliers
Use these factors to determine relative lumen output for average ambient temperatures from $0-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(32-104^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$.

| Ambient |  | Lumen Multiplier |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $32^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 1.04 |
| $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $41^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 1.04 |
| $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $50^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 1.03 |
| $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $50^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 1.02 |
| $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $68^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 1.01 |
| $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathbf{7 7 ^ { \circ } \mathbf { F }}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0}$ |
| $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $86^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 0.99 |
| $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $95^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 0.98 |
| $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $104^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 0.97 |

## Projected LED Lumen Maintenance

Data references the extrapolated performance projections for the platforms noted in a $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ambient, based on 10,000 hours of LED testing (tested per IESNA LM-80-08 and projected per IESNA TM-21-11).
To calculate LLF, use the lumen maintenance factor that corresponds to the desired number of operating hours below. For other lumen maintenance values, contact factory.

| Operating Hours | Lumen Maintenance Factor |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1.00 |
| 25,000 | 0.96 |
| 50,000 | 0.92 |
| 100,000 | 0.85 |


| Motion Sensor Default Settings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Option | Dimmed <br> State | High Level <br> (when <br> triggered) | Phototcell <br> Operation | Dwell <br> Time | Ramp-up <br> Time | Ramp-down <br> Time |  |
| PIR or PIRH | $3 V(37 \%)$ <br> Output | $10 \mathrm{~V}(100 \%)$ <br> Output | Enabled @ 5FC | 5 min | 3 sec | 5 min |  |
| *PIR1FC3V or <br> PIRH1FC3V | $3 V(37 \%)$ <br> Output | $10 \mathrm{~V}(100 \%)$ <br> Output | Enabled @ 1FC | 5 min | 3 sec | 5 min |  |

Electrical Load


Controls Options

| Controls Options |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nomenclature | Description | Functionality | Primary control device | Notes |
| FAO | Field adjustable output device installed inside the luminaire; wired to the driver dimming leads. | Allows the luminaire to be manually dimmed, effectively trimming the light output. | FAO device | Cannot be used with other controls options that need the $0-10 \mathrm{~V}$ leads |
| DS | Drivers wired independently for 50/50 luminaire operation | The luminaire is wired to two separate circuits, allowing for $50 / 50$ operation. | Independently wired drivers | Requires two separately switched circuits. Consider nLight AIR as a more cost effective alternative. |
| PER5 or PER7 | Twist-lock photocell recepticle | Compatible with standard twist-lock photocells for dusk to dawn operation, or advanced control nodes that provide $0-10 \mathrm{~V}$ dimming signals. | Twist-lock photocells such as DLL Elite or advanced control nodes such as ROAM. | Pins 4 \& 5 to dimming leads on driver, Pins 6 \& 7 are capped inside luminaire |
| PIR or PIRH | Motion sensors with integral photocell. PIR for 8-15' mounting; PIRH for 15-30' mounting | Luminaires dim when no occupancy is detected. | Acuity Controls SBGR | Also available with PIRH1FC3V when the sensor photocell is used for dusk-to-dawn operation. |
| NLTAIR2 PIRHN | nLight AIR enabled luminaire for motion sensing, photocell and wireless communication. | Motion and ambient light sensing with group response. Scheduled dimming with motion sensor over-ride when wirelessly connected to the nLight Eclypse. | nLight Air rSDGR | nLight AIR sensors can be programmed and commissioned from the ground using the CIAIRity Pro app. |

COMMERCIAL OUTDOOR

## Performance Data

Lumen Output
Lumen values are from photometric tests performed in accordance with IESNA LM-79-08. Data is considered to be representative of the configurations shown, within the tolerances allowed by Lighting Facts Contact factory for performance data on any configurations not shown here.

| Forward Optics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LED Count | Drive | Power | System | Dist. | $\begin{gathered} 30 \mathrm{~K} \\ (3000 \mathrm{~K}, 70 \mathrm{CRI}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 40 \mathrm{~K} \\ (4000 \mathrm{~K}, 70 \mathrm{CRI}) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 50 \mathrm{~K} \\ (5000 \mathrm{~K}, 70 \mathrm{CRI}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Lumens | B | U | 6 | LPW | Lumens | B | U | , | LPW | Lumens | B | U | 6 | LPW |
| 30 | 530 | P1 | 54W | T1S | 6,457 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 120 | 6,956 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 129 | 7,044 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 130 |
|  |  |  |  | T2S | 6,450 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 119 | 6,949 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 129 | 7,037 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 130 |
|  |  |  |  | T2M | 6,483 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 120 | 6,984 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 129 | 7,073 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 131 |
|  |  |  |  | T3S | 6,279 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 116 | 6,764 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 125 | 6,850 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 127 |
|  |  |  |  | T3M | 6,468 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 120 | 6,967 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 129 | 7,056 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 131 |
|  |  |  |  | T4M | 6,327 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 117 | 6,816 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 126 | 6,902 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 128 |
|  |  |  |  | TFTM | 6,464 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 120 | 6,963 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 129 | 7,051 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 131 |
|  |  |  |  | T5VS | 6,722 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 124 | 7,242 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 134 | 7,334 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 136 |
|  |  |  |  | T5S | 6,728 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 125 | 7,248 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 134 | 7,340 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 136 |
|  |  |  |  | T5M | 6,711 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 124 | 7,229 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 134 | 7,321 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 136 |
|  |  |  |  | T5W | 6,667 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 123 | 7,182 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 133 | 7,273 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 135 |
|  |  |  |  | BLC | 5,299 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 98 | 5,709 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 106 | 5,781 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 107 |
|  |  |  |  | LCCO | 3,943 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 73 | 4,248 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 79 | 4,302 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 80 |
|  |  |  |  | RCCO | 3,943 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 73 | 4,248 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 79 | 4,302 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 80 |
| 30 | 700 | P2 | 70W | T15 | 8,249 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 118 | 8,886 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 127 | 8,999 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 129 |
|  |  |  |  | T2S | 8,240 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 118 | 8,877 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 127 | 8,989 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 128 |
|  |  |  |  | T2M | 8,283 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 118 | 8,923 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 127 | 9,036 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 129 |
|  |  |  |  | T3S | 8,021 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 115 | 8,641 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 123 | 8,751 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | T3M | 8,263 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 118 | 8,901 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 127 | 9,014 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 129 |
|  |  |  |  | T4M | 8,083 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 115 | 8,708 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 124 | 8,818 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 126 |
|  |  |  |  | TFTM | 8,257 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 118 | 8,896 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 127 | 9,008 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 129 |
|  |  |  |  | T5VS | 8,588 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 123 | 9,252 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 132 | 9,369 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 134 |
|  |  |  |  | T5S | 8,595 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 123 | 9,259 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 132 | 9,376 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 134 |
|  |  |  |  | T5M | 8,573 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 122 | 9,236 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 132 | 9,353 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 134 |
|  |  |  |  | T5W | 8,517 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 122 | 9,175 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 131 | 9,291 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 133 |
|  |  |  |  | BLC | 6,770 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 97 | 7,293 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 104 | 7,386 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 106 |
|  |  |  |  | LCCO | 5,038 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 72 | 5,427 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 78 | 5,496 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 79 |
|  |  |  |  | RCCO | 5,038 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 72 | 5,427 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 78 | 5,496 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 79 |
| 30 | 1050 | P3 | 102W | T15 | 11,661 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 114 | 12,562 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 123 | 12,721 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | T2S | 11,648 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 114 | 12,548 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 123 | 12,707 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | T2M | 11,708 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 115 | 12,613 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 124 | 12,773 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | T3S | 11,339 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 111 | 12,215 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 120 | 12,370 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 121 |
|  |  |  |  | T3M | 11,680 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 115 | 12,582 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 123 | 12,742 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | T4M | 11,426 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 112 | 12,309 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 121 | 12,465 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 122 |
|  |  |  |  | TFTM | 11,673 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 114 | 12,575 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 123 | 12,734 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | T5VS | 12,140 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 119 | 13,078 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 128 | 13,244 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 130 |
|  |  |  |  | T5S | 12,150 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 119 | 13,089 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 128 | 13,254 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 130 |
|  |  |  |  | T5M | 12,119 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 119 | 13,056 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 128 | 13,221 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 130 |
|  |  |  |  | T5W | 12,040 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 118 | 12,970 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 127 | 13,134 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 129 |
|  |  |  |  | BLC | 9,570 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 94 | 10,310 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 101 | 10,440 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 102 |
|  |  |  |  | LCCO | 7,121 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 70 | 7,671 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 75 | 7,768 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 76 |
|  |  |  |  | RCCO | 7,121 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 70 | 7,671 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 75 | 7,768 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 76 |
| 30 | 1250 | P4 | 125W | T15 | 13,435 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 107 | 14,473 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 116 | 14,657 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 117 |
|  |  |  |  | T2S | 13,421 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 107 | 14,458 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 116 | 14,641 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 117 |
|  |  |  |  | T2M | 13,490 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 108 | 14,532 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 116 | 14,716 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 118 |
|  |  |  |  | T3S | 13,064 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 105 | 14,074 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 113 | 14,252 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 114 |
|  |  |  |  | T3M | 13,457 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 108 | 14,497 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 116 | 14,681 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 117 |
|  |  |  |  | T4M | 13,165 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 105 | 14,182 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 113 | 14,362 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 115 |
|  |  |  |  | TFTM | 13,449 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 108 | 14,488 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 116 | 14,672 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 117 |
|  |  |  |  | T5VS | 13,987 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 112 | 15,068 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 121 | 15,259 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 122 |
|  |  |  |  | T5S | 13,999 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 112 | 15,080 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 121 | 15,271 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 122 |
|  |  |  |  | T5M | 13,963 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 112 | 15,042 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 120 | 15,233 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 122 |
|  |  |  |  | T5W | 13,872 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 111 | 14,944 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 120 | 15,133 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 121 |
|  |  |  |  | BLC | 11,027 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 88 | 11,879 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 95 | 12,029 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 96 |
|  |  |  |  | LCCO | 8,205 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 66 | 8,839 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 71 | 8,951 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 72 |
|  |  |  |  | RCCO | 8,205 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 66 | 8,839 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 71 | 8,951 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 72 |
| 30 | 1400 | P5 | 138W | T15 | 14,679 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 106 | 15,814 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 115 | 16,014 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 116 |
|  |  |  |  | T2S | 14,664 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 106 | 15,797 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 114 | 15,997 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 116 |
|  |  |  |  | T2M | 14,739 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 107 | 15,878 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 115 | 16,079 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 117 |
|  |  |  |  | T3S | 14,274 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 103 | 15,377 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 111 | 15,572 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 113 |
|  |  |  |  | T3M | 14,704 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 107 | 15,840 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 115 | 16,040 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 116 |
|  |  |  |  | T4M | 14,384 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 104 | 15,496 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 112 | 15,692 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 114 |
|  |  |  |  | TFTM | 14,695 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 106 | 15,830 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 115 | 16,030 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 116 |
|  |  |  |  | TSVS | 15,283 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 111 | 16,464 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 119 | 16,672 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 121 |
|  |  |  |  | T5S | 15,295 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 111 | 16,477 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 119 | 16,686 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 121 |
|  |  |  |  | T5M | 15,257 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 111 | 16,435 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 119 | 16,644 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 121 |
|  |  |  |  | T5W | 15,157 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 110 | 16,328 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 118 | 16,534 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 120 |
|  |  |  |  | BLC | 12,048 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 87 | 12,979 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 94 | 13,143 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 95 |
|  |  |  |  | LCCO | 8,965 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 65 | 9,657 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 70 | 9,780 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 71 |
|  |  |  |  | RCCO | 8,965 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 65 | 9,657 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 70 | 9,780 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 71 |

COMMERCIAL OUTDOOR

## Performance Data

## Lumen Output

umen values are from photometric tests performed in accordance with IESNA LM-79-08. Data is considered to be representative of the configurations shown, within the tolerances allowed by Lighting Facts. Contact factory for performance data on any configurations not shown here.

| Forward Optics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LED Count | Drive | Power | System | Dist. | $\begin{gathered} 30 \mathrm{~K} \\ (3000 \mathrm{~K}, 70 \mathrm{CRI}) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 40 \mathrm{~K} \\ (4000 \mathrm{~K}, 70 \mathrm{CRI}) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 50 \mathrm{~K} \\ (5000 \mathrm{~K}, 70 \mathrm{CRI}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Lumens | B | U | G | LPW | Lumens | B | U | G | LPW | Lumens | B | U | G | LPW |
| 40 | 1250 | P6 | 163W | T1S | 17,654 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 108 | 19,018 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 117 | 19,259 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 118 |
|  |  |  |  | T2S | 17,635 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 108 | 18,998 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 117 | 19,238 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 118 |
|  |  |  |  | T2M | 17,726 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 109 | 19,096 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 117 | 19,337 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 119 |
|  |  |  |  | T3S | 17,167 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 105 | 18,493 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 113 | 18,727 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 115 |
|  |  |  |  | T3M | 17,683 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 108 | 19,049 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 117 | 19,290 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 118 |
|  |  |  |  | T4M | 17,299 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 106 | 18,635 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 114 | 18,871 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 116 |
|  |  |  |  | TFTM | 17,672 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 108 | 19,038 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 117 | 19,279 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 118 |
|  |  |  |  | T5VS | 18,379 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 113 | 19,800 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 121 | 20,050 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 123 |
|  |  |  |  | T5S | 18,394 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 113 | 19,816 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 122 | 20,066 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 123 |
|  |  |  |  | T5M | 18,348 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 113 | 19,766 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 121 | 20,016 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 123 |
|  |  |  |  | T5W | 18,228 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 112 | 19,636 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 120 | 19,885 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 122 |
|  |  |  |  | BLC | 14,489 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 89 | 15,609 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 96 | 15,806 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 97 |
|  |  |  |  | LCCO | 10,781 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 66 | 11,614 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 71 | 11,761 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 72 |
|  |  |  |  | RCCO | 10,781 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 66 | 11,614 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 71 | 11,761 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 72 |
| 40 | 1400 | P7 | 183W | T15 | 19,227 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 105 | 20,712 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 113 | 20,975 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 115 |
|  |  |  |  | T2S | 19,206 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 105 | 20,690 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 113 | 20,952 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 114 |
|  |  |  |  | T2M | 19,305 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 105 | 20,797 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 114 | 21,060 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 115 |
|  |  |  |  | T3S | 18,696 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 102 | 20,141 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 110 | 20,396 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 111 |
|  |  |  |  | T3M | 19,258 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 105 | 20,746 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 113 | 21,009 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 115 |
|  |  |  |  | T4M | 18,840 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 103 | 20,296 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 111 | 20,553 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 112 |
|  |  |  |  | TFTM | 19,246 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 105 | 20,734 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 113 | 20,996 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 115 |
|  |  |  |  | T5VS | 20,017 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 109 | 21,564 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 118 | 21,837 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 119 |
|  |  |  |  | T5S | 20,033 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 109 | 21,581 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 118 | 21,854 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 119 |
|  |  |  |  | T5M | 19,983 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 109 | 21,527 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 118 | 21,799 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 119 |
|  |  |  |  | T5W | 19,852 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 108 | 21,386 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 117 | 21,656 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 118 |
|  |  |  |  | BLC | 15,780 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 86 | 16,999 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 93 | 17,214 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 94 |
|  |  |  |  | LCCO | 11,742 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 64 | 12,649 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 69 | 12,809 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 70 |
|  |  |  |  | RCCO | 11,742 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 64 | 12,649 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 69 | 12,809 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 70 |
| 60 | 1050 | P8 | 207W | T15 | 22,490 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 109 | 24,228 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 117 | 24,535 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 119 |
|  |  |  |  | T2S | 22,466 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 109 | 24,202 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 117 | 24,509 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 118 |
|  |  |  |  | T2M | 22,582 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 109 | 24,327 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 118 | 24,635 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 119 |
|  |  |  |  | T3S | 21,870 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 106 | 23,560 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 114 | 23,858 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 115 |
|  |  |  |  | T3M | 22,527 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 109 | 24,268 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 117 | 24,575 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 119 |
|  |  |  |  | T4M | 22,038 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 106 | 23,741 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 115 | 24,041 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 116 |
|  |  |  |  | TFTM | 22,513 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 109 | 24,253 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 117 | 24,560 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 119 |
|  |  |  |  | T5VS | 23,415 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 113 | 25,224 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 122 | 25,543 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 123 |
|  |  |  |  | T5S | 23,434 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 113 | 25,244 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 122 | 25,564 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 123 |
|  |  |  |  | T5M | 23,374 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 113 | 25,181 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 122 | 25,499 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 123 |
|  |  |  |  | T5W | 23,221 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 112 | 25,016 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 121 | 25,332 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 122 |
|  |  |  |  | BLC | 18,458 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 89 | 19,885 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 96 | 20,136 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 97 |
|  |  |  |  | LCCO | 13,735 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 66 | 14,796 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 71 | 14,983 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 72 |
|  |  |  |  | RCCO | 13,735 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 66 | 14,796 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 71 | 14,983 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 72 |
| 60 | 1250 | P9 | 241W | T15 | 25,575 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 106 | 27,551 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 114 | 27,900 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 116 |
|  |  |  |  | T2S | 25,548 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 106 | 27,522 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 114 | 27,871 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 116 |
|  |  |  |  | T2M | 25,680 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 107 | 27,664 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 115 | 28,014 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 116 |
|  |  |  |  | T3S | 24,870 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 103 | 26,791 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 111 | 27,130 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 113 |
|  |  |  |  | T3M | 25,617 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 106 | 27,597 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 115 | 27,946 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 116 |
|  |  |  |  | T4M | 25,061 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 104 | 26,997 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 112 | 27,339 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 113 |
|  |  |  |  | TFTM | 25,602 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 106 | 27,580 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 114 | 27,929 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 116 |
|  |  |  |  | T5VS | 26,626 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 110 | 28,684 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 119 | 29,047 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 121 |
|  |  |  |  | T5S | 26,648 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 111 | 28,707 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 119 | 29,070 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 121 |
|  |  |  |  | T5M | 26,581 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 110 | 28,635 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 119 | 28,997 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 120 |
|  |  |  |  | T5W | 26,406 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 110 | 28,447 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 118 | 28,807 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 120 |
|  |  |  |  | BLC | 20,990 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 87 | 22,612 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 94 | 22,898 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 95 |
|  |  |  |  | LCCO | 15,619 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 65 | 16,825 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 70 | 17,038 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 71 |
|  |  |  |  | RCCO | 15,619 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 65 | 16,825 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 70 | 17,038 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 71 |

## Performance Data

Lumen Output
Lumen values are from photometric tests performed in accordance with IESNA LM-79-08. Data is considered to be representative of the configurations shown, within the tolerances allowed by Lighting Facts. Contact factory for
performance data on any configurations not shown here.

| Rotated Optics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LED Count | Drive | Power | System | Dist. | $\begin{gathered} 30 \mathrm{~K} \\ (3000 \mathrm{~K}, 70 \mathrm{CRI}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 40 \mathrm{~K} \\ (4000 \mathrm{~K}, 70 \mathrm{CRI}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 50 \mathrm{~K} \\ (5000 \mathrm{~K}, 70 \mathrm{CRI}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Lumens | B | U | G | LPW | Lumens | B | U | G | LPW | Lumens | B | U | G | LPW |
| 60 | 530 | P10 | 106W | T15 | 13,042 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 123 | 14,050 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 133 | 14,228 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 134 |
|  |  |  |  | T2S | 12,967 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 122 | 13,969 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 132 | 14,146 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 133 |
|  |  |  |  | T2M | 13,201 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 125 | 14,221 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 134 | 14,401 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 136 |
|  |  |  |  | T3S | 12,766 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 120 | 13,752 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 130 | 13,926 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 131 |
|  |  |  |  | T3M | 13,193 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 124 | 14,213 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 134 | 14,393 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 136 |
|  |  |  |  | T4M | 12,944 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 122 | 13,945 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 132 | 14,121 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 133 |
|  |  |  |  | TFTM | 13,279 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 125 | 14,305 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 135 | 14,486 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 137 |
|  |  |  |  | T5VS | 13,372 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 126 | 14,405 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 136 | 14,588 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 138 |
|  |  |  |  | T5S | 13,260 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 125 | 14,284 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 135 | 14,465 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 136 |
|  |  |  |  | T5M | 13,256 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 125 | 14,281 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 135 | 14,462 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 136 |
|  |  |  |  | T5W | 13,137 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 124 | 14,153 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 134 | 14,332 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 135 |
|  |  |  |  | BLC | 10,906 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 103 | 11,749 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 111 | 11,898 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 112 |
|  |  |  |  | LCCO | 7,789 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 73 | 8,391 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 79 | 8,497 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 80 |
|  |  |  |  | RCCO | 7,779 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 73 | 8,380 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 79 | 8,486 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 80 |
| 60 | 700 | P11 | 137W | T1S | 16,556 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 121 | 17,835 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 130 | 18,061 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 132 |
|  |  |  |  | T2S | 16,461 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 120 | 17,733 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 129 | 17,957 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 131 |
|  |  |  |  | T2M | 16,758 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 122 | 18,053 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 132 | 18,281 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 133 |
|  |  |  |  | T3S | 16,205 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 118 | 17,457 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 127 | 17,678 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 129 |
|  |  |  |  | T3M | 16,748 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 122 | 18,042 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 132 | 18,271 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 133 |
|  |  |  |  | T4M | 16,432 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 120 | 17,702 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 129 | 17,926 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 131 |
|  |  |  |  | TFTM | 16,857 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 123 | 18,159 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 133 | 18,389 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 134 |
|  |  |  |  | T5VS | 16,975 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 124 | 18,287 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 133 | 18,518 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 135 |
|  |  |  |  | T5S | 16,832 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 123 | 18,133 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 132 | 18,362 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 134 |
|  |  |  |  | T5M | 16,828 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 123 | 18,128 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 132 | 18,358 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 134 |
|  |  |  |  | T5W | 16,677 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 122 | 17,966 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 131 | 18,193 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 133 |
|  |  |  |  | BLC | 13,845 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 101 | 14,915 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 109 | 15,103 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 110 |
|  |  |  |  | LCCO | 9,888 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 72 | 10,652 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 78 | 10,787 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 79 |
|  |  |  |  | RCCO | 9,875 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 72 | 10,638 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 78 | 10,773 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 79 |
| 60 | 1050 | P12 | 207W | T15 | 22,996 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 111 | 24,773 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 120 | 25,087 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 121 |
|  |  |  |  | T2S | 22,864 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 110 | 24,631 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 119 | 24,943 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 120 |
|  |  |  |  | T2M | 23,277 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 112 | 25,075 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 121 | 25,393 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 123 |
|  |  |  |  | T3S | 22,509 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 109 | 24,248 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 117 | 24,555 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 119 |
|  |  |  |  | T3M | 23,263 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 112 | 25,061 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 121 | 25,378 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 123 |
|  |  |  |  | T4M | 22,824 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 110 | 24,588 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 119 | 24,899 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 120 |
|  |  |  |  | TFTM | 23,414 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 113 | 25,223 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 122 | 25,543 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 123 |
|  |  |  |  | T5VS | 23,579 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 114 | 25,401 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 123 | 25,722 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 124 |
|  |  |  |  | T5S | 23,380 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 113 | 25,187 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 122 | 25,506 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 123 |
|  |  |  |  | T5M | 23,374 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 113 | 25,181 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 122 | 25,499 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 123 |
|  |  |  |  | T5W | 23,165 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 112 | 24,955 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 121 | 25,271 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 122 |
|  |  |  |  | BLC | 19,231 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 93 | 20,717 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 100 | 20,979 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 101 |
|  |  |  |  | LCCO | 13,734 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 66 | 14,796 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 71 | 14,983 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 72 |
|  |  |  |  | RCCO | 13,716 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 66 | 14,776 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 71 | 14,963 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 72 |
| 60 | 1250 | P13 | 231W | T15 | 25,400 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 110 | 27,363 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 118 | 27,709 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 120 |
|  |  |  |  | T2S | 25,254 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 109 | 27,205 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 118 | 27,550 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 119 |
|  |  |  |  | T2M | 25,710 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 111 | 27,696 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 120 | 28,047 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 121 |
|  |  |  |  | T3S | 24,862 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 108 | 26,783 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 116 | 27,122 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 117 |
|  |  |  |  | T3M | 25,695 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 111 | 27,680 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 120 | 28,031 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 121 |
|  |  |  |  | T4M | 25,210 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 109 | 27,158 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 118 | 27,502 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 119 |
|  |  |  |  | TFTM | 25,861 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 112 | 27,860 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 121 | 28,212 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 122 |
|  |  |  |  | T5VS | 26,043 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 113 | 28,056 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 121 | 28,411 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 123 |
|  |  |  |  | T5S | 25,824 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 112 | 27,819 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 120 | 28,172 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 122 |
|  |  |  |  | T5M | 25,818 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 112 | 27,813 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 120 | 28,165 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 122 |
|  |  |  |  | T5W | 25,586 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 111 | 27,563 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 119 | 27,912 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 121 |
|  |  |  |  | BLC | 21,241 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 92 | 22,882 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 99 | 23,172 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 100 |
|  |  |  |  | LCCO | 15,170 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 66 | 16,342 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 71 | 16,549 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 72 |
|  |  |  |  | RCCO | 15,150 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 66 | 16,321 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 71 | 16,527 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 72 |

COMMERCIAL OUTDOOR

## FEATURES \& SPECIFICATIONS

## INTENDED USE

The sleek design of the D-Series Size 1 reflects the embedded high performance LED technology. It is ideal for many commercial and municipal applications, such as parking lots, plazas, campuses, and streetscapes.

## CONSTRUCTION

Single-piece die-cast aluminum housing has integral heat sink fins to optimize thermal management through conductive and convective cooling. Modular design allows for ease of maintenance and future light engine upgrades. The LED drivers are mounted in direct contact with the casting to promote low operating temperature and long life. Housing is completely sealed against moisture and environmental contaminants (IP65). Low EPA ( $1.01 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ) for optimized pole wind loading

## FINISH

Exterior parts are protected by a zinc-infused Super Durable TGIC thermoset powder coat finish that provides superior resistance to corrosion and weathering A tightly controlled multi-stage process ensures a minimum 3 mils thickness for a finish that can withstand extreme climate changes without cracking or peeling Available in both textured and non-textured finishes.

## OPTICS

Precision-molded proprietary acrylic lenses are engineered for superior area lighting distribution, uniformity, and pole spacing. Light engines are available in standard 3000 K, 4000 K and 5000 K ( 70 CRI) configurations. The D-Series Size 1 has zero uplight and qualifies as a Nighttime Friendly ${ }^{\top M}$ product, meaning it is consistent with the LEED ${ }^{\circledR}$ and Green Globes ${ }^{\top}{ }^{\text {M }}$ criteria for eliminating wasteful uplight.

## ELECTRICAL

Light engine configurations consist of high-efficacy LEDs mounted to metalcore circuit boards to maximize heat dissipation and promote long life (up to L85/100,000 hours at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Class 1 electronic drivers are designed to have a power factor $>90 \%$, THD $<20 \%$, and an expected life of 100,000 hours with $<1 \%$ failure rate. Easily serviceable 10 kV surge protection device meets a minimum Category C Low operation (per ANSI/IEEE C62.41.2).

## STANDARD CONTROLS

The DSX1 LED area luminaire has a number of control options. DSX Size 1, comes standard with 0-10V dimming drivers. Dusk to dawn controls can be utilized via optional NEMA twist-lock photocell receptacles. Integrated motion sensors with on-board photocells feature field-adjustable programing and are suitable for mounting heights up to 30 feet.

## nLIGHT AIR CONTROLS

The DSX1 LED area luminaire is also available with nLight® AIR for the ultimate in wireless control. This powerful controls platform provides out-of-the-box basic motion sensing and photocontrol functionality and is suitable for mounting heights up to 40 feet. Once commissioned using a smartphone and the easy-touse CLAIRITY app, nLight AIR equipped luminaries can be grouped, resulting in motion sensor and photocell group response without the need for additional equipment. Scheduled dimming with motion sensor over-ride can be achieved when used with the nLight Eclypse. Additional information about nLight Air can be found here.

## INSTALLATION

Included mounting block and integral arm facilitate quick and easy installation. Stainless steel bolts fasten the mounting block securely to poles and walls,
enabling the D-Series Size 1 to withstand up to a 3.0 G vibration load rating per ANSI C136.31. The D-Series Size 1 utilizes the AERIS ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ series pole drilling pattern (template \#8). NEMA photocontrol receptacle are also available.

## LISTINGS

UL listed to meet U.S. and Canadian standards. UL Listed for wet locations. Light engines are IP66 rated; luminaire is IP65 rated. Rated for $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ minimum ambient. U.S. Patent No. D672,492 S. International patent pending.

DesignLights Consortium® (DLC) Premium qualified product and DLC qualified product. Not all versions of this product may be DLC Premium qualified or DLC qualified. Please check the DLC Qualified Products List at www. designlights.org/ QPL to confirm which versions are qualified.
International Dark-Sky Association (IDA) Fixture Seal of Approval (FSA) is available for all products on this page utilizing 3000K color temperature only.

## BUY AMERICAN

Product with the BAA option is assembled in the USA and meets the Buy
America(n) government procurement requirements under FAR, DFARS and DOT. Please refer to www.acuitybrands.com/buy-american for additional information.

## WARRANTY

5-year limited warranty. Complete warranty terms located at:
www.acuitybrands.com/support/customer-support/terms-and-conditions
Note: Actual performance may differ as a result of end-user environment and application.
All values are design or typical values, measured under laboratory conditions at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
Specifications subject to change without notice.
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## Introduction

The modern styling of the D-Series is striking yet unobtrusive - making a bold, progressive statement even as it blends seamlessly with its environment. The D-Series distills the benefits of the latest in LED technology into a high performance, high efficacy, long-life luminaire.
The outstanding photometric performance results in sites with excellent uniformity, greater pole spacing and lower power density. It is ideal for replacing up to 750 W metal halide in pedestrian and area lighting applications with typical energy savings of $65 \%$ and expected service life of over 100,000 hours.

## Ordering Information

EXAMPLE: DSX1 LED P7 40K T3M MVOLT SPA NLTAIR2 PIRHN DDBXD


[^2][^3]
## Ordering Information

## Accessories

DLL127F 1.5 JU Photocell - SSL twist-lock (120-277V) ${ }^{25}$ DLL347F 1.5CUL JU Photocell - SSL twist-lock (347V) ${ }^{25}$ DLL480F 1.5 CUL JU Photocell - SSL twist-Iock (480V) ${ }^{25}$ DSHORT SBK U Shorting cap ${ }^{25}$
DSX1HS 30CU House-side shield for P1, P2, P3, P4 and P5 ${ }^{23}$ DSX1HS 40C U House-side shield for P6 and P7 DSX1HS 60CU House-side shield for P8, P9, P10, P11 and P12 PUMBA DDBXD U* Square and round pole universal mounting -
KMA8 DDBXD U Mast arm mounting bracket adaptor (specify
External glare shield
For more control options, visit DTL and ROAM online.

## NOTES

1 HA not available with P4, P5, P6, P7, P9 and P13.
Any
Any Type 5 distribution with photocell, is not available with WBA.
Not available with HS ,
MVOLT driver operat
XVOLT only suitable for use with
XVOLT works with any voltagith $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P} 5, \mathrm{~Pb}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P} 9$ and P 13 .
8 XVOLT not available with tusing (SF or DF) and not available with PIR, PIRH, PIR1FC3V, PIRH1FC3V.
Single fuse (SF) requires $120 \mathrm{~V}, 277 \mathrm{~V}$ or 347 V . Double fuse (DF) requires $208 \mathrm{~V}, 240 \mathrm{~V}$ or 480 V . XVOLT not available with fusing (SF or DF
10 Suitable for mounting to round poles between $3.5^{\prime \prime}$ and $12^{\prime \prime}$ diameter.
11 Universal mounting brackets intended for retrofit on existing, pre-drilled poles only. 1.5 G vibration load rating per ANCI C136.31. Only usable when pole's drill pattern is NOT Lithonia template \#8
12 Must order fixture with SPA option. Must be ordered as a separate accessory; see Accessories information. For use with $2-3 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ diameter mast arm (not included).
13 Must be ordered with PIRHN. Sensor cover available only in dark bronze, black, white and natural aluminum colors.
14 Must be ordered with NLTAIR2. For more information on nLight Air 2 visit this link.
15 Photocell ordered and shipped as a separate line item from Acuity Brands Controls. See accessories. Shorting cap included.
16 If ROAM ${ }^{\ominus}$ node required, it must be ordered and shipped as a separate line item from Acuity Brands Controls. Node with integral dimming
17 DMG not available with PIRHN, PER5, PER7, PIR, PIRH, PIR1FC3V or PIRH1FC3V, FAO
18 Provides 50/50fixture operation via (2) independent drivers. Not available with PER, PER5, PER7, PIR or PIRH. Not available P1, P2, P3, P4 or P5
20 Reference Controls Option Default settings table on page 4.
21 Reference Motion Sensor table on page 4 to see functionality
22 Not available with other dimming controls options.
23 Not available with BLC, LCCO and RCCO distribution. Also available as a separate accessory; see Accessories information.
24 Must be ordered with fixture for factory pre-drilling.
25 Requires luminaire to be specified with PER, PER5 or PER7 option. See Control Option Table on page 4 26 For retrofit use only. Only usable when pole's drill pattern is NOT Lithonia template \#8.

## Options

## EGS - External Glare Shield



## Drilling

HANDHOLE ORIENTATION


Tenon Mounting Slipfitter

| Tenon 0.D. | Mounting | Single Unit | 2 @ 180 | 2@90 | 3 @ 90 | 3 @120 | 4 @ 90 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-3/8" | RPA | AS3-5 190 | AS3-5 280 | AS3-5290 | AS3-5390 | AS3-5320 | AS3-5 490 |
| 2-7/8" | RPA | AST25-190 | AST25-280 | AST25-290 | AST25-390 | AST25-320 | AST25-490 |
| $4{ }^{4}$ | RPA | AST35-190 | AST35-280 | AST35-290 | AST35-390 | AST35-320 | AST35-490 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mounting Option | Drilling Template | Single | $2 @ 180$ | $2 @ 90$ | $3 @ 90$ | $3 @ 120$ | $4 @ 90$ |
| Head Location |  | Side B | Side B \& D | Side B \& C | Side B, C \& D | Round Pole Only | Side A, B, C \& D |
| Drill Nomenclature | $\# 8$ | DM19AS | DM28AS | DM29AS | DM39AS | DM32AS | DM49AS |

## DSX1 Area Luminaire - EPA

*Includes luminaire and integral mounting arm. Other tenons, arms, brackets or other accessories are not included in this EPA data.

| Fixture Quantity \& Mounting Configuration | Single DM19 | 2 @ 180 DM28 | 2 @ 90 DM29 | 3 @ 90 DM39 | 3 @ 120 DM32 | 4 @ 90 DM49 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mounting Type | $\square$ | - |  |  |  | - |
| DSX1 LED | 1.013 | 2.025 | 1.945 | 3.038 | 2.850 | 3.749 |


|  | Drilling Template | Minimum Acceptable Outside Pole Dimension |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SPA | $\# 8$ | $2-7 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ | $2-7 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ | $3.5^{\prime \prime}$ | $3.5^{\prime \prime}$ | $3^{\prime \prime}$ | $3.5^{\prime \prime}$ |
| RPA | $\# 8$ | $2-7 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ | $2-7 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ | $3.5^{\prime \prime}$ | $3.5^{\prime \prime}$ | $3^{\prime \prime}$ | $3.5^{\prime \prime}$ |
| SPUMBA | $\# 5$ | $2-7 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ | $3^{\prime \prime}$ | $44^{\prime \prime}$ | $4^{\prime \prime}$ | $3.5^{\prime \prime}$ | $4^{\prime \prime}$ |
| RPUMBA | $\# 5$ | $2-7 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ | $3.5^{\prime \prime}$ | $5^{\prime \prime}$ | $5^{\prime \prime}$ | $3.5^{\prime \prime}$ | $5^{\prime \prime}$ |
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## Photometric Diagrams To see complete photometric reports or download .ies files for this product, visit Lithonia Lighting's D-Series Area Size 1 homepage.

Isofootcandle plots for the DSX1 LED 60C 1000 40K. Distances are in units of mounting height ( $25^{\prime}$ ).


## Performance Data

Lumen Ambient Temperature (LAT) Multipliers
Use these factors to determine relative lumen output for average ambient temperatures from $0-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(32-104^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$.

| Ambient |  | Lumen Multiplier |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $32^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 1.04 |
| $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $41^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 1.04 |
| $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $50^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 1.03 |
| $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $50^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 1.02 |
| $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $68^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 1.01 |
| $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathbf{7 7 ^ { \circ } \mathbf { F }}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0}$ |
| $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $86^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 0.99 |
| $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $95^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 0.98 |
| $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $104^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 0.97 |

## Projected LED Lumen Maintenance

Data references the extrapolated performance projections for the platforms noted in a projected per IESNA TM-21-11).
To calculate LLF, use the lumen maintenance factor that corresponds to the desired number of operating hours below. For other lumen maintenance values, contact factory.

| Operating Hours | Lumen Maintenance Factor |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1.00 |
| 25,000 | 0.96 |
| 50,000 | 0.92 |
| 100,000 | 0.85 |


| Motion Sensor Default Settings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Option | Dimmed <br> State | High Level <br> (when <br> triggered) | Phototcell <br> Operation | Dwell <br> Time | Ramp-up <br> Time | Ramp-down <br> Time |  |
| PIR or PIRH | $3 V(37 \%)$ <br> Output | $10 \mathrm{~V}(100 \%)$ <br> Output | Enabled @ 5FC | 5 min | 3 sec | 5 min |  |
| *PIR1FC3V or <br> PIRH1FC3V | $3 V(37 \%)$ <br> Output | $10 \mathrm{~V}(100 \%)$ <br> Output | Enabled @ 1FC | 5 min | 3 sec | 5 min |  |

Electrical Load

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Performance Package | LED Count | Drive Current | Wattage | 120 | 208 | 240 | 277 | 347 | 480 |
| Forward Optics (Non-Rotated) | P1 | 30 | 530 | 54 | 0.45 | 0.26 | 0.23 | 0.19 | 0.10 | 0.12 |
|  | P2 | 30 | 700 | 70 | 0.59 | 0.34 | 0.30 | 0.25 | 0.20 | 0.16 |
|  | P3 | 30 | 1050 | 102 | 0.86 | 0.50 | 0.44 | 0.38 | 0.30 | 0.22 |
|  | P4 | 30 | 1250 | 125 | 1.06 | 0.60 | 0.52 | 0.46 | 0.37 | 0.27 |
|  | P5 | 30 | 1400 | 138 | 1.16 | 0.67 | 0.58 | 0.51 | 0.40 | 0.29 |
|  | P6 | 40 | 1250 | 163 | 1.36 | 0.78 | 0.68 | 0.59 | 0.47 | 0.34 |
|  | P7 | 40 | 1400 | 183 | 1.53 | 0.88 | 0.76 | 0.66 | 0.53 | 0.38 |
|  | P8 | 60 | 1050 | 207 | 1.74 | 0.98 | 0.87 | 0.76 | 0.64 | 0.49 |
|  | P9 | 60 | 1250 | 241 | 2.01 | 1.16 | 1.01 | 0.89 | 0.70 | 0.51 |
| Rotated Optics (Requires L90 or R90) | P10 | 60 | 530 | 106 | 0.90 | 0.52 | 0.47 | 0.43 | 0.33 | 0.27 |
|  | P11 | 60 | 700 | 137 | 1.15 | 0.67 | 0.60 | 0.53 | 0.42 | 0.32 |
|  | P12 | 60 | 1050 | 207 | 1.74 | 0.99 | 0.87 | 0.76 | 0.60 | 0.46 |
|  | P13 | 60 | 1250 | 231 | 1.93 | 1.12 | 0.97 | 0.86 | 0.67 | 0.49 |

Controls Options

| Controls Options |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nomenclature | Description | Functionality | Primary control device | Notes |
| FAO | Field adjustable output device installed inside the luminaire; wired to the driver dimming leads. | Allows the luminaire to be manually dimmed, effectively trimming the light output. | FAO device | Cannot be used with other controls options that need the $0-10 \mathrm{~V}$ leads |
| DS | Drivers wired independently for 50/50 luminaire operation | The luminaire is wired to two separate circuits, allowing for $50 / 50$ operation. | Independently wired drivers | Requires two separately switched circuits. Consider nLight AIR as a more cost effective alternative. |
| PER5 or PER7 | Twist-lock photocell recepticle | Compatible with standard twist-lock photocells for dusk to dawn operation, or advanced control nodes that provide $0-10 \mathrm{~V}$ dimming signals. | Twist-lock photocells such as DLL Elite or advanced control nodes such as ROAM. | Pins 4 \& 5 to dimming leads on driver, Pins 6 \& 7 are capped inside luminaire |
| PIR or PIRH | Motion sensors with integral photocell. PIR for 8-15' mounting; PIRH for 15-30' mounting | Luminaires dim when no occupancy is detected. | Acuity Controls SBGR | Also available with PIRH1FC3V when the sensor photocell is used for dusk-to-dawn operation. |
| NLTAIR2 PIRHN | nLight AIR enabled luminaire for motion sensing, photocell and wireless communication. | Motion and ambient light sensing with group response. Scheduled dimming with motion sensor over-ride when wirelessly connected to the nLight Eclypse. | nLight Air rSDGR | nLight AIR sensors can be programmed and commissioned from the ground using the CIAIRity Pro app. |
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## Performance Data

Lumen Output
Lumen values are from photometric tests performed in accordance with IESNA LM-79-08. Data is considered to be representative of the configurations shown, within the tolerances allowed by Lighting Facts Contact factory for performance data on any configurations not shown here.

| Forward Optics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LED Count | Drive | Power | System | Dist. | $\begin{gathered} 30 \mathrm{~K} \\ (3000 \mathrm{~K}, 70 \mathrm{CRI}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 40 \mathrm{~K} \\ (4000 \mathrm{~K}, 70 \mathrm{CRI}) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 50 \mathrm{~K} \\ (5000 \mathrm{~K}, 70 \mathrm{CRI}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Lumens | B | U | 6 | LPW | Lumens | B | U | , | LPW | Lumens | B | U | 6 | LPW |
| 30 | 530 | P1 | 54W | T1S | 6,457 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 120 | 6,956 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 129 | 7,044 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 130 |
|  |  |  |  | T2S | 6,450 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 119 | 6,949 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 129 | 7,037 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 130 |
|  |  |  |  | T2M | 6,483 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 120 | 6,984 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 129 | 7,073 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 131 |
|  |  |  |  | T3S | 6,279 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 116 | 6,764 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 125 | 6,850 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 127 |
|  |  |  |  | T3M | 6,468 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 120 | 6,967 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 129 | 7,056 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 131 |
|  |  |  |  | T4M | 6,327 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 117 | 6,816 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 126 | 6,902 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 128 |
|  |  |  |  | TFTM | 6,464 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 120 | 6,963 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 129 | 7,051 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 131 |
|  |  |  |  | T5VS | 6,722 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 124 | 7,242 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 134 | 7,334 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 136 |
|  |  |  |  | T5S | 6,728 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 125 | 7,248 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 134 | 7,340 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 136 |
|  |  |  |  | T5M | 6,711 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 124 | 7,229 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 134 | 7,321 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 136 |
|  |  |  |  | T5W | 6,667 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 123 | 7,182 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 133 | 7,273 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 135 |
|  |  |  |  | BLC | 5,299 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 98 | 5,709 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 106 | 5,781 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 107 |
|  |  |  |  | LCCO | 3,943 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 73 | 4,248 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 79 | 4,302 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 80 |
|  |  |  |  | RCCO | 3,943 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 73 | 4,248 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 79 | 4,302 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 80 |
| 30 | 700 | P2 | 70W | T15 | 8,249 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 118 | 8,886 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 127 | 8,999 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 129 |
|  |  |  |  | T2S | 8,240 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 118 | 8,877 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 127 | 8,989 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 128 |
|  |  |  |  | T2M | 8,283 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 118 | 8,923 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 127 | 9,036 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 129 |
|  |  |  |  | T3S | 8,021 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 115 | 8,641 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 123 | 8,751 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | T3M | 8,263 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 118 | 8,901 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 127 | 9,014 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 129 |
|  |  |  |  | T4M | 8,083 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 115 | 8,708 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 124 | 8,818 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 126 |
|  |  |  |  | TFTM | 8,257 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 118 | 8,896 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 127 | 9,008 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 129 |
|  |  |  |  | T5VS | 8,588 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 123 | 9,252 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 132 | 9,369 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 134 |
|  |  |  |  | T5S | 8,595 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 123 | 9,259 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 132 | 9,376 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 134 |
|  |  |  |  | T5M | 8,573 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 122 | 9,236 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 132 | 9,353 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 134 |
|  |  |  |  | T5W | 8,517 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 122 | 9,175 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 131 | 9,291 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 133 |
|  |  |  |  | BLC | 6,770 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 97 | 7,293 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 104 | 7,386 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 106 |
|  |  |  |  | LCCO | 5,038 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 72 | 5,427 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 78 | 5,496 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 79 |
|  |  |  |  | RCCO | 5,038 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 72 | 5,427 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 78 | 5,496 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 79 |
| 30 | 1050 | P3 | 102W | T15 | 11,661 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 114 | 12,562 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 123 | 12,721 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | T2S | 11,648 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 114 | 12,548 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 123 | 12,707 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | T2M | 11,708 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 115 | 12,613 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 124 | 12,773 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | T3S | 11,339 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 111 | 12,215 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 120 | 12,370 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 121 |
|  |  |  |  | T3M | 11,680 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 115 | 12,582 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 123 | 12,742 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | T4M | 11,426 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 112 | 12,309 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 121 | 12,465 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 122 |
|  |  |  |  | TFTM | 11,673 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 114 | 12,575 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 123 | 12,734 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | T5VS | 12,140 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 119 | 13,078 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 128 | 13,244 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 130 |
|  |  |  |  | T5S | 12,150 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 119 | 13,089 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 128 | 13,254 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 130 |
|  |  |  |  | T5M | 12,119 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 119 | 13,056 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 128 | 13,221 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 130 |
|  |  |  |  | T5W | 12,040 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 118 | 12,970 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 127 | 13,134 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 129 |
|  |  |  |  | BLC | 9,570 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 94 | 10,310 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 101 | 10,440 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 102 |
|  |  |  |  | LCCO | 7,121 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 70 | 7,671 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 75 | 7,768 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 76 |
|  |  |  |  | RCCO | 7,121 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 70 | 7,671 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 75 | 7,768 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 76 |
| 30 | 1250 | P4 | 125W | T15 | 13,435 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 107 | 14,473 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 116 | 14,657 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 117 |
|  |  |  |  | T2S | 13,421 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 107 | 14,458 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 116 | 14,641 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 117 |
|  |  |  |  | T2M | 13,490 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 108 | 14,532 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 116 | 14,716 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 118 |
|  |  |  |  | T3S | 13,064 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 105 | 14,074 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 113 | 14,252 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 114 |
|  |  |  |  | T3M | 13,457 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 108 | 14,497 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 116 | 14,681 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 117 |
|  |  |  |  | T4M | 13,165 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 105 | 14,182 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 113 | 14,362 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 115 |
|  |  |  |  | TFTM | 13,449 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 108 | 14,488 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 116 | 14,672 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 117 |
|  |  |  |  | T5VS | 13,987 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 112 | 15,068 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 121 | 15,259 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 122 |
|  |  |  |  | T5S | 13,999 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 112 | 15,080 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 121 | 15,271 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 122 |
|  |  |  |  | T5M | 13,963 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 112 | 15,042 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 120 | 15,233 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 122 |
|  |  |  |  | T5W | 13,872 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 111 | 14,944 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 120 | 15,133 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 121 |
|  |  |  |  | BLC | 11,027 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 88 | 11,879 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 95 | 12,029 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 96 |
|  |  |  |  | LCCO | 8,205 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 66 | 8,839 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 71 | 8,951 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 72 |
|  |  |  |  | RCCO | 8,205 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 66 | 8,839 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 71 | 8,951 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 72 |
| 30 | 1400 | P5 | 138W | T15 | 14,679 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 106 | 15,814 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 115 | 16,014 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 116 |
|  |  |  |  | T2S | 14,664 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 106 | 15,797 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 114 | 15,997 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 116 |
|  |  |  |  | T2M | 14,739 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 107 | 15,878 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 115 | 16,079 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 117 |
|  |  |  |  | T3S | 14,274 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 103 | 15,377 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 111 | 15,572 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 113 |
|  |  |  |  | T3M | 14,704 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 107 | 15,840 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 115 | 16,040 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 116 |
|  |  |  |  | T4M | 14,384 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 104 | 15,496 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 112 | 15,692 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 114 |
|  |  |  |  | TFTM | 14,695 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 106 | 15,830 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 115 | 16,030 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 116 |
|  |  |  |  | TSVS | 15,283 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 111 | 16,464 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 119 | 16,672 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 121 |
|  |  |  |  | T5S | 15,295 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 111 | 16,477 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 119 | 16,686 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 121 |
|  |  |  |  | T5M | 15,257 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 111 | 16,435 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 119 | 16,644 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 121 |
|  |  |  |  | T5W | 15,157 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 110 | 16,328 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 118 | 16,534 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 120 |
|  |  |  |  | BLC | 12,048 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 87 | 12,979 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 94 | 13,143 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 95 |
|  |  |  |  | LCCO | 8,965 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 65 | 9,657 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 70 | 9,780 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 71 |
|  |  |  |  | RCCO | 8,965 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 65 | 9,657 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 70 | 9,780 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 71 |

COMMERCIAL OUTDOOR

## Performance Data

## Lumen Output

umen values are from photometric tests performed in accordance with IESNA LM-79-08. Data is considered to be representative of the configurations shown, within the tolerances allowed by Lighting Facts. Contact factory for performance data on any configurations not shown here.

| Forward Optics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LED Count | Drive | Power | System | Dist. | $\begin{gathered} 30 \mathrm{~K} \\ (3000 \mathrm{~K}, 70 \mathrm{CRI}) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 40 \mathrm{~K} \\ (4000 \mathrm{~K}, 70 \mathrm{CRI}) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 50 \mathrm{~K} \\ (5000 \mathrm{~K}, 70 \mathrm{CRI}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Lumens | B | U | G | LPW | Lumens | B | U | G | LPW | Lumens | B | U | G | LPW |
| 40 | 1250 | P6 | 163W | T1S | 17,654 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 108 | 19,018 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 117 | 19,259 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 118 |
|  |  |  |  | T2S | 17,635 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 108 | 18,998 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 117 | 19,238 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 118 |
|  |  |  |  | T2M | 17,726 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 109 | 19,096 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 117 | 19,337 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 119 |
|  |  |  |  | T3S | 17,167 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 105 | 18,493 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 113 | 18,727 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 115 |
|  |  |  |  | T3M | 17,683 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 108 | 19,049 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 117 | 19,290 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 118 |
|  |  |  |  | T4M | 17,299 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 106 | 18,635 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 114 | 18,871 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 116 |
|  |  |  |  | TFTM | 17,672 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 108 | 19,038 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 117 | 19,279 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 118 |
|  |  |  |  | T5VS | 18,379 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 113 | 19,800 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 121 | 20,050 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 123 |
|  |  |  |  | T5S | 18,394 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 113 | 19,816 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 122 | 20,066 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 123 |
|  |  |  |  | T5M | 18,348 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 113 | 19,766 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 121 | 20,016 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 123 |
|  |  |  |  | T5W | 18,228 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 112 | 19,636 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 120 | 19,885 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 122 |
|  |  |  |  | BLC | 14,489 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 89 | 15,609 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 96 | 15,806 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 97 |
|  |  |  |  | LCCO | 10,781 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 66 | 11,614 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 71 | 11,761 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 72 |
|  |  |  |  | RCCO | 10,781 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 66 | 11,614 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 71 | 11,761 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 72 |
| 40 | 1400 | P7 | 183W | T15 | 19,227 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 105 | 20,712 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 113 | 20,975 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 115 |
|  |  |  |  | T2S | 19,206 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 105 | 20,690 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 113 | 20,952 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 114 |
|  |  |  |  | T2M | 19,305 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 105 | 20,797 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 114 | 21,060 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 115 |
|  |  |  |  | T3S | 18,696 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 102 | 20,141 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 110 | 20,396 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 111 |
|  |  |  |  | T3M | 19,258 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 105 | 20,746 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 113 | 21,009 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 115 |
|  |  |  |  | T4M | 18,840 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 103 | 20,296 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 111 | 20,553 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 112 |
|  |  |  |  | TFTM | 19,246 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 105 | 20,734 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 113 | 20,996 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 115 |
|  |  |  |  | T5VS | 20,017 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 109 | 21,564 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 118 | 21,837 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 119 |
|  |  |  |  | T5S | 20,033 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 109 | 21,581 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 118 | 21,854 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 119 |
|  |  |  |  | T5M | 19,983 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 109 | 21,527 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 118 | 21,799 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 119 |
|  |  |  |  | T5W | 19,852 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 108 | 21,386 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 117 | 21,656 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 118 |
|  |  |  |  | BLC | 15,780 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 86 | 16,999 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 93 | 17,214 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 94 |
|  |  |  |  | LCCO | 11,742 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 64 | 12,649 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 69 | 12,809 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 70 |
|  |  |  |  | RCCO | 11,742 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 64 | 12,649 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 69 | 12,809 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 70 |
| 60 | 1050 | P8 | 207W | T15 | 22,490 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 109 | 24,228 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 117 | 24,535 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 119 |
|  |  |  |  | T2S | 22,466 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 109 | 24,202 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 117 | 24,509 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 118 |
|  |  |  |  | T2M | 22,582 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 109 | 24,327 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 118 | 24,635 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 119 |
|  |  |  |  | T3S | 21,870 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 106 | 23,560 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 114 | 23,858 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 115 |
|  |  |  |  | T3M | 22,527 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 109 | 24,268 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 117 | 24,575 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 119 |
|  |  |  |  | T4M | 22,038 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 106 | 23,741 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 115 | 24,041 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 116 |
|  |  |  |  | TFTM | 22,513 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 109 | 24,253 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 117 | 24,560 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 119 |
|  |  |  |  | T5VS | 23,415 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 113 | 25,224 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 122 | 25,543 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 123 |
|  |  |  |  | T5S | 23,434 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 113 | 25,244 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 122 | 25,564 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 123 |
|  |  |  |  | T5M | 23,374 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 113 | 25,181 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 122 | 25,499 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 123 |
|  |  |  |  | T5W | 23,221 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 112 | 25,016 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 121 | 25,332 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 122 |
|  |  |  |  | BLC | 18,458 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 89 | 19,885 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 96 | 20,136 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 97 |
|  |  |  |  | LCCO | 13,735 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 66 | 14,796 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 71 | 14,983 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 72 |
|  |  |  |  | RCCO | 13,735 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 66 | 14,796 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 71 | 14,983 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 72 |
| 60 | 1250 | P9 | 241W | T15 | 25,575 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 106 | 27,551 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 114 | 27,900 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 116 |
|  |  |  |  | T2S | 25,548 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 106 | 27,522 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 114 | 27,871 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 116 |
|  |  |  |  | T2M | 25,680 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 107 | 27,664 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 115 | 28,014 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 116 |
|  |  |  |  | T3S | 24,870 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 103 | 26,791 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 111 | 27,130 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 113 |
|  |  |  |  | T3M | 25,617 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 106 | 27,597 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 115 | 27,946 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 116 |
|  |  |  |  | T4M | 25,061 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 104 | 26,997 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 112 | 27,339 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 113 |
|  |  |  |  | TFTM | 25,602 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 106 | 27,580 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 114 | 27,929 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 116 |
|  |  |  |  | T5VS | 26,626 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 110 | 28,684 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 119 | 29,047 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 121 |
|  |  |  |  | T5S | 26,648 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 111 | 28,707 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 119 | 29,070 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 121 |
|  |  |  |  | T5M | 26,581 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 110 | 28,635 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 119 | 28,997 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 120 |
|  |  |  |  | T5W | 26,406 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 110 | 28,447 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 118 | 28,807 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 120 |
|  |  |  |  | BLC | 20,990 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 87 | 22,612 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 94 | 22,898 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 95 |
|  |  |  |  | LCCO | 15,619 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 65 | 16,825 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 70 | 17,038 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 71 |
|  |  |  |  | RCCO | 15,619 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 65 | 16,825 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 70 | 17,038 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 71 |

## Performance Data

Lumen Output
Lumen values are from photometric tests performed in accordance with IESNA LM-79-08. Data is considered to be representative of the configurations shown, within the tolerances allowed by Lighting Facts. Contact factory for
performance data on any configurations not shown here.

| Rotated Optics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LED Count | Drive | Power | System | Dist. | $\begin{gathered} 30 \mathrm{~K} \\ (3000 \mathrm{~K}, 70 \mathrm{CRI}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 40 \mathrm{~K} \\ (4000 \mathrm{~K}, 70 \mathrm{CRI}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 50 \mathrm{~K} \\ (5000 \mathrm{~K}, 70 \mathrm{CRI}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Lumens | B | U | G | LPW | Lumens | B | U | G | LPW | Lumens | B | U | G | LPW |
| 60 | 530 | P10 | 106W | T15 | 13,042 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 123 | 14,050 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 133 | 14,228 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 134 |
|  |  |  |  | T2S | 12,967 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 122 | 13,969 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 132 | 14,146 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 133 |
|  |  |  |  | T2M | 13,201 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 125 | 14,221 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 134 | 14,401 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 136 |
|  |  |  |  | T3S | 12,766 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 120 | 13,752 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 130 | 13,926 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 131 |
|  |  |  |  | T3M | 13,193 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 124 | 14,213 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 134 | 14,393 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 136 |
|  |  |  |  | T4M | 12,944 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 122 | 13,945 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 132 | 14,121 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 133 |
|  |  |  |  | TFTM | 13,279 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 125 | 14,305 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 135 | 14,486 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 137 |
|  |  |  |  | T5VS | 13,372 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 126 | 14,405 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 136 | 14,588 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 138 |
|  |  |  |  | T5S | 13,260 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 125 | 14,284 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 135 | 14,465 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 136 |
|  |  |  |  | T5M | 13,256 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 125 | 14,281 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 135 | 14,462 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 136 |
|  |  |  |  | T5W | 13,137 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 124 | 14,153 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 134 | 14,332 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 135 |
|  |  |  |  | BLC | 10,906 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 103 | 11,749 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 111 | 11,898 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 112 |
|  |  |  |  | LCCO | 7,789 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 73 | 8,391 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 79 | 8,497 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 80 |
|  |  |  |  | RCCO | 7,779 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 73 | 8,380 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 79 | 8,486 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 80 |
| 60 | 700 | P11 | 137W | T1S | 16,556 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 121 | 17,835 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 130 | 18,061 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 132 |
|  |  |  |  | T2S | 16,461 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 120 | 17,733 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 129 | 17,957 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 131 |
|  |  |  |  | T2M | 16,758 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 122 | 18,053 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 132 | 18,281 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 133 |
|  |  |  |  | T3S | 16,205 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 118 | 17,457 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 127 | 17,678 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 129 |
|  |  |  |  | T3M | 16,748 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 122 | 18,042 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 132 | 18,271 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 133 |
|  |  |  |  | T4M | 16,432 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 120 | 17,702 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 129 | 17,926 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 131 |
|  |  |  |  | TFTM | 16,857 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 123 | 18,159 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 133 | 18,389 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 134 |
|  |  |  |  | T5VS | 16,975 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 124 | 18,287 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 133 | 18,518 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 135 |
|  |  |  |  | T5S | 16,832 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 123 | 18,133 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 132 | 18,362 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 134 |
|  |  |  |  | T5M | 16,828 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 123 | 18,128 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 132 | 18,358 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 134 |
|  |  |  |  | T5W | 16,677 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 122 | 17,966 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 131 | 18,193 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 133 |
|  |  |  |  | BLC | 13,845 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 101 | 14,915 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 109 | 15,103 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 110 |
|  |  |  |  | LCCO | 9,888 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 72 | 10,652 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 78 | 10,787 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 79 |
|  |  |  |  | RCCO | 9,875 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 72 | 10,638 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 78 | 10,773 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 79 |
| 60 | 1050 | P12 | 207W | T15 | 22,996 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 111 | 24,773 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 120 | 25,087 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 121 |
|  |  |  |  | T2S | 22,864 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 110 | 24,631 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 119 | 24,943 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 120 |
|  |  |  |  | T2M | 23,277 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 112 | 25,075 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 121 | 25,393 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 123 |
|  |  |  |  | T3S | 22,509 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 109 | 24,248 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 117 | 24,555 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 119 |
|  |  |  |  | T3M | 23,263 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 112 | 25,061 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 121 | 25,378 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 123 |
|  |  |  |  | T4M | 22,824 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 110 | 24,588 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 119 | 24,899 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 120 |
|  |  |  |  | TFTM | 23,414 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 113 | 25,223 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 122 | 25,543 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 123 |
|  |  |  |  | T5VS | 23,579 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 114 | 25,401 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 123 | 25,722 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 124 |
|  |  |  |  | T5S | 23,380 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 113 | 25,187 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 122 | 25,506 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 123 |
|  |  |  |  | T5M | 23,374 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 113 | 25,181 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 122 | 25,499 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 123 |
|  |  |  |  | T5W | 23,165 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 112 | 24,955 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 121 | 25,271 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 122 |
|  |  |  |  | BLC | 19,231 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 93 | 20,717 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 100 | 20,979 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 101 |
|  |  |  |  | LCCO | 13,734 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 66 | 14,796 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 71 | 14,983 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 72 |
|  |  |  |  | RCCO | 13,716 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 66 | 14,776 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 71 | 14,963 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 72 |
| 60 | 1250 | P13 | 231W | T15 | 25,400 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 110 | 27,363 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 118 | 27,709 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 120 |
|  |  |  |  | T2S | 25,254 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 109 | 27,205 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 118 | 27,550 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 119 |
|  |  |  |  | T2M | 25,710 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 111 | 27,696 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 120 | 28,047 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 121 |
|  |  |  |  | T3S | 24,862 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 108 | 26,783 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 116 | 27,122 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 117 |
|  |  |  |  | T3M | 25,695 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 111 | 27,680 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 120 | 28,031 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 121 |
|  |  |  |  | T4M | 25,210 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 109 | 27,158 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 118 | 27,502 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 119 |
|  |  |  |  | TFTM | 25,861 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 112 | 27,860 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 121 | 28,212 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 122 |
|  |  |  |  | T5VS | 26,043 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 113 | 28,056 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 121 | 28,411 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 123 |
|  |  |  |  | T5S | 25,824 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 112 | 27,819 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 120 | 28,172 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 122 |
|  |  |  |  | T5M | 25,818 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 112 | 27,813 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 120 | 28,165 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 122 |
|  |  |  |  | T5W | 25,586 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 111 | 27,563 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 119 | 27,912 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 121 |
|  |  |  |  | BLC | 21,241 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 92 | 22,882 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 99 | 23,172 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 100 |
|  |  |  |  | LCCO | 15,170 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 66 | 16,342 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 71 | 16,549 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 72 |
|  |  |  |  | RCCO | 15,150 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 66 | 16,321 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 71 | 16,527 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 72 |

COMMERCIAL OUTDOOR

## FEATURES \& SPECIFICATIONS

## INTENDED USE

The sleek design of the D-Series Size 1 reflects the embedded high performance LED technology. It is ideal for many commercial and municipal applications, such as parking lots, plazas, campuses, and streetscapes.

## CONSTRUCTION

Single-piece die-cast aluminum housing has integral heat sink fins to optimize thermal management through conductive and convective cooling. Modular design allows for ease of maintenance and future light engine upgrades. The LED drivers are mounted in direct contact with the casting to promote low operating temperature and long life. Housing is completely sealed against moisture and environmental contaminants (IP65). Low EPA ( $1.01 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ) for optimized pole wind loading

## FINISH

Exterior parts are protected by a zinc-infused Super Durable TGIC thermoset powder coat finish that provides superior resistance to corrosion and weathering A tightly controlled multi-stage process ensures a minimum 3 mils thickness for a finish that can withstand extreme climate changes without cracking or peeling Available in both textured and non-textured finishes.

## OPTICS

Precision-molded proprietary acrylic lenses are engineered for superior area lighting distribution, uniformity, and pole spacing. Light engines are available in standard 3000 K, 4000 K and 5000 K ( 70 CRI) configurations. The D-Series Size 1 has zero uplight and qualifies as a Nighttime Friendly ${ }^{\top M}$ product, meaning it is consistent with the LEED ${ }^{\circledR}$ and Green Globes ${ }^{\top}{ }^{\text {M }}$ criteria for eliminating wasteful uplight.

## ELECTRICAL

Light engine configurations consist of high-efficacy LEDs mounted to metalcore circuit boards to maximize heat dissipation and promote long life (up to L85/100,000 hours at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Class 1 electronic drivers are designed to have a power factor $>90 \%$, THD $<20 \%$, and an expected life of 100,000 hours with $<1 \%$ failure rate. Easily serviceable 10 kV surge protection device meets a minimum Category C Low operation (per ANSI/IEEE C62.41.2).

## STANDARD CONTROLS

The DSX1 LED area luminaire has a number of control options. DSX Size 1, comes standard with 0-10V dimming drivers. Dusk to dawn controls can be utilized via optional NEMA twist-lock photocell receptacles. Integrated motion sensors with on-board photocells feature field-adjustable programing and are suitable for mounting heights up to 30 feet.

## nLIGHT AIR CONTROLS

The DSX1 LED area luminaire is also available with nLight® AIR for the ultimate in wireless control. This powerful controls platform provides out-of-the-box basic motion sensing and photocontrol functionality and is suitable for mounting heights up to 40 feet. Once commissioned using a smartphone and the easy-touse CLAIRITY app, nLight AIR equipped luminaries can be grouped, resulting in motion sensor and photocell group response without the need for additional equipment. Scheduled dimming with motion sensor over-ride can be achieved when used with the nLight Eclypse. Additional information about nLight Air can be found here.

## INSTALLATION

Included mounting block and integral arm facilitate quick and easy installation. Stainless steel bolts fasten the mounting block securely to poles and walls,
enabling the D-Series Size 1 to withstand up to a 3.0 G vibration load rating per ANSI C136.31. The D-Series Size 1 utilizes the AERIS ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ series pole drilling pattern (template \#8). NEMA photocontrol receptacle are also available.

## LISTINGS

UL listed to meet U.S. and Canadian standards. UL Listed for wet locations. Light engines are IP66 rated; luminaire is IP65 rated. Rated for $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ minimum ambient. U.S. Patent No. D672,492 S. International patent pending.

DesignLights Consortium® (DLC) Premium qualified product and DLC qualified product. Not all versions of this product may be DLC Premium qualified or DLC qualified. Please check the DLC Qualified Products List at www. designlights.org/ QPL to confirm which versions are qualified.
International Dark-Sky Association (IDA) Fixture Seal of Approval (FSA) is available for all products on this page utilizing 3000K color temperature only.

## BUY AMERICAN

Product with the BAA option is assembled in the USA and meets the Buy
America(n) government procurement requirements under FAR, DFARS and DOT. Please refer to www.acuitybrands.com/buy-american for additional information.

## WARRANTY

5-year limited warranty. Complete warranty terms located at:
www.acuitybrands.com/support/customer-support/terms-and-conditions
Note: Actual performance may differ as a result of end-user environment and application.
All values are design or typical values, measured under laboratory conditions at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
Specifications subject to change without notice.
COMMERCIAL OUTDOOR


## Specifications

Depth (D1): 10"
Depth (D2): 2"
Height:
Width:

## Weight:

(without options)



## Introduction

The WDGE LED family is designed to meet specifier's every wall-mounted lighting need in a widely accepted shape that blends with any architecture. The clean rectilinear design comes in four sizes with lumen packages ranging from 1,200 to 25,000 lumens, providing a true site-wide solution. Embedded with nLight® AIR wireless controls, the WDGE family provides additional energy savings and code compliance.
WDGE4 has been designed to deliver up to 25,000 lumens through a precision refractive lens with wide distribution, perfect for augmenting the lighting from pole mounted luminaires.

## WDGE LED Family Overview

| Luminaire | Standard EM, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Cold EM, $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Sensor | Lumens (4000K) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | P1 | P2 | P3 | P4 | P5 | P6 |
| WDGE1 LED | 4W | -- | -- | 1,200 | 2,000 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| WDGE2 LED | 10W | 18W | Standalone /nLight | 1,200 | 2,000 | 3,000 | 4,500 | 6,000 | -- |
| WDGE3 LED | 15W | 18W | Standalone /nLight | 7,500 | 8,500 | 10,000 | 12,000 | -- | -- |
| WDGE4LED | -- | -- | Standalone / nLight | 12,000 | 16,000 | 18,000 | 20,000 | 22,000 | 25,000 |

## Ordering Information

EXAMPLE: WDGE4 LED P3 40K 70CRI R3 MVOLT SRM DDBXD


| Accessories <br> Ordered and shipped separately. |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| WDGEAWS DDBXD | WDGE 3/8inch Architectural Wall Spacer (specify finish) |
| WDGE4PBBW DDBXDU | WDGE4 surface-mounted back box (specify finish) |

## NOTES

1347 V and 480 V not available with DS.
2 PE not available in 480 V and with sensors/controls.
WDGE4PBBW DDBXD U WDGE4 surface-mounted back box (specify finish)
3 DS and DMG not available with sensors/controls.
4 Not qualified for DLC. Not available with emergency battery backup.
LITHONIA
LIGHTING.

## COMMERCIAL OUTDOOR
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## Performance Data

## Lumen Output

Lumen values are from photometric tests performed in accordance with IESNA LM-79-08. Data is considered to be representative of the configurations shown, within the tolerances allowed by Lighting Facts. Contact factory for performance data on any configurations not shown here.

| Performance Package | System Watts | Dist. Type | 30K (3000K, 70 CRI) |  |  |  |  | 40K (4000K, 70 CRI) |  |  |  |  | 50 K ( $5000 \mathrm{~K}, 70 \mathrm{CRI}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Lumens | LPW | B | U | G | Lumens | LPW | B | U | G | Lumens | LPW | B | U | G |
| P1 | 77W | R2 | 11,173 | 146 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 12,125 | 158 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 12,125 | 158 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | R3 | 10,951 | 143 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 11,884 | 155 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 11,884 | 155 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
|  |  | R4 | 11,224 | 147 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 12,180 | 159 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 12,180 | 159 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
|  |  | RFT | 11,104 | 145 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 12,050 | 157 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 12,050 | 157 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| P2 | 106W | R2 | 14,960 | 141 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 16,235 | 153 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 16,235 | 153 | 3 | 0 | 2 |
|  |  | R3 | 14,663 | 138 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 15,912 | 150 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 15,912 | 150 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
|  |  | R4 | 15,028 | 141 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 16,308 | 153 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 16,308 | 153 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
|  |  | RFT | 14,868 | 140 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 16,134 | 152 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 16,134 | 152 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| P3 | 123W | R2 | 16,993 | 138 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 18,441 | 150 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 18,441 | 150 | 3 | 0 | 2 |
|  |  | R3 | 16,655 | 136 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 18,074 | 147 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 18,074 | 147 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
|  |  | R4 | 17,070 | 139 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 18,524 | 151 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 18,524 | 151 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
|  |  | RFT | 16,888 | 138 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 18,327 | 149 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 18,327 | 149 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| P4 | 140W | R2 | 18,958 | 136 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 20,573 | 147 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 20,573 | 147 | 3 | 0 | 2 |
|  |  | R3 | 18,581 | 133 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 20,164 | 144 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 20,164 | 144 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
|  |  | R4 | 19,044 | 136 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 20,667 | 148 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 20,667 | 148 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
|  |  | RFT | 18,841 | 135 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 20,446 | 146 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 20,446 | 146 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| P5 | 156W | R2 | 20,919 | 134 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 22,702 | 146 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 22,702 | 146 | 3 | 0 | 2 |
|  |  | R3 | 20,503 | 132 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 22,250 | 143 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 22,250 | 143 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
|  |  | R4 | 21,014 | 135 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 22,804 | 147 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 22,804 | 147 | 3 | 0 | 4 |
|  |  | RFT | 20,790 | 134 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 22,561 | 145 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 22,561 | 145 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| P6 | 185W | R2 | 23,725 | 128 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 25,746 | 139 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 25,746 | 139 | 3 | 0 | 2 |
|  |  | R3 | 23,253 | 126 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 25,234 | 136 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 25,234 | 136 | 3 | 0 | 4 |
|  |  | R4 | 23,832 | 129 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 25,863 | 140 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 25,863 | 140 | 3 | 0 | 4 |
|  |  | RFT | 23,578 | 127 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 25,587 | 138 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 25,587 | 138 | 3 | 0 | 4 |

## Electrical Load

| Performance <br> Package | System Watts | Current (A) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 120 V | 208 V | 240 V | 277 V | 347 V | 480 V |  |  |
| P1 |  | 0.635 | 0.366 | 0.319 | 0.280 | 0.223 | 0.161 |  |  |
| P2 |  | 0.889 | 0.514 | 0.449 | 0.395 | 0.309 | 0.228 |  |  |
| P3 |  | 1.014 | 0.585 | 0.510 | 0.447 | 0.356 | 0.258 |  |  |
| P4 |  | 1.159 | 0.668 | 0.582 | 0.509 | 0.403 | 0.294 |  |  |
| P5 |  | 1.296 | 0.743 | 0.647 | 0.564 | 0.451 | 0.326 |  |  |
| P6 |  | 1.512 | 0.864 | 0.751 | 0.655 | 0.526 | 0.378 |  |  |

## Lumen Ambient Temperature (LAT) Multipliers

Use these factors to determine relative lumen output for average ambient emperatures from $0-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(32-104^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$.

| Ambient |  | Lumen Multiplier |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $32^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 1.05 |
| $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $50^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 1.03 |
| $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $68^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 1.01 |
| $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $77^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 1.00 |
| $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $86^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 0.99 |
| $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $104^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 0.97 |

Lumen Multiplier for 80CRI

| CCT | Multiplier |
| :---: | :---: |
| 30 K | 0.891 |
| 40 K | 0.906 |
| 50 K | 0.906 |

Projected LED Lumen Maintenance
Data references the extrapolated performance projections for the platforms noted in a $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ mbient, based on 10,000 hours of LED testing (tested per IESNA LM-80-08 and projected per ESNA TM-21-11).
To calculate LLF, use the lumen maintenance factor that corresponds to the desired number of operating hours below. For other lumen maintenance values, contact factory.

| Operating Hours | 0 | 25,000 | 50,000 | 100,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lumen Maintenance Factor | 1.0 | $>0.98$ | $>0.96$ | $>0.92$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

LIGHTING

## Photometric Diagrams <br> To see complete photometric reports or download .ies files for this product, visit the Lithonia Lighting WDGE LED homepage.

 Tested in accordance with IESNA LM-79 and LM-80 standards.

## Control / Sensor Options

## Motion/Ambient Sensor (PIR_, PIRH_)

Motion/Ambeint sensor (Sensor Switch MSOD) is integrated into the the luminaire. The sensor provides both Motion and Daylight based dimming of the luminaire. For motion detection, the sensor utilizes $100 \%$ Digital Passive Infrared (PIR) technology that is tuned for walking size motion while preventing false tripping from the environment. The integrated photocell enables additional energy savings during daytime periods when there is sufficient daylight. Optimize sensor coverage by either selecting PIR or PIRH option. PIR option comes with a sensor lens that is optimized to provide maximum coverage for mounting heights between $8-15 \mathrm{ft}$, while PIRH is optimized for $15-40 \mathrm{ft}$ mounting height.

## Networked Control (NLTAIR2)

$n$ Light $®$ AIR is a wireless lighting controls platform that allows for seamless integration of both indoor and outdoor luminaires. Five-tier security architecture, 900 MHz wireless communication and app (CLAIRITYTM Pro) based configurability combined together make nLight® AIR a secure, reliable and easy to use platform.


PIRH


| Option | Dim Level | High Level (when triggered | Photocell Operation | Motion Time Delay | Ramp-down Time | Ramp-up Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PIR or PIRH | Motion - 3V (37\% of full output) Photocell - OV (turned off) | 10V (100\% output) | Enabled @ 5fc | 5 min | 5 min | Motion-3 sec <br> Photocell - 45 sec |
| PIR1FC3V, PIRH1FC3V | Motion - 3V (37\% of full output) Photocell - OV (turned off) | 10V (100\% output) | Enabled @ 1fc | 5 min | 5 min | Motion-3 sec <br> Photocell - 45 sec |
| NLTAIR2 PIR, NLTAIR2 PIRH (out of box) | Motion - 3V (37\% of full output) Photocell - OV (turned off) | 10V (100\% output) | Enabled @ 5fc | 7.5 min | 5 min | Motion-3 sec <br> Photocell - 45 sec |

[^4]
## Mounting, Options \& Accessories



NLTAIR2 PIR - nLight AIR Motion/Ambient Sensor

D $=10^{\prime \prime}$
H $=11^{\prime \prime}$
$\mathrm{W}=25^{\prime \prime}$


PBBW - Surface-Mounted Back Box Use when there is no junction box available.
D $=1.75^{\prime \prime}$
H = 9"
W=25"


AWS - 3/8inch Architectural Wall Spacer
D $=0.38^{\prime \prime}$
H $=4.4^{\prime \prime}$
$W=7.5^{\prime \prime}$

## FEATURES \& SPECIFICATIONS

## INTENDED USE

Common architectural look, with clean rectilinear shape, of the WDGE LED was designed to blend with any type of construction, whether it be tilt-up, frame or brick. Applications include commercial offices, warehouses, hospitals, schools, malls, restaurants, and other commercial buildings.

## CONSTRUCTION

The single-piece die-cast aluminum housing to optimize thermal transfer from the light engine and promote long life. The driver is mounted in direct contact with the casting for a low operating temperature and long life. The die-cast door frame is fully gasketed with a one-piece solid silicone gasket to keep out moisture and dust, providing an IP66 rating for the luminaire

## FINISH

Exterior painted parts are protected by a zinc-infused Super Durable TGIC thermoset powder coat finish that provides superior resistance to corrosion and weathering. A tightly controlled multi-stage process ensures a 3 mils thickness for a finish that can withstand extreme climate changes without cracking or peeling. Standard Super Durable colors include dark bronze, black, natural aluminum, sandstone and white. Available in textured and non-textured finishes.
OPTICS
Individually formed acrylic lenses are engineered for superior application efficiency which maximizes the light in the areas where it is most needed. Light engines are available in $3000 \mathrm{~K}, 4000 \mathrm{~K}$ or 5000 K configurations. The WDGE LED has zero uplight and qualifies as a Nighttime Friendly ${ }^{\top M}$ product, meaning it is consistent with the LEED® and Green Globes ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ criteria for eliminating wasteful uplight.

## ELECTRICAL

Light engine consists of high-efficacy LEDs mounted to metal-core circuit boards to maximize heat dissipation and promote long life (up to L92/100,000 hours at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The electronic driver has a power factor of $>90 \%$, THD $<20 \%$. Luminaire comes with built in 6kV surge protection, which meets a minimum Category C low exposure (per ANSI/IEEE $\mathrm{C} 62.41 .2)$. Fixture ships standard with $0-10 \mathrm{v}$ dimmable driver.

## INSTALLATION

A universal mounting plate with integral mounting support arms allows the fixture to hinge down for easy access while making wiring connections. The 3/8" Architectural Wall Spacer (AWS) can be used to create a floating appearance or to accommodate small imperfections in the wall surface. The ICW option can be used to mount the luminaire inverted for indirect lighting in dry and damp locations. Design can withstand up to a 1.5 G vibration load rating per ANSI C136.31

## LISTINGS

CSA certified to U.S. and Canadian standards. Light engines are IP66 rated; luminaire is IP65 rated. PIR options are rated for wet location. Rated for $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ minimum ambient. DesignLights Consortium ${ }^{\otimes}$ (DLC) Premium qualified product and DLC qualified product. Not all versions of this product may be DLC Premium qualified or DLC qualified. Please check the DLC Qualified Products List at www.designlights.org/QPL to confirm which versions are qualified. International Dark-Sky Association (IDA) Fixture Seal of Approval (FSA) is available for all products on this page utilizing 3000K color temperature and SRM mounting only.

## BUY AMERICAN

Product with the BAA option is assembled in the USA and meets the Buy America(n) government procurement requirements under FAR, DFARS and DOT. Please refer to

WARRANTY
5 -year limited warranty. This is the only warranty provided and no other statements in this specification sheet create any warranty of any kind. All other express and implied warranties are disclaimed. Complete warranty terms located at:

Note: Actual performance may differ as a result of end-user environment and application. All values are design or typical values, measured under laboratory conditions at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Specifications subject to change without notice.
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## SUMMARY

The purpose of this storm drainage design is to calculate the amount of stormwater detention and water quality requirements that will be needed to fully develop Tax Lot 1111, Map 2S 4E S14. The tax lot is owned by Johnson RV.

The current site contains two buildings, an office building and a metal industrial building. The site is also being used as an outright industrial use. These calculations will satisfy the condition of approval and also will provide a detention and water quality system for the entire site based on the current master plan.

The pervious landscape area proposed on the plan is $23,889 \mathrm{sf}(15.9 \%)$ of the site. For this analysis the minimum pervious area used is $22,514 \mathrm{sf}(15 \%)$. This is a conservative number as the minimum landscape area requirement is $15 \%$. The total site area is $150,091 \mathrm{sf}$ ( 3.45 acres).

The purpose of this summary is to overview the components of the system detailed in the following calculations. The detention system proposed is designed in accordance with the City of Sandy standards and the City of Portland Stormwater Management Manual. The following detention calculations demonstrate the total volume requirements needed to detain the new impervious area and release at the pre-developed rates for all of the design storms: 2, 5, 10, and 25 year event, in accordance with the City of Sandy Code. A new on-site water quality manhole can be used to provide water quality treatment for the project. A detention tank has been chosen for stormwater detention. The attached calculations demonstrate how the design meets the requirements of the City of Sandy.

## KING COUNTY SURFACE WATER DETENTION CALCULATIONS

- RAINFALL (From the City of Sandy Storm Drainage Master Plan dated November 1999)

25 yr ., 24 hr . rainfall $=5.5$ "
10 yr., 24 hr . rainfall $=4.8$ "
5 yr., 24 hr . rainfall $=4.5$ "
2 yr., 24 hr. rainfall $=3.5^{\prime \prime}$

- SOILS

From the "Soil Survey of Clackamas County" the soil is a type 15B which is a Cazadero Silty Clay Loam which belongs to the hydrologic group "C".

- AREAS AND CURVE NUMBERS (Curve numbers are from the King County Surface Water Design Manual - Areas were found using AutoCad). The total site area is 3.45 ac

EXISTING CONDITIONS:
Pre-Developed Impervious areas:
Gravel $=94,679 \mathrm{sf}(2.17$ acres $)(\mathrm{CN}=89$, gravel) $(63.08 \%) \quad 56.1412$
Pavement, roof, and concrete $=37,291 \mathrm{sf}(0.856$ acres $)(\mathrm{CN}=98$, pavement) (36.92\%)
Total Impervious $=132,420 \mathrm{sf}(3.04 \mathrm{ac}$, weighted $\mathrm{CN}=92.32$
Pre-Developed Pervious areas:
Total Pervious $=18,121 \mathrm{sf}(0.42 \mathrm{ac}$, weighted $\mathrm{CN}=86$

## PROPOSED CONDITIONS Fully Developed:

Developed Impervious areas:
Total Impervious $=127,577 \mathrm{sf}(2.93 \mathrm{ac}, \mathrm{CN}=98$ pavement and roofs $)$
Developed Pervious areas:
Total Pervious $=22,514 \mathrm{sf}$ ( $0.52 \mathrm{ac}, \mathrm{CN}=86$, landscaping)
TIME OF CONCENTRATION (See the Time of Concentration Calculator Printouts)

## Detention Basin

Pre-Developed Tc $=5.5$ minutes (See the Time of Concentration Calculator Printouts) Developed Tc = 5 minutes (assumed)

- HYDROGRAPH MODELING RESULTS
(See hydrograph program printouts from the King County Hydrograph Program)
- DETENTION SIZING RESULTS (From hydrograph program printout)

A 3' diameter tank size was used in this analysis.

## 3' Detention Tank

A 3-foot diameter pipe was used to calculate the orifices.
Required Storage: 2,417 cubic feet.
Minimum 3-foot diameter pipe length required is 342 feet
Orifice Table:

| Orifice | Diameter <br> (inches) | Height |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bottom | $5.93^{\prime \prime}$ | $0.00^{\prime}$ |
| Middle | $7.18^{\prime \prime}$ | $0.83^{\prime}$ |
| Top | 99.32 degree weir | $2.56^{\prime}$ |

## WATER QUALITY DESIGN

The water quality system designed for this site is a Contech Solutions water quality manhole, or approved equal. As required by the City Code, the rational method was used to calculate the water quality treatment flow. The system is designed as a flow-rate based treatment facility.

- AREAS (Areas were found using AutoCAD)

Water Quality Basin
Total Developed Impervious area $=127,577 \mathbf{s f}$ (2.93 acres)

- RATIONAL METHOD RATE BASED CALCULATIONS
$Q=C I A$
$C=0.90$ (impervious area, including pavement, sidewalks, roof area
I = $0.2 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$
$A=2.93$ acres
$Q=0.90 \times 0.2 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr} \times 2.93$ acres $=\underline{0.53 \mathrm{cfs}}$
The water quality system will need to be designed for the peak flow of 0.53 cfs.


## Water Quality Manhole

The recommended water quality manhole is a CDS2015-4-C by Contech Solution or approved equal.
This unit can treat up to 0.7 cfs . It has an internal bypass capable of handling 10.0 cfs .

## CONCLUSION:

The total detention volume needed for the 3 ' diameter detention tank is 2,417 cubic feet. We recommend using a CDS2015-4-C unit by Contech Solution for water quality. The above calculations demonstrate how the proposed storm water improvements meet City Code requirements for this site.

## Appendix A

(This page is intentionally left blank)

Project Name: Johnson RV
PRE-DEVELOPED - TIME OF CONCENTRATION CALCULATIONS

| Job \# | $21-375$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date: | $6 / 14 / 2022$ |




|  |  |  |  |  |  | = travel time in swale (min) <br> = area of flow (sf) <br> $=$ hydraulic radius ( ft ) <br> = side slope wet (ft) <br> = quantity of flow (ft^3/sec) <br> = velocity <br> = flow length (ft) <br> = vertical distance of side <br> = horizontal distance of side <br> = base width of swale (in) <br> = depth of flow ESTIMATE (in) <br> = slope of the swale (\%) <br> = Manning's coefficient (channel) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tc = | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A = | 6.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{R}=$ | 0.59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ls = | 4.12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Q = | 3.12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{V}=$ | 0.52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L = | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ve}=$ | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ho}=$ | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bw = | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| D = | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S = | 1.00\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| Flow in Pipes |
| :--- |
| $\mathrm{Tc}=$ $\mathbf{0 . 0}$   total  <br> $\mathrm{V}=$ 10.15    $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ <br> $\mathrm{Q}=$ = travel time in pipe (min)     <br> = calculated velocity pipe full (ft/sec)      <br> $\mathrm{n}=$ 7.96     <br> $\mathrm{D}=$ 0.013     <br> = quantity of flow (ft^3/sec)      <br> = Manning's coefficient (pipe)      |
| $\mathrm{S}=$ |
| $\mathrm{L}=$ |

## Standard formulas used for the Time of Concentration Calculations

## Overland Flow (max 300' total)

| $(0.42)[(N S)(L)]^{0.8}$ |
| :--- |
| $\left(P_{2}\right)^{0.5}\left(S_{0}\right)^{0.4}$ |


| Tc | = time of concentration for less than 300' of travel (minut |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ns | = sheet flow Manning's effective roughness coefficient |
| L | = flow length (ft) |
| P2 | = 2-year, 24 hour rainfall (in) |
| So | = slope of hydraulic grade line (land slope, ft/ft) |

## Shallow Concentrated Flow (after initial 300')

$\mathrm{T}=\frac{L}{(60)\left(k \sqrt{S_{0}}\right)}$

| T | $=$ travel time for sheet flow (min) |
| :---: | :--- |
| L | $=$ flow length (ft) |
| So | $=$ slope of hydraulic grade line (land slope, ft/ft) |
| K | $=$ time of concentration velocity factor (ft/s) |

Flow in Swales
$Q=(1.486 / n) \times A \times R^{\wedge} 2 / 3 \times S^{\wedge} 1 / 2$ (Manning's Equation)


## Flow in gutters

$\mathrm{V}=\frac{1.12}{n}(S)^{\wedge} 0.5(S x)^{\wedge} .67(T)^{\wedge} 0.67$

| Tc | of concentration for gutter flow (minutes) |
| :---: | :---: |
| V | = average velocity of flow (ft/sec) |
| Q | = quantity of flow (ft^3/sec) |
| S | $=$ street longitudinal slope (ft/ft) |
| Sx | = street cross slope (ft/ft) |
| T | = total width of flow in the gutter (ft) |
| n | = sheet flow Manning's (pavement $=0.018$ ) |
| L | = Length of flow (ft) |

Flow in pipes
Mannings Equation

| Tc | = time of concentration in pipe (minutes) |
| :---: | :---: |
| V | = calculated velocity pipe full (ft/sec) |
| Q | = quantity of flow ( $\mathrm{ft}^{\wedge} 3 / \mathrm{sec}$ ) |
| n | = Manning's n |
| D | = pipe Diameter (in) |
| S | = slope (ft/ft) |
| L | = length of pipe |

## COEFFICIENTS

```
Ns = = Manning's coefficient (sheet flow)
            n}\mathrm{ values are for sheet flow only
Design Value
    0.011 Concrete or asphalt
    0.010 Bare soil
    0.020 Graveled surface
    0.020 Bare clay - loam (eroded)
    0.150 Grass (short prairie)
    0.240 Grass (dense lawn)
    0.410 Grass (bermuda)
    0.400 Woods (light underbrush)
    0.800 Woods (dense underbrush)
```

$\mathrm{k}=$ = time of concentration velocity factor ( $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ )
Design Value
3 Forest with heavy ground cover and meadows ( $n=0.10$ )
$5 \quad$ Brushy ground with some trees ( $n=0.060$ )
8 Fallow or cultivation ( $n=0.040$ )
9 High grass ( $\mathrm{n}=0.035$ )
11 Short grass, pasture or lawns ( $n=0.030$ )
13 Nearly bare ground ( $n=0.025$ )
$27 \quad$ Paved and gravel areas $(n=0.012)$
$\mathrm{n}=$ = Manning's coefficient (channel)
Design Value
CONSTRUCTED CHANNELS
A. Earth, straight and uniform
0.018 Earth (straight and uniform)
0.025 Gravel (straight and uniform)
0.027 Grass (with weeds)
B. Earth, winding and sluggish
0.025 Earth (no vegetation)
0.030 Grass (some weeds)
0.035 Dense weeds (deep channel)
0.030 Earth (rubble bottom and sides)
0.035 Stony bottom and weedy banks
0.040 Cobble bottom with clean sides
C. Rock lined
0.035 Smooth and uniform
0.040 Jagged and irregular
D. Channels not maintained (weeds and brush uncut)
0.050 Dense weeds (high as flow depth)
0.050 Clean bottom (brush on sides)
0.100 Dense brush (high stage)
0.200 Water quality swales (mowed regulary)
NATURAL STREAMS
0.029 Clean (straight no pools)
0.035 Clean (straight no pools with weeds and stones)
0.039 Clean (winding pools )
0.042 Clean (winding pools weeds and stones)
0.052 Clean (winding pools weeds and large stones)
0.065 Weedy (sluggish with deep pools)
0.112 Very weedy (sluggish with deep pools)

## SCS RUNOFF CURVE NUMBERS (CN)

For Selected Land Uses


Project Name: Johnson RV
Hydrograph Analysis Summary
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Job \# } & \begin{array}{l}21-375 \\ \text { Date: } \\ \\ 6 / 14 / 2022\end{array} \\ \end{array}$

| Rainfall (year) | Rainfall (inches) | Pre-Developed |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Pervious |  |
| 2 | 3.50 | Area = | 0.42 acres |
| 5 | 4.50 | $\mathrm{CN}=$ | 86 na |
| 10 | 4.80 | Impervious |  |
| 25 | 5.50 | Area = | 3.03 acres |
| 100 | 0.00 | $\mathrm{CN}=$ | 92.32 na |
|  |  | Tc = | 5.5 min |
|  |  | Total $\mathrm{A}=$ | 3.45 acres |


| Developed |  | Note: The hydrographs <br> shown are based on the <br> Pervious |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Area $=$ | 0.52 acres | S.C.S. Type - 1A, 24 hour <br> CN $=$ <br> storm using the SBUH <br> method based on the King <br> Impervious |
| County Model. |  |  |
| Area $=$ | 86 na | 2.93 acres |
| CN $=$ | 98 na |  |
| Tc $=$ | 5 min |  |
| Total A $=$ | 3.45 acres |  |
|  |  |  |


| Pre-Developed Hydrographs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | => | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 100 |
| Qpeak | cfs => | 2.50 | 3.45 | 3.74 | 4.40 | 0.00 |
| Volume | cf => | 32,530 | 44,551 | 48,192 | 56,729 | - |
| Tpeak | min => | 470 | 470 | 470 | 470 | 10 |
| Tpeak | hr => | 7.83 | 7.83 | 7.83 | 7.83 | 0.17 |
| Hydrograph | me=> | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 100 |
| Time |  | Hyd | Hyd | Hyd | Hyd | Hyd |
| $(\min ) 0$ | 0.00 | (cfs) 0.00 | (cfs) 0.00 | (cfs) 0.00 | (cfs) 0.00 | (cfs) 0.00 |
| 10 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 20 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 30 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 40 | 0.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 50 | 0.83 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 60 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 70 | 1.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 80 | 1.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 90 | 1.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| 100 | 1.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.00 |
| 110 | 1.83 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.00 |
| 120 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.00 |
| 130 | 2.17 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.11 | 0.00 |
| 140 | 2.33 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.13 | 0.00 |
| 150 | 2.50 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.00 |
| 160 | 2.67 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.17 | 0.00 |
| 170 | 2.83 | 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.21 | 0.00 |
| 180 | 3.00 | 0.08 | 0.16 | 0.19 | 0.25 | 0.00 |
| 190 | 3.17 | 0.09 | 0.18 | 0.21 | 0.28 | 0.00 |
| 200 | 3.33 | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.23 | 0.30 | 0.00 |
| 210 | 3.50 | 0.12 | 0.21 | 0.24 | 0.32 | 0.00 |
| 220 | 3.67 | 0.13 | 0.23 | 0.26 | 0.34 | 0.00 |
| 230 | 3.83 | 0.15 | 0.26 | 0.30 | 0.39 | 0.00 |
| 240 | 4.00 | 0.18 | 0.30 | 0.34 | 0.44 | 0.00 |
| 250 | 4.17 | 0.19 | 0.32 | 0.36 | 0.46 | 0.00 |
| 260 | 4.33 | 0.20 | 0.33 | 0.38 | 0.48 | 0.00 |
| 270 | 4.50 | 0.22 | 0.35 | 0.39 | 0.49 | 0.00 |
| 280 | 4.67 | 0.23 | 0.36 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.00 |
| 290 | 4.83 | 0.26 | 0.41 | 0.45 | 0.57 | 0.00 |
| 300 | 5.00 | 0.29 | 0.46 | 0.51 | 0.63 | 0.00 |
| 310 | 5.17 | 0.31 | 0.47 | 0.52 | 0.65 | 0.00 |
| 320 | 5.33 | 0.32 | 0.49 | 0.54 | 0.66 | 0.00 |
| 330 | 5.50 | 0.33 | 0.50 | 0.55 | 0.68 | 0.00 |
| 340 | 5.67 | 0.34 | 0.51 | 0.56 | 0.69 | 0.00 |
| 350 | 5.83 | 0.38 | 0.56 | 0.62 | 0.75 | 0.00 |
| 360 | 6.00 | 0.42 | 0.62 | 0.68 | 0.82 | 0.00 |
| 370 | 6.17 | 0.43 | 0.63 | 0.70 | 0.84 | 0.00 |
| 380 | 6.33 | 0.44 | 0.65 | 0.71 | 0.85 | 0.00 |
| 390 | 6.50 | 0.45 | 0.66 | 0.72 | 0.86 | 0.00 |
| 400 | 6.67 | 0.46 | 0.67 | 0.73 | 0.88 | 0.00 |
| 410 | 6.83 | 0.56 | 0.81 | 0.88 | 1.06 | 0.00 |
| 420 | 7.00 | 0.67 | 0.96 | 1.05 | 1.25 | 0.00 |
| 430 | 7.17 | 0.69 | 0.98 | 1.07 | 1.27 | 0.00 |
| 440 | 7.33 | 0.83 | 1.17 | 1.27 | 1.51 | 0.00 |
| 450 | 7.50 | 0.97 | 1.37 | 1.48 | 1.76 | 0.00 |
| 460 | 7.67 | 1.44 | 2.00 | 2.17 | 2.56 | 0.00 |
| 470 | 7.83 | 2.50 | 3.45 | 3.74 | 4.40 | 0.00 |
| 480 | 8.00 | 2.42 | 3.31 | 3.58 | 4.20 | 0.00 |
| 490 | 8.17 | 1.42 | 1.94 | 2.09 | 2.45 | 0.00 |
| 500 | 8.33 | 0.99 | 1.34 | 1.45 | 1.69 | 0.00 |
| 510 | 8.50 | 0.84 | 1.14 | 1.23 | 1.43 | 0.00 |
| 520 | 8.67 | 0.84 | 1.13 | 1.22 | 1.42 | 0.00 |

21-375 - hyd-3 foot detention-tank
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| Pre-Developed Hydrographs |  |  |  |  |  |  | Developed Hydrographs |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year == | $=====>$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ 2.50 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ 3.45 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ 374 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25 \\ 440 \end{gathered}$ | $100$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ 2.97 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ 3.90 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ 418 \end{gathered}$ | $25$ | $100$ |
| Volume | cf => | 32,530 | 44,551 | 48,192 | 56,729 | - | 38,690 | 51,004 | 54,712 | 63,380 | - |
| Tpeak | min => | 470 | 470 | 470 | 470 | 10 | 470 | 470 | 470 | 470 | 10 |
| Tpeak | hr => | 7.83 | 7.83 | 7.83 | 7.83 | 0.17 | 7.83 | 7.83 | 7.83 | 7.83 | 0.17 |
| Hydrograph | Name=> | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 100 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 100 |
| Time (min) | Time (hr) | Hyd (cfs) | Hyd <br> (cfs) | Hyd (cfs) | Hyd (cfs) | Hyd <br> (cfs) | Hyd <br> (cfs) | Hyd (cfs) | Hyd (cfs) | Hyd <br> (cfs) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hyd } \\ & \text { (cfs) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 530 | 8.83 | 0.71 | 0.95 | 1.02 | 1.19 | 0.00 | 0.77 | 1.00 | 1.07 | 1.24 | 0.00 |
| 540 | 9.00 | 0.56 | 0.76 | 0.82 | 0.95 | 0.00 | 0.61 | 0.80 | 0.85 | 0.98 | 0.00 |
| 550 | 9.17 | 0.56 | 0.75 | 0.81 | 0.94 | 0.00 | 0.61 | 0.80 | 0.85 | 0.98 | 0.00 |
| 560 | 9.33 | 0.56 | 0.75 | 0.81 | 0.94 | 0.00 | 0.61 | 0.80 | 0.85 | 0.98 | 0.00 |
| 570 | 9.50 | 0.56 | 0.75 | 0.81 | 0.95 | 0.00 | 0.61 | 0.80 | 0.85 | 0.98 | 0.00 |
| 580 | 9.67 | 0.56 | 0.76 | 0.81 | 0.95 | 0.00 | 0.61 | 0.80 | 0.85 | 0.98 | 0.00 |
| 590 | 9.83 | 0.57 | 0.76 | 0.82 | 0.95 | 0.00 | 0.61 | 0.80 | 0.86 | 0.99 | 0.00 |
| 600 | 10.00 | 0.57 | 0.76 | 0.82 | 0.95 | 0.00 | 0.61 | 0.80 | 0.86 | 0.99 | 0.00 |
| 610 | 10.17 | 0.57 | 0.76 | 0.82 | 0.95 | 0.00 | 0.61 | 0.80 | 0.86 | 0.99 | 0.00 |
| 620 | 10.33 | 0.57 | 0.76 | 0.82 | 0.95 | 0.00 | 0.62 | 0.80 | 0.86 | 0.99 | 0.00 |
| 630 | 10.50 | 0.57 | 0.76 | 0.82 | 0.95 | 0.00 | 0.62 | 0.80 | 0.86 | 0.99 | 0.00 |
| 640 | 10.67 | 0.57 | 0.77 | 0.82 | 0.96 | 0.00 | 0.62 | 0.80 | 0.86 | 0.99 | 0.00 |
| 650 | 10.83 | 0.53 | 0.70 | 0.75 | 0.87 | 0.00 | 0.56 | 0.73 | 0.78 | 0.90 | 0.00 |
| 660 | 11.00 | 0.47 | 0.63 | 0.68 | 0.79 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 0.66 | 0.70 | 0.81 | 0.00 |
| 670 | 11.17 | 0.47 | 0.63 | 0.68 | 0.78 | 0.00 | 0.51 | 0.66 | 0.70 | 0.81 | 0.00 |
| 680 | 11.33 | 0.47 | 0.63 | 0.68 | 0.79 | 0.00 | 0.51 | 0.66 | 0.70 | 0.81 | 0.00 |
| 690 | 11.50 | 0.47 | 0.63 | 0.68 | 0.79 | 0.00 | 0.51 | 0.66 | 0.70 | 0.81 | 0.00 |
| 700 | 11.67 | 0.48 | 0.63 | 0.68 | 0.79 | 0.00 | 0.51 | 0.66 | 0.70 | 0.81 | 0.00 |
| 710 | 11.83 | 0.48 | 0.63 | 0.68 | 0.79 | 0.00 | 0.51 | 0.66 | 0.70 | 0.81 | 0.00 |
| 720 | 12.00 | 0.48 | 0.63 | 0.68 | 0.79 | 0.00 | 0.51 | 0.66 | 0.70 | 0.81 | 0.00 |
| 730 | 12.17 | 0.48 | 0.63 | 0.68 | 0.79 | 0.00 | 0.51 | 0.66 | 0.70 | 0.81 | 0.00 |
| 740 | 12.33 | 0.48 | 0.63 | 0.68 | 0.79 | 0.00 | 0.51 | 0.66 | 0.71 | 0.81 | 0.00 |
| 750 | 12.50 | 0.48 | 0.64 | 0.68 | 0.79 | 0.00 | 0.51 | 0.66 | 0.71 | 0.81 | 0.00 |
| 760 | 12.67 | 0.48 | 0.64 | 0.68 | 0.79 | 0.00 | 0.51 | 0.66 | 0.71 | 0.81 | 0.00 |
| 770 | 12.83 | 0.43 | 0.57 | 0.62 | 0.71 | 0.00 | 0.46 | 0.59 | 0.63 | 0.73 | 0.00 |
| 780 | 13.00 | 0.38 | 0.51 | 0.54 | 0.63 | 0.00 | 0.40 | 0.52 | 0.56 | 0.64 | 0.00 |
| 790 | 13.17 | 0.38 | 0.50 | 0.54 | 0.63 | 0.00 | 0.40 | 0.52 | 0.56 | 0.64 | 0.00 |
| 800 | 13.33 | 0.38 | 0.51 | 0.54 | 0.63 | 0.00 | 0.40 | 0.52 | 0.56 | 0.64 | 0.00 |
| 810 | 13.50 | 0.38 | 0.51 | 0.54 | 0.63 | 0.00 | 0.40 | 0.52 | 0.56 | 0.64 | 0.00 |
| 820 | 13.67 | 0.38 | 0.51 | 0.54 | 0.63 | 0.00 | 0.40 | 0.52 | 0.56 | 0.64 | 0.00 |
| 830 | 13.83 | 0.38 | 0.51 | 0.54 | 0.63 | 0.00 | 0.40 | 0.52 | 0.56 | 0.64 | 0.00 |
| 840 | 14.00 | 0.38 | 0.51 | 0.54 | 0.63 | 0.00 | 0.40 | 0.52 | 0.56 | 0.64 | 0.00 |
| 850 | 14.17 | 0.38 | 0.51 | 0.54 | 0.63 | 0.00 | 0.40 | 0.52 | 0.56 | 0.64 | 0.00 |
| 860 | 14.33 | 0.38 | 0.51 | 0.54 | 0.63 | 0.00 | 0.40 | 0.52 | 0.56 | 0.64 | 0.00 |
| 870 | 14.50 | 0.38 | 0.51 | 0.54 | 0.63 | 0.00 | 0.40 | 0.52 | 0.56 | 0.64 | 0.00 |
| 880 | 14.67 | 0.38 | 0.51 | 0.54 | 0.63 | 0.00 | 0.40 | 0.52 | 0.56 | 0.64 | 0.00 |
| 890 | 14.83 | 0.36 | 0.48 | 0.51 | 0.59 | 0.00 | 0.38 | 0.49 | 0.53 | 0.60 | 0.00 |
| 900 | 15.00 | 0.34 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.56 | 0.00 | 0.35 | 0.46 | 0.49 | 0.57 | 0.00 |
| 910 | 15.17 | 0.34 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.55 | 0.00 | 0.35 | 0.46 | 0.49 | 0.57 | 0.00 |
| 920 | 15.33 | 0.34 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.55 | 0.00 | 0.35 | 0.46 | 0.49 | 0.57 | 0.00 |
| 930 | 15.50 | 0.34 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.55 | 0.00 | 0.35 | 0.46 | 0.49 | 0.57 | 0.00 |
| 940 | 15.67 | 0.34 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.55 | 0.00 | 0.35 | 0.46 | 0.49 | 0.57 | 0.00 |
| 950 | 15.83 | 0.34 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.55 | 0.00 | 0.36 | 0.46 | 0.49 | 0.57 | 0.00 |
| 960 | 16.00 | 0.34 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.55 | 0.00 | 0.36 | 0.46 | 0.49 | 0.57 | 0.00 |
| 970 | 16.17 | 0.34 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.55 | 0.00 | 0.36 | 0.46 | 0.49 | 0.57 | 0.00 |
| 980 | 16.33 | 0.34 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.56 | 0.00 | 0.36 | 0.46 | 0.49 | 0.57 | 0.00 |
| 990 | 16.50 | 0.34 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.56 | 0.00 | 0.36 | 0.46 | 0.49 | 0.57 | 0.00 |
| 1000 | 16.67 | 0.34 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.56 | 0.00 | 0.36 | 0.46 | 0.49 | 0.57 | 0.00 |
| 1010 | 16.83 | 0.31 | 0.41 | 0.44 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.32 | 0.41 | 0.44 | 0.51 | 0.00 |
| 1020 | 17.00 | 0.27 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 0.28 | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 1030 | 17.17 | 0.27 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.44 | 0.00 | 0.28 | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 1040 | 17.33 | 0.27 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.44 | 0.00 | 0.28 | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 1050 | 17.50 | 0.27 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.44 | 0.00 | 0.28 | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 1060 | 17.67 | 0.27 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 0.28 | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 1070 | 17.83 | 0.27 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 0.28 | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 1080 | 18.00 | 0.27 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 0.28 | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 1090 | 18.17 | 0.27 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 0.28 | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 1100 | 18.33 | 0.27 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 0.28 | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 1110 | 18.50 | 0.27 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 0.28 | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 1120 | 18.67 | 0.27 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 1130 | 18.83 | 0.27 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 1140 | 19.00 | 0.27 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 1150 | 19.17 | 0.27 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 1160 | 19.33 | 0.27 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 1170 | 19.50 | 0.27 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 1180 | 19.67 | 0.28 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 1190 | 19.83 | 0.28 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 1200 | 20.00 | 0.28 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 1210 | 20.17 | 0.28 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 1220 | 20.33 | 0.28 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 1230 | 20.50 | 0.28 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
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Hydrograph Summary Page 2

| Pre-Developed Hydrographs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year == | $\begin{aligned} &======> \\ & \text { cfs => } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ 2.50 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ 3.45 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ 3.74 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25 \\ 4.40 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| Volume | cf => | 32,530 | 44,551 | 48,192 | 56,729 | - |
| Tpeak | min $=>$ | 470 | 470 | 470 | 470 | 10 |
| Tpeak | hr => | 7.83 | 7.83 | 7.83 | 7.83 | 0.17 |
| Hydrograph | Name=> | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 100 |
| Time (min) | Time (hr) | Hyd <br> (cfs) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hyd } \\ & \text { (cfs) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hyd } \\ & \text { (cfs) } \end{aligned}$ | Hyd <br> (cfs) | Hyd (cfs) |
| 1240 | 20.67 | 0.28 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 1250 | 20.83 | 0.28 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 1260 | 21.00 | 0.28 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 1270 | 21.17 | 0.28 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 1280 | 21.33 | 0.28 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 1290 | 21.50 | 0.28 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 1300 | 21.67 | 0.28 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 1310 | 21.83 | 0.28 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 1320 | 22.00 | 0.28 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 1330 | 22.17 | 0.28 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 1340 | 22.33 | 0.28 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 1350 | 22.50 | 0.28 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 1360 | 22.67 | 0.28 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 1370 | 22.83 | 0.28 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 1380 | 23.00 | 0.28 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 1390 | 23.17 | 0.28 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 1400 | 23.33 | 0.28 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 1410 | 23.50 | 0.28 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 1420 | 23.67 | 0.28 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 1430 | 23.83 | 0.28 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 1440 | 24.00 | 0.28 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 1450 | 24.17 | 0.15 | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.23 | 0.00 |
| 1460 | 24.33 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| 1470 | 24.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1480 | 24.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1490 | 24.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1500 | 24.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |


| Developed Hydrographs |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 . 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ |
| 38,690 | 51,004 | 54,712 | 63,380 | - |
| 470 | 470 | 470 | 470 | 10 |
| 7.83 | 7.83 | 7.83 | 7.83 | 0.17 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 5 | 10 | 25 | 100 |
| Hyd | Hyd | Hyd | Hyd | Hyd |
| (cfs) | (cfs) | (cfs) | (cfs) | (cfs) |
| 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| 0.14 | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.23 | 0.00 |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  | Pre-Developed Hydrographs |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Year | $=======>$ |  | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
| Qpeak | cfs => | $\mathbf{2 . 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ |  |
| Volume | $\mathrm{cf}=>$ | 32,530 | 44,551 | 48,192 | 56,729 | - |  |
| Tpeak | $\min =>$ | 470 | 470 | 470 | 470 | 10 |  |
| Tpeak | $\mathrm{hr}=>$ | 7.83 | 7.83 | 7.83 | 7.83 | 0.17 |  |
| Hydrograph | Name=> | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 100 |  |
| Time | Time | Hyd | Hyd | Hyd | Hyd | Hyd |  |
| (min) | (hr) |  | (cfs) | (cfs) | (cfs) | (cfs) | (cfs) |


| Developed Hydrographs |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 . 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ |
| 38,690 | 51,004 | 54,712 | 63,380 | - |
| 470 | 470 | 470 | 470 | 10 |
| 7.83 | 7.83 | 7.83 | 7.83 | 0.17 |
| 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 100 |
| Hyd | Hyd | Hyd | Hyd | Hyd |
| (cfs) | (cfs) | (cfs) | (cfs) | (cfs) |



Developed Hydrograph Plot


| Pre-Developed Hydrographs |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year =======> | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 100 |
| Qpeak cfs => | 2.50 | 3.45 | 3.74 | 4.40 | 0.00 |
| Volume cf => | 32,530 | 44,551 | 48,192 | 56,729 | - |
| Tpeak min => | 470 | 470 | 470 | 470 | 10 |
| Tpeak hr => | 7.83 | 7.83 | 7.83 | 7.83 | 0.17 |
| Hydrograph Name=> | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 100 |
| Time Time <br> $(\mathrm{min})$ $(\mathrm{hr})$ | Hyd <br> (cfs) | Hyd <br> (cfs) | Hyd (cfs) | Hyd <br> (cfs) | Hyd <br> (cfs) |


| Developed Hydrographs |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 . 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ |
| 38,690 | 51,004 | 54,712 | 63,380 | - |
| 470 | 470 | 470 | 470 | 10 |
| 7.83 | 7.83 | 7.83 | 7.83 | 0.17 |
| 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 100 |
| Hyd | Hyd | Hyd | Hyd | Hyd |
| (cfs) | (cfs) | (cfs) | (cfs) | (cfs) |



| Pre-Developed Hydrographs |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year =======> | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 100 |
| Qpeak cfs => | 2.50 | 3.45 | 3.74 | 4.40 | 0.00 |
| Volume cf => | 32,530 | 44,551 | 48,192 | 56,729 | - |
| Tpeak $\quad \min =>$ | 470 | 470 | 470 | 470 | 10 |
| Tpeak hr => | 7.83 | 7.83 | 7.83 | 7.83 | 0.17 |
| Hydrograph Name=> | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 100 |
| Time Time <br> $(\mathrm{min})$ $(\mathrm{hr})$ | Hyd <br> (cfs) | Hyd <br> (cfs) | Hyd (cfs) | Hyd (cfs) | Hyd <br> (cfs) |


| Developed Hydrographs |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 . 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ |
| 38,690 | 51,004 | 54,712 | 63,380 | - |
| 470 | 470 | 470 | 470 | 10 |
| 7.83 | 7.83 | 7.83 | 7.83 | 0.17 |
| 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 100 |
| Hyd | Hyd | Hyd | Hyd | Hyd |
| (cfs) | (cfs) | (cfs) | (cfs) | (cfs) |



| Pre-Developed Hydrographs |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year =======> | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 100 |
| Qpeak cfs => | 2.50 | 3.45 | 3.74 | 4.40 | 0.00 |
| Volume cf => | 32,530 | 44,551 | 48,192 | 56,729 | - |
| Tpeak min => | 470 | 470 | 470 | 470 | 10 |
| Tpeak $\quad \mathrm{hr}=>$ | 7.83 | 7.83 | 7.83 | 7.83 | 0.17 |
| Hydrograph Name=> | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 100 |
| Time Time <br> $(\mathrm{min})$ $(\mathrm{hr})$ | Hyd <br> (cfs) | Hyd <br> (cfs) | Hyd <br> (cfs) | Hyd <br> (cfs) | Hyd (cfs) |


| Developed Hydrographs |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 . 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ |
| 38,690 | 51,004 | 54,712 | 63,380 | - |
| 470 | 470 | 470 | 470 | 10 |
| 7.83 | 7.83 | 7.83 | 7.83 | 0.17 |
| 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 100 |
| Hyd | Hyd | Hyd | Hyd | Hyd |
| (cfs) | (cfs) | (cfs) | (cfs) | (cfs) |



Project Name: Johnson RV Stage Storage Summary Job \# 21-375 Date: 6/14/2022


| Stage ft | Storage cf | Discharge cfs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |


| Stage ft | Storage cf | Discharge cfs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |


| Stage ft | Storage cf | Discharge cfs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |
| 2.95 | 2,408.67 | 4.31 |

Project Name: Detention System Summary
Job \# 21-375

Date:

1) Detention Facility Design Input:
2) Type of facility:
3) Pond side slopes:
4) Tank Diameter:
5) Vertical permeability
6) Number of orifices:
7) Riser dia. =>
8) Orifice coefficient
9) IE - bottom orifice: 10) Max Q Bottom Orif. \#1
10) Top Orif \#2 Height =
11) Max Q Mid Orif. \#3
12) Mid Orif \#3 Height =

21-375
6/14/2022

Johnson RV

Note: The detention system design is based on the King County Model "Facility Design Routine". DETENTION TANK
3 NA
3 ft
$0 \mathrm{~min} / \mathrm{in}$
3
12 in
0.62 (typically 0.62 )

0 ft (distance below bottom of pond - Negative \#)
1.66 cfs
2.56 ft
2.06 cfs
0.83 ft

Detention Facility Design Results:

| Performance <br> year | Developed <br> Inflow <br> cfs | Pre-Developed <br> Outflow <br> cfs | Actual <br> Outflow <br> cfs | Peak <br> Stage <br> ft | Storage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{0}$ | 0 | cf |


|  | Bottom Orif. | Middle Orif. | Top Orif. | Optional Weir Design |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total $\mathrm{Q}=$ | 1.66 | 2.06 | 0.67 | (for top orifice) |
| Head $(\mathrm{ft})=$ | 3.00 | 2.17 | 0.44 | 0.87 La (ft) |
| Dist. from bottom of pond $(\mathrm{ft})=$ | 0.00 | 0.83 | 2.56 | $99.32<$ deg. |
| Orif. Dia. $(\mathrm{in})=$ | 5.93 | 7.18 | 6.11 | Must Use Weir |

FLOW CONTROL STRUCTURE SCHEMATIC


12 (in) Riser dia.
(in) Dia. Orif \#2
(cfs) Max Q top Orif \#2
(in) Dia. Orif \#3
(cfs) Max Q Mid Orif \#3
5.93 (in) Dia. Orif \#1 1.66 (cfs) Max Q Bot. Orif \#1

| Project Name: | Johnson RV |
| :--- | :--- |
| Detention Facility Type |  |
| Job\# | $21-375$ |
| Date: | $6 / 14 / 2022$ |

Detention Facility Type:

| DETENTION TANK |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{L}=$ | 342.0 ft |
| $\mathrm{W}=$ | 342.0 ft |
| $\mathrm{D}=$ | 3.0 ft |
| Tank Vol. $=$ | $2,417 \mathrm{cf}$ |



## USER DEFINED POND

NA
Pond Geometry







## Project Name: Johnson RV <br> Rectangular, Sharp Crested Weir Calculations <br> Job \# 21-375 <br> Date: 6/14/2022

$$
\text { Weir Equation: } \mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{~L}-0.2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{H}^{3 / 2}
$$

| Q | $=$ Flow over weir (cfs) |
| :--- | :--- |
| C | $=3.27+0.40 \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{ft})$ |
| L | = Adjusted length of weir (La $-0.1 \mathrm{H} \times 2$ ) this is to account for side constraints |
| La | = Actual length of weir along pipes interior circumference ( ft ) |
| H | = Distance from bottom of weir to maximum head (ft) |
| P | = Distance from bottom of weir to outfall invert elevation ( ft ) |
| D | = Inside riser pipe diameter (in) |
| < | Angle of opening for weir (maximum 180 degrees) |

Given:

| Q | 0.67 | cfs |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| H | 0.44 | ft |
| $P$ | 2.56 | ft |
| $D$ | 12 | in |

Find:

| C | 3.34 | ft |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| L | 0.78 | ft |
| La | 0.87 | ft |
| $<$ | 99.32 | degrees |
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## EXecutive Summary

1. A property located on the east side of SE $362^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue immediately south of Industrial Way in Sandy, Oregon is proposed for development with an RV refurbishing business that will be operated by Johnson RV. The site will take access via an existing driveway on SE $362^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue and a proposed new site access driveway on Industrial Way at the northeast corner of the site.
2. Upon completion of the proposed improvements within the subject property, the site is projected to accommodate 18 site trips during the morning peak hour, 22 trips during the evening peak hour, and 108 daily site trips.
3. Based on the operational analysis, the study intersections are currently operating acceptably per City of Sandy and ODOT standards. Under year 2023 traffic conditions the intersections are projected to continue to operate acceptably either with or without the addition of site trips from the proposed use. No operational mitigations are necessary or recommended.
4. Based on the queueing analysis, the projected northbound queues on $\mathrm{SE} 362^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue are projected to extend beyond the proposed driveway location during a portion of the peak hours. However, average queue lengths even during the peak hours will not obstruct the driveway, and the proposed driveway re-alignment will provide 50 feet of added access spacing as compared to existing conditions, and the driveway will be aligned directly opposite an existing driveway on the west side of SE $362^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue.
5. Based on the crash data, the study intersections are currently operating acceptably with respect to safety. No specific safety improvements are recommended in conjunction with the proposed site use.
6. Based on the warrant analysis, no new turn lanes or traffic signals are recommended in conjunction with the proposed site use.
7. The proposed re-aligned site access on SE $362^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue is projected to have adequate sight distance for safe and efficient operation. The proposed new site access on Industrial Way is projected to have adequate sight distance to the west upon clearing of vegetation within the site frontage allowing a clear line of sight to SE $362^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue. Sight distance to the east is restricted by an existing concrete block which supports a fire department standpipe connection that serves the property immediately east of the Johnson RV site. Although sight lines to the east are restricted, the available intersection sight distance is sufficient for safe operation of the access, and operational impacts associated with the limited sight distance are negligible. Accordingly, the proposed site access on Industrial Way can also operate safely and efficiently.

## Project Description \& Location

## Introduction

A property located on the east side of SE $362^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue immediately south of Industrial Way is currently developed with two buildings, a 20,000 square foot main building and a two-story 3,850 square foot office building. The site takes access via a driveway on SE $362^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue centered 100 feet south of the west leg of Industrial Way.

Under the proposed development plan, a 7,375 square foot shed roof will be added to cover the bus wash facility within the site. Additionally, a new access driveway intersecting Industrial Way near the northeast corner of the site is proposed.

This report addresses the impacts of the proposed development on the surrounding street system. An operational and safety analysis was conducted for the intersections of:

- Highway 26 at SE $362^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue;
- SE $362^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue at Industrial Way (east);
- SE $362^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue at Industrial Way (west);
- SE $362^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue at Johnson RV Site Access; and
- Industrial Way at the proposed new site access.

The purpose of this analysis is to determine whether the surrounding transportation system is capable of safely and efficiently supporting the proposed use and to identify any necessary improvements and mitigations.

## Site Location and Study area Description

The project site has an area of approximately 3.4 acres, and was previously used for trailer and equipment sales, rental, and maintenance. Johnson RV purchased the site to serve as a maintenance facility which supplements their existing sales facility located at 41777 US Highway 26 at the east end of the City of Sandy.

The property is surrounded primarily by commercial and industrial uses, including the Fred Meyer store located immediately to the northwest. There are also residential homes south of the site on the far side of a school bus barn.

US Highway 26 (Mt. Hood Highway) is classified by the Oregon Department of Transportation as a Statewide Highway and a Freight Route. It has two through lanes in each direction and added turn lanes at intersections. It has a posted speed limit of 45 in the vicinity of SE $362^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue. Bike lanes are in place along both sides of the highway in the site vicinity, and sidewalks are in place along the south side of the highway in the vicinity of SE $362^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue.

SE $362^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue generally has one through travel lane for motor vehicles in each direction plus bike lanes and sidewalks on both sides of the roadway. North of Industrial Way it has a center two-way

left-turn lane. It has a posted speed limit of 35 mph and is classified by the City of Sandy as a Minor Arterial.

Industrial Way accommodates one travel lane in each direction with no centerline striping. It has a posted speed limit of 25 mph and has sufficient width for on-street parking (although parking is restricted in the immediate vicinity of SE $362^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue). It is classified by the City of Sandy as a Local Street. Existing sidewalks are in place on both sides of the roadway west of SE $362^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue. Partial sidewalks are in place along the north side of Industrial Way immediately east of SE $362^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue, while none are currently provided along the south side of the roadway east of SE $362^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue.

## Existing Conditions

The intersection of US Highway 26 at SE $362^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue is a T-intersection controlled by a traffic signal. The northbound approach has two left-turn lanes, a right-turn lane, and a bike lane to the right of the motor vehicle travel lanes. The westbound approach has a left-turn lane operating with protected signal phasing, two through lanes, and a bike lane to the right of the motor vehicle travel lanes. The eastbound approach has two through lanes, a bike lane, and a right-turn lane on the right side of the bike lane.

The intersection of SE $362^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue at Industrial Way (east) is a T-intersection controlled by a stop sign on the westbound Industrial Way approach. Through traffic traveling along SE $362^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue does not stop. The southbound approach has a left-turn lane and a through lane. The northbound and westbound approaches each have a single shared lane for all turning movements.

The intersection of SE $362^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue at Industrial Way (west) is a T-intersection operating under allway stop control. Each approach has a single, shared lane for all turning movements.

A vicinity map displaying the project site, vicinity streets, and the study intersections including lane configurations is provided in Figure 1 on page 6.


## Traffic Count Data

Traffic counts were conducted at the study area intersections on Thursday August 11, 2022 from 7:00 to 9:00 AM and from 4:00 to 6:00 PM. Data was used from the highest-volume hour for each study intersection during each analysis period.

Since the count data was not collected during the peak month of the year, the observed traffic volumes on Highway 26 were adjusted to account for seasonal traffic variations. In accordance with the Oregon Department of Transportation's Analysis Procedures Manual, this allows us to analyze operations based on traffic patterns that occur during the $30^{\text {th }}$-highest hour of the year.

US Highway 26 serves local and commuter traffic as well as trips to and from Mt. Hood and beyond. These trip types would be expected to exhibit vastly different seasonal variations in travel demands over the course of the year, since local and commuter traffic volumes are relatively stable regardless of season, while travel volumes to and from Mt. Hood vary significantly based on the season.

In order to determine the portion of traffic attributable to each of the two primary travel types, data from ODOT's 2017-2021 ATR station trend data was utilized. Specifically, the data used was collected at ODOT's Automatic Count Data station 03-006, located 0.30 miles east of Camp Creek Road in Rhododendron, Oregon. This site is located on Highway 26 approximately 24 miles east of SE $362^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue. Although the distance to the ATR station means the data cannot be used directly, the ATR data provides useful information regarding the variation in traffic volumes traveling to Mt. Hood and beyond during the time of the count data collection as well as during the peak season of the year. Accordingly, this data allows determination of the portion of highway traffic that falls into each of the two seasonal variation categories ("commuter" and "recreational summer/winter"), as well as providing information regarding the most appropriate seasonal adjustment factor for the recreational summer/winter traffic.

Based on the five years of ATR data and excluding the highest and lowest variations (i.e., averaging the three other data points), 13,374 vehicles per day (approximately 1,337 per hour during the peak hour) travel along Highway 26 to and from Mt. Hood at the Rhododendron permanent count station location during the month of August. This volume represents 50.5 percent of the through traffic volumes measured on Highway 26 east of Oregon Highway 211, since the August turning movement counts showed 2,643 vehicles on Highway 26 east of SE $362^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue during the evening peak hour. Accordingly, no more than 50.5 percent of the trips traveling along Highway 26 at that location can be traveling to and from destinations beyond the Rhododendron count station. Since the remaining 49.5 percent of through traffic volumes on Highway 26 at Highway 211 never reach Mt. Hood, it was assumed that these traffic volumes represent more typical commuter and local trips.

The ODOT data also showed that 13,969 vehicles were measured per day (approximately 1,397 per hour during the peak hour) during the peak-season month of July at the ATR station near Rhododendron. This indicates that the seasonal recreational traffic volumes along the Highway 26 corridor increased by 595 vehicles per day ( 13,969 vehicles per day in July - 13,374 vehicles per day in August). This equates to roughly 60 additional vehicles per hour during the peak hour of the peak recreational season. Accounting for directionality of trips, this equates to approximately 33 westbound vehicles and 27 eastbound vehicles during the evening peak hour.


In addition to the calculated seasonal adjustment for trips to and from Mt. Hood and beyond, a commuter seasonal adjustment was applied to the traffic volumes that do not reach the ATR station in Rhododendron, Oregon. Based on data from ODOT's Seasonal Trend Table, the seasonal adjustment for commuter traffic was calculated to be 2.2 percent. This adjustment was applied to the portion of the through traffic volumes on Highway 26 that represent commuter and local trips. Accordingly, the total adjustment was calculated to be 84 additional vehicles during the PM peak hour (an increase of 4.0 percent to the through traffic volumes on the highway). This 4.0 percent seasonal adjustment was also applied to the through volumes on Highway 26 for the AM count period.

Figure 2 on page 9 shows the resulting seasonally adjusted existing year $202230^{\text {th }}$-highest hour traffic volumes for the morning and evening peak hours at the study intersections.


## OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS

An operational analysis was conducted for the study intersections using Synchro 10 software, with outputs calculated based on the HIGHWAY CAPACITY MANUAL, $6^{\text {th }}$ Edition. The analysis was conducted for the weekday morning and evening peak hours.

The purpose of the existing conditions analysis is to establish how the study area intersections operate currently and allow for calibration of the operational analysis if required.

The results of the operational analysis are reported based on delay, Level of Service (LOS), and volume-to-capacity ratio ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ). Delays are reported in seconds. Level of service is reported as a letter grade and can range from A to F , with level of service A representing free-flow conditions and level of service F representing high delays and severe congestion. A report of level of service D generally indicates moderately high but tolerable delays, and typically occurs prior to reaching intersection capacity. For unsignalized intersections, the $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ represents the portion of the available intersection capacity that is being utilized on the worst intersection approach. For signalized intersections, it indicates the portion of the overall intersection's capacity that is being used. A v/c ratio of 1.0 would indicate that the intersection is operating at capacity.

The Oregon Department of Transportation requires that the signalized intersection of Highway 26 at SE $362^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue operate with a v/c ratio of 0.80 or less during the peak hours.

Intersections operating under the jurisdiction of the City of Sandy are required to operate at level of service D or better. This operational standard applies to the intersections of SE $362^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue at the north and south intersections with Industrial Way, as well as the site access intersections.

A summary of the existing conditions operational analysis is provided in Table 1 on the following page. For the unsignalized intersections the reported delays and levels-of-service represent the approach lane which experiences the highest delays. The reported $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratios represent the highest ratio for the major-street and minor-street movements. For the signalized intersection of Highway 26 at SE $362^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue, the reported delays, levels-of-service and v/c ratios represent the operation of the overall intersection.

Based on the analysis, the intersections are currently operating acceptably per the respective ODOT and City of Sandy standards. Detailed capacity analysis worksheets are provided in the technical appendix.

Table 1 - Operational Analysis Summary: Year 2022 30th-Highest Hour Conditions

| Intersection | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Delay | LOS | v/c | Delay | LOS | v/c |
| Highway 26 at SE 362nd Ave. | 13.1 | B | 0.48 | 22.6 | C | 0.68 |
| SE 362nd Ave. at Industrial Way (N) | 12.8 | B | 0.09 | 15.0 | C | 0.21 |
| SE 362nd Ave. at Industrial Way (S) | 11.5 | B | 0.48 | 23.5 | C | 0.76 |
| SE 362nd Ave. at Existing Site Access | 10.8 | B | 0.01 | 13.0 | B | 0.01 |

## Site Trips

## Proposed Development

The subject property currently contains an existing 20,000 square foot industrial main building and a two-story 3,850 square foot office building. The proposed redevelopment plan will also include constructing a new 7,375 square foot shed roof to cover the bus wash area within the site. To estimate the number of trips that will be generated at the site trip rates from the TRIP GENERATION MANUAL, $10^{\text {th }}$ EDITION were used. Data from land-use code 180, Specialty Trade Contractor, were used.

Since the floor area of the existing and proposed facilities is well in excess of the largest comparable facilities within the data set, the trip estimates are based on the number of employees at the site. It is anticipated that 20 to 30 employees will work within the site. To maintain a conservative analysis, the larger estimate of 30 employees was used to assess trip generation for the site.

A summary of the trip generation calculations is provided in Table 2 below. A detailed trip generation worksheet is also included in the technical appendix.

Table 2 - Proposed Development Trip Generation Summary

|  | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  | Daily |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | Total |
| 30 Employee Specialty Contractor | 13 | 5 | 18 | 7 | 15 | 22 | 108 |

## TRIP DISTRIBUTION

The directional distribution of site trips to and from the project site was estimated based the existing travel patterns in the site vicinity, as well as the locations of likely trip destinations and major transportation routes. Overall, 40 percent of the anticipated site trips are projected to travel to and from the northwest on Highway 26, 45 percent are projected to travel to and from the southeast on Highway 26, and the remaining 15 percent of site trips are projected to travel to and from the west on Dubarko Road.

The trip distribution percentages and trip assignment for the proposed development are shown in Figure 3 on page 12.


Future Conditions Analysis

## Background Volumes

In order to determine the expected impact of site trips on the study area intersections, it is necessary to compare traffic conditions both with and without the addition of the projected traffic from the proposed development. This comparison is made for future traffic conditions at the time of project completion. It is anticipated that the proposed use will be completed and occupied within one year. Accordingly, the analysis was conducted for year 2023 traffic conditions.

Prior to adding the projected site trips to the study intersections, the existing traffic volumes were adjusted to account for background traffic growth over time. Based on data from ODOT's 2040 Future Volume Table, the growth rate for traffic volumes on Highway 26 in the site vicinity was calculated to be 1.92 percent per year (linear). This growth rate was applied to the through traffic volumes on Highway 26. All other turning movements had a growth factor of 2 percent per year (exponential) applied.

In addition to the background growth, future site trips associated with other anticipated developments within the City of Sandy were added to the background traffic volumes. These projects included the Mt. Hood Senior Living, The Pad, Cedar Heights Views, Shaylee Meadows, Trimble PD, Bornstedt Views, Cascade Creek Multifamily, Tickle Creek Village, Double Creek Condos, Jewelberry Ridge, Jewelberry Meadows, Sandy Plaza Apartments, FreeUp Storage, and a mixed-use development at 38015 Highway 26. The projected site trips for these residential developments are shown in Figure 6 in the attached technical appendix.

Figure 4 on page 14 shows the projected year 2023 background traffic volumes at the study intersections during the morning and evening peak hours.

## BaCKGRound Volumes plus Site Trips

Peak hour trips calculated to be generated by the proposed development were added to the projected year 2023 background traffic volumes to obtain the year 2023 total traffic volumes following completion of the proposed development.

Figure 5 on page 15 shows the projected year 2023 peak hour volumes including background growth, and site trips from the proposed development for the morning and evening peak hours.




## OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS

The operational analysis for future traffic conditions was again conducted using Synchro analysis software, with outputs based on the analysis methodologies contained in the HIGHWAY CAPACITY $M A N U A L$. The analysis was prepared for the intersections' morning and evening peak hours.

The results of the operational analysis are summarized in Table 4 below. Detailed analysis worksheets are also included in the technical appendix.

Table 4-Operational Analysis Summary: Year 2023 Future Conditions

| Intersection | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Delay | LOS | v/c | Delay | LOS | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ |
| Highway 26 at SE 362nd Ave. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2023 Background Conditions | 13.3 | B | 0.50 | 23.3 | C | 0.72 |
| 2023 Background plus Site | 13.3 | B | 0.50 | 23.4 | C | 0.72 |
| SE 362nd Ave. at Industrial Way (N) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2023 Background Conditions | 13.1 | B | 0.10 | 15.6 | C | 0.22 |
| 2023 Background plus Site | 13.2 | B | 0.10 | 15.8 | C | 0.23 |
| SE 362nd Ave. at Industrial Way (S) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2023 Background Conditions | 12.0 | B | 0.50 | 27.0 | D | 0.81 |
| 2023 Background plus Site | 12.1 | B | 0.51 | 27.7 | D | 0.81 |
| SE 362nd Ave. at Existing Site Access |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2023 Background Conditions | 10.9 | B | 0.01 | 13.3 | B | 0.01 |
| 2023 Background plus Site | 11.7 | B | 0.01 | 13.4 | B | 0.02 |
| Industrial Way at Proposed Site Access |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2023 Background plus Site | 9.7 | A | 0.01 | 9.4 | A | 0.01 |

Upon completion of the proposed development, all study intersections are projected to operate acceptably per the appropriate jurisdictional standards.

## Queving Analysis

A queuing analysis was conducted using SimTraffic software to determine whether standing queues on the major streets will impact operation of the site access driveways. The SE $362^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue northbound approach toward Industrial Way (South) has projected $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue lengths of 103 feet and 115 feet during the morning and evening peak hours, respectively. The existing site access is planned to be moved 50 feet south of its existing alignment in conjunction with the proposed development, resulting in an access spacing of 93 feet between the northbound stop bar and the near side of the driveway. Although the proposed access location is within the 95th percentile queue lengths it is less than the average queue lengths during the peak hours ( 67 feet and 73 feet during the $A M$ and PM peak hours, respectively) and will align directly opposite an existing driveway on the west side of SE $362^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue. This represents an improvement in access spacing as compared to existing conditions.

## Safety Analysis

## Crash Data analysis

Using data obtained from the Oregon Department of Transportation, a review of the five most recent years of available crash history (from January 2016 through December 2020) was performed for the study intersections. The crash data was evaluated based on the number, type, and severity of collisions, as well as the intersection crash rate. Crash rates allow comparison of relative safety risks at intersections with different lane configurations, volumes, and traffic control devices by accounting for both the number of crashes that occur during the study period and the number of vehicles that traveled through the intersection during that period. Crash rates are calculated using the standard assumption that evening peak hour volumes are approximately 10 percent of the average daily traffic volume at an intersection. The crash rates were compared to statewide crash rates for similar intersection types to identify any locations with crash rates in excess of the $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile.

The intersection of Highway 26 at SE $362^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue had 22 reported collisions during the five-year analysis period. These included 15 rear-end collisions, 6 turning-movement collisions, and one pedestrian collision. The pedestrian collision occurred when a pedestrian crossed Highway 26 without a walk signal in the dark and was struck by a driver making a northbound right turn from SE $362^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue onto Highway 26. The crash resulted in a "possible injury/complaint of pain" from the pedestrian. The crashes resulted in no incapacitating injuries or fatalities, two non-incapacitating injuries, and 18 reports of a "possible injury/complaint of pain". The crash rate for the intersection was calculated to be 0.362 crashes per million entering vehicles. This is well below the $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile crash rate of 0.509 crashes per million entering vehicles for signalized, three-way urban intersections in Oregon.

The intersections of SE $362^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue at Industrial Way had four total reported collisions during the five-year analysis period. These included three read-end collisions and one turning-movement collision. The crashes resulted in four reports of a "possible injury/complaint of pain." No serious injuries or fatalities were reported. Conservatively assuming that all reported crashes occurred at the same intersection, the crash rate for the intersection would be 0.203 crashes per million entering vehicles, which is still below the $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile crash rate of 0.293 crashes per million entering vehicles for stop-controlled, three-way urban intersections in Oregon.

Based on the crash data, the study intersections are currently operating acceptably with respect to safety. No specific safety improvements are recommended for the study area intersections.

## Traffic Signal Warrant analysis

Traffic signal warrants were examined for the unsignalized study intersections. Based on the projected traffic volumes, traffic signal warrants are not projected to be met for any of the unsignalized study intersections under any of the analysis scenarios.

## TURN Lane Warrant Analysis

Turn lane warrants were also examined for the major-street approaches to the unsignalized study intersections. Left-turn lane warrants are intended to evaluate whether a meaningful safety benefit may be expected if the turning vehicles are provided with a turn lane within the street, allowing leftturning drivers to move out of the through travel lane so that following vehicles may pass without conflicts. Similarly, right-turn lane warrants are intended to evaluate whether a meaningful safety benefit may be expected if a right-turn lane is provided, allowing right-turning vehicles to move out of the through travel lane while decelerating and making turns.

The intersection of SE $362^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue at Industrial Way (North) already has a southbound left-turn lane in place. Right-turn lane warrants are not projected to be met at this intersection.

Turn lane warrants are not directly applicable at the intersection of SE $362^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue and Industrial Way (South), since the intersection operates under all-way stop control. All vehicles must stop prior to entering the intersection and providing a separate turn lane would not allow through vehicles to traverse the intersection without slowing and stopping. The need for turn lanes at all-way stop control intersections is based on operational rather than safety benefits. In this instance, the intersection operates acceptably without the addition of left and right turn lanes. Therefore, no new turn lanes are recommended at this intersection in conjunction with the proposed development.

The intersections of SE $362^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue at the site access and Industrial Way at the proposed site access are not projected to meet turn lane warrants under any analysis scenarios.

## Intersection Sight Distance analysis

Intersection sight distance was examined for the proposed re-aligned site access intersections on SE $362^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue and for the proposed new site access on Industrial Way.

## SE $362^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue at Re-Aligned Site Access

The existing site access on SE $362^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue is proposed to be re-aligned to a position 50 feet south of the existing driveway alignment. The proposed new alignment increases the access spacing between the driveway and the nearby all-way stop controlled intersection at Industrial Way. It also aligns the proposed access directly opposite another existing driveway on the west side of the roadway.

SE $362^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue has a posted speed limit of 35 mph , typically requiring a minimum of 390 feet of intersection sight distance in each direction. However, the actual $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile approach speeds were used in lieu of the posted speed limit to evaluate sight distance in both directions.

For southbound traffic approaching the proposed re-aligned site access on SE $362^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue, all approaching vehicles must stop prior to entering the intersection. Intersection sight distance was measured to be continuous beyond the stop-controlled intersection at Industrial Way. The effective
approach speed from the intersection is thus zero miles per hour, and adequate intersection sight distance for safe and efficient operation is available.

Intersection sight distance was measured to be 324 feet to the south from the proposed re-aligned site access. For northbound traffic approaching the proposed re-aligned site access on SE $362^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue, the measured $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed at the limits of sight distance was 37 mph . For this design speed, a minimum of 410 feet of intersection sight distance would typically be desired. However, this intersection sight distance requirement is predicated on the idea of allowing sufficient sight lines such that through traffic will not need to slow to avoid conflicts at the studied intersection. In this instance, all northbound traffic must slow when approaching the site access since it is in close proximity to the northbound stop bar at Industrial Way, so the assumptions underlying the typical intersection sight distance requirements are not directly applicable.

The required stopping sight distance for an approach speed of 37 mph was calculated to be 270 feet. Since the available intersection sight distance to the south is in excess of 270 feet, northbound vehicles approaching the site access have sufficient sight lines to see vehicles entering the roadway at the proposed site access location and slow or stop to avoid collisions. As such, the site access can operate safely. Since all northbound vehicles must slow (and stop) prior to reaching Industrial Way, the potential induced delays to northbound through traffic are not projected to materially impact operation of SE $362^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue either at the proposed re-aligned site access location or at Industrial Way.

Based on the detailed analysis, sight lines at the proposed re-aligned site access location are sufficient to allow for safe and efficient operation of the site access. Since the proposed re-alignment also results in increased access spacing and direct alignment opposite an existing driveway, the proposed re-aligned access will operate better than the existing site access and is expected to represent the optimal location for the driveway within the site frontage.

## Industrial Way at Proposed Site Access

The proposed new site access is centered approximately 40 feet west of the site's east property line on Industrial Way. Industrial Way has a posted speed limit of 25 mph . The desired intersection sight distance for this approach speed is 280 feet in each direction.

Intersection sight distance to the west from the proposed access location on Industrial Way is currently obstructed by vegetation within the site frontage. However, with clearing of the vegetation continuous sight lines to SE $362^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue can be provided to the west. The proposed access will be located 230 feet east of the traveled way on SE $362^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue, indicating that a minimum of 230 feet of intersection sight distance will be provided in this direction. Vehicles approaching from beyond the intersection at SE $362^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue will need to make a 90-degree turn onto Industrial Way. Typical turning speeds are in the range of 9 to 19 mph , while the available intersection sight distance to the west will be sufficient for turning speeds of up to 20 mph . The available intersection sight distance to the west is also projected to provide adequate stopping sight distance for approach speeds up to 33 mph . Based on the analysis, adequate sight lines for safe and efficient operation can be attained to the west of the proposed access location upon clearing of vegetation within the site frontage.


Intersection sight distance to the east from the proposed access location on Industrial Way was measured to be 248 feet, as limited by a large concrete block which provides a fire department standpipe connection that serves the property immediately east of the Johnson RV site.

For westbound traffic approaching the proposed site access on Industrial Way, the measured $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed at the limits of sight distance was 33 mph . This design speed requires a minimum of 230 feet of stopping sight distance for safety. Since the available intersection sight distance is in excess of 230 feet, the intersection has adequate sight lines for through vehicles to anticipate and avoid collisions at the site access. However, interruptions to the flow of through traffic along Industrial Way may be expected when vehicles pull out from the proposed access driveway.

In order to assess the anticipated delays which would be induced by operation of the proposed driveway on Industrial Way, a detailed delay analysis was conducted.

For vehicles traveling at speeds of up to 33 mph , the available intersection sight distance of 248 feet accommodates approximately 5.1 seconds of travel time. Typically, intersections are designed to accommodate 7.5 seconds of travel time at the design speed in order to avoid undue delays to through traffic. Accordingly, vehicles entering Industrial Way from the proposed site access have the potential to add as much as 2.4 seconds of additional delay to through traffic per vehicle exiting from the proposed driveway. Assuming random arrivals, the average added delay per affected vehicle would be 1.2 seconds (half the maximum value).

A conservative estimate of the likelihood of conflicts resulting in any induced delay can be calculated based on the volume of westbound traffic traveling on Industrial Way during the highestvolume hour. In this instance, the highest westbound volumes occur during the evening peak hour when 91 westbound through vehicles are projected at the site access. Conservatively assuming that each approaching vehicle has a 2.4 -second window during which a vehicle exiting the site would require the westbound driver to slow, each vehicle making a left turn from the site access onto Industrial Way would have a 6 percent chance of experiencing a conflict with westbound through traffic which induces a delay. Since approximately 10 vehicles per day are projected to make this turning movement, the average induced delay was calculated to be 0.72 seconds per day ( 10 vehicles per day * $6 \%$ chance of conflict * 1.2 seconds average delay per conflict). This equates to approximately 4.4 minutes of induced delay per year. The economic impact of these cumulative delays would be $\$ 2.19$ per year assuming a time value of $\$ 30$ per hour. Based on the minimal economic impact, any meaningful mitigation would result in costs well in excess of the actual impacts of the proposed site access.

Based on the analysis, adequate intersection sight distance is available in each direction for safe operation of the proposed site access on Industrial Way. Since sight lines are limited to the east by a large concrete block which provides a fire department standpipe connection that serves the property immediately east of the Johnson RV site, some induced delays are expected since westbound through vehicles may need to slow or stop to avoid collisions at the site access. The overall impact of these delays is negligible, however. Accordingly, operation of the proposed site access is not projected to result in any significant operational or safety concerns.


## Conclusions

Based on the operational analysis, the study intersections are currently operating acceptably per City of Sandy and ODOT standards. Under year 2023 traffic conditions the intersections are projected to continue to operate acceptably either with or without the addition of site trips from the proposed use. No operational mitigations are necessary or recommended.

Based on the queueing analysis, the projected northbound queues on SE $362^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue are projected to extend beyond the proposed driveway location during a portion of the peak hours. However, average queue lengths even during the peak hours will not obstruct the driveway, and the proposed driveway re-alignment will provide 50 feet of added access spacing as compared to existing conditions, and the driveway will be aligned directly opposite an existing driveway on the west side of SE $362^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue.

Based on the crash data, the study intersections are currently operating acceptably with respect to safety. No specific safety improvements are recommended in conjunction with the proposed site use.

Based on the warrant analysis, no new turn lanes or traffic signals are recommended in conjunction with the proposed site use.

The proposed re-aligned site access on $\mathrm{SE} 362^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue is projected to have adequate sight distance for safe and efficient operation. The proposed new site access on Industrial Way is projected to have adequate sight distance to the west upon clearing of vegetation within the site frontage allowing a clear line of sight to SE $362^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue. Sight distance to the east is restricted by an existing concrete block which supports a fire department standpipe connection that serves the property immediately east of the Johnson RV site. Although sight lines to the east are restricted, the available intersection sight distance is sufficient for safe operation of the access, and operational impacts associated with the limited sight distance are negligible. Accordingly, the proposed site access on Industrial Way can also operate safely and efficiently.

## APPENDIX



Location: 1 SE 362ND DR \& HWY 26 AM
Date: Thursday, August 11, 2022
Peak Hour: 07:15 AM - 08:15 AM
Peak 15-Minutes: 07:50 AM - 08:05 AM
Peak Hour


Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

|  | HV\% | PHF |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| EB | $9.8 \%$ | 0.84 |
| WB | $4.4 \%$ | 0.94 |
| NB | $4.5 \%$ | 0.92 |
| SB | $0.0 \%$ | 0.00 |
| All | $6.5 \%$ | 0.93 |

Traffic Counts - Motorized Vehicles

| Interval | HWY 26 <br> Eastbound |  |  |  | HWY 26 <br> Westbound |  |  |  | SE 362ND DR <br> Northbound |  |  |  | SE 362ND DR Southbound |  |  |  | Total | Rolling Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |  |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 60 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 162 | 2,185 |
| 7:05 AM | 0 | 0 | 37 | 9 | 0 | 8 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 163 | 2,202 |
| 7:10 AM | 0 | 0 | 40 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 152 | 2,181 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 50 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 181 | 2,203 |
| 7:20 AM | 0 | 0 | 45 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 175 | 2,192 |
| 7:25 AM | 0 | 0 | 78 | 16 | 0 | 4 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 199 | 2,184 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 65 | 16 | 0 | 7 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 193 | 2,148 |
| 7:35 AM | 0 | 0 | 52 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 179 | 2,109 |
| 7:40 AM | 0 | 0 | 71 | 9 | 0 | 11 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 193 | 2,143 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 49 | 11 | 0 | 7 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 172 | 2,139 |
| 7:50 AM | 0 | 0 | 85 | 13 | 0 | 11 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 202 | 2,147 |
| 7:55 AM | 0 | 0 | 71 | 21 | 0 | 9 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 214 | 2,133 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 71 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 179 | 2,107 |
| 8:05 AM | 0 | 0 | 41 | 9 | 0 | 8 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 142 |  |
| 8:10 AM | 0 | 0 | 51 | 9 | 0 | 10 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 174 |  |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 71 | 17 | 0 | 4 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 170 |  |
| 8:20 AM | 0 | 0 | 52 | 8 | 0 | 10 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 167 |  |
| 8:25 AM | 0 | 0 | 59 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 163 |  |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 57 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 154 |  |
| 8:35 AM | 0 | 0 | 58 | 5 | 0 | 12 | 101 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 213 |  |
| 8:40 AM | 0 | 0 | 68 | 10 | 0 | 9 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 189 |  |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 77 | 8 | 0 | 10 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 180 |  |
| 8:50 AM | 0 | 0 | 76 | 9 | 0 | 11 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 188 |  |
| 8:55 AM | 0 | 0 | 78 | 14 | 0 | 16 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 188 |  |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 1,462 | 239 | 0 | 193 | 1,705 | 0 | 0 | 486 | 0 | 207 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,292 |  |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 729 | 132 | 0 | 88 | 899 | 0 | 0 | 261 | 0 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,203 |  |

Traffic Counts - Heavy Vehicles, Bicycles on Road, and Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk

| Interval | Heavy Vehicles |  |  |  |  | Interval Start Time | Bicycles on Roadway |  |  |  |  | Interval Start Time | Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | EB | NB | WB | SB | Total |  | EB | NB | WB | SB | Total |  | EB | NB | WB | SB | Total |
| 7:00 AM | 12 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 16 | 7:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:05 AM | 7 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 13 | 7:05 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7:05 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:10 AM | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 7:10 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7:10 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM | 11 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 7:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:20 AM | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 7:20 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7:20 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:25 AM | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 7:25 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 7:25 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 10 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 15 | 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:35 AM | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 7:35 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7:35 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:40 AM | 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 11 | 7:40 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7:40 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:45 AM | 5 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 11 | 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:50 AM | 11 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 17 | 7:50 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7:50 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:55 AM | 10 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 20 | 7:55 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7:55 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:00 AM | 2 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:05 AM | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 8:05 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8:05 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:10 AM | 7 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 15 | 8:10 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8:10 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:15 AM | 16 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 20 | 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 8:20 AM | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 8:20 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8:20 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:25 AM | 8 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 17 | 8:25 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8:25 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:30 AM | 6 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 16 | 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:35 AM | 7 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 15 | 8:35 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8:35 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:40 AM | 7 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 15 | 8:40 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8:40 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 8:45 AM | 12 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 18 | 8:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:50 AM | 11 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 21 | 8:50 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8:50 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:55 AM | 5 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 11 | 8:55 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8:55 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 181 | 32 | 106 | 0 | 319 | Count Total | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | Count Total | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Peak Hour | 84 | 16 | 43 | 0 | 143 | Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Location: 2 SE 362ND DR \& INDUSTRIAL WAY EAST AM
Date: Thursday, August 11, 2022
Peak Hour: 07:20 AM - 08:20 AM
Peak 15-Minutes: 07:20 AM - 07:35 AM
Peak Hour


Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

|  | HV\% | PHF |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| EB | $0.0 \%$ | 0.00 |
| WB | $14.6 \%$ | 0.80 |
| NB | $6.2 \%$ | 0.89 |
| SB | $6.4 \%$ | 0.82 |
| All | $6.9 \%$ | 0.90 |

Traffic Counts - Motorized Vehicles

| Interval | INDUSTRIAL WAY EAST Eastbound |  |  |  | INDUSTRIAL WAY EAST Westbound |  |  |  | SE 362ND DR <br> Northbound |  |  |  | SE 362ND DR Southbound |  |  |  | Total | Rolling Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |  |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 31 | 520 |
| 7:05 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 37 | 528 |
| 7:10 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 45 | 529 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 35 | 521 |
| 7:20 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 47 | 536 |
| 7:25 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 42 | 529 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 10 | 0 | 60 | 523 |
| 7:35 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 35 | 515 |
| 7:40 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 43 | 525 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 18 | 0 | 51 | 527 |
| 7:50 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 45 | 517 |
| 7:55 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 0 | 49 | 506 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 39 | 503 |
| 8:05 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 38 |  |
| 8:10 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 37 |  |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 0 | 50 |  |
| 8:20 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 40 |  |
| 8:25 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 36 |  |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 52 |  |
| 8:35 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 45 |  |
| 8:40 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 45 |  |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 41 |  |
| 8:50 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 34 |  |
| 8:55 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 0 | 46 |  |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 582 | 76 | 0 | 58 | 237 | 0 | 1,023 |  |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 293 | 45 | 0 | 36 | 121 | 0 | 536 |  |

Traffic Counts - Heavy Vehicles, Bicycles on Road, and Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk

| Interval | Heavy Vehicles |  |  |  |  | Interval Start Time | Bicycles on Roadway |  |  |  |  | Interval Start Time | Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | EB | NB | WB | SB | Total |  | EB | NB | WB | SB | Total |  | EB | NB | WB | SB | Total |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 7:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:05 AM | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 7:05 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7:05 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:10 AM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 7:10 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7:10 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:20 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7:20 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7:20 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:25 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 7:25 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7:25 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:35 AM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7:35 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7:35 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:40 AM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7:40 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7:40 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:50 AM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 7:50 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7:50 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:55 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 7:55 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7:55 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:05 AM | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 8:05 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8:05 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:10 AM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8:10 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8:10 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:20 AM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 8:20 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8:20 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:25 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 8:25 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8:25 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:35 AM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8:35 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8:35 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:40 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 8:40 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8:40 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 8:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:50 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 8:50 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8:50 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:55 AM | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 8:55 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8:55 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 0 | 35 | 9 | 27 | 71 | Count Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Count Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 21 | 6 | 10 | 37 | Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Location: 3 SE 362ND DR \& INDUSTRIAL WAY WEST AM
Date: Thursday, August 11, 2022
Peak Hour: 07:10 AM - 08:10 AM
Peak 15-Minutes: 07:45 AM - 08:00 AM
Peak Hour


Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

|  | HV\% | PHF |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| EB | $13.8 \%$ | 0.78 |
| WB | $0.0 \%$ | 0.00 |
| NB | $5.2 \%$ | 0.89 |
| SB | $8.7 \%$ | 0.84 |
| All | $7.4 \%$ | 0.90 |

Traffic Counts - Motorized Vehicles

| Interval Start Time | INDUSTRIAL WAY WEST <br> Eastbound |  |  |  | INDUSTRIAL WAY WEST <br> Westbound |  |  |  | SE 362ND DR <br> Northbound |  |  |  | SE 362ND DR Southbound |  |  |  | Total | Rolling Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |  |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 37 | 561 |
| 7:05 AM | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 35 | 565 |
| 7:10 AM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 45 | 570 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 48 | 568 |
| 7:20 AM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 46 | 558 |
| 7:25 AM | 0 | 9 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 50 | 568 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 48 | 553 |
| 7:35 AM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 53 | 558 |
| 7:40 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 40 | 551 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 4 | 49 | 560 |
| 7:50 AM | 0 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 4 | 59 | 564 |
| 7:55 AM | 0 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 4 | 51 | 548 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 41 | 537 |
| 8:05 AM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 40 |  |
| 8:10 AM | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 43 |  |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 38 |  |
| 8:20 AM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 7 | 56 |  |
| 8:25 AM | 0 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 35 |  |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 4 | 53 |  |
| 8:35 AM | 0 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 3 | 46 |  |
| 8:40 AM | 0 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 49 |  |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 53 |  |
| 8:50 AM | 0 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 43 |  |
| 8:55 AM | 0 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 4 | 40 |  |
| Count Total | 0 | 119 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 101 | 540 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 196 | 73 | 1,098 |  |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 58 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 293 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 37 | 570 |  |

Traffic Counts - Heavy Vehicles, Bicycles on Road, and Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk

| Interval | Heavy Vehicles |  |  |  |  | Interval Start Time | Bicycles on Roadway |  |  |  |  | Interval Start Time | Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | EB | NB | WB | SB | Total |  | EB | NB | WB | SB | Total |  | EB | NB | WB | SB | Total |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 7:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:05 AM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 7:05 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7:05 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:10 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 7:10 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7:10 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:20 AM | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 7:20 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7:20 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:25 AM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 7:25 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7:25 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:35 AM | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 7:35 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7:35 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:40 AM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7:40 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7:40 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7:45 AM | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 7:50 AM | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 7:50 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7:50 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 7:55 AM | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 7:55 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7:55 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:05 AM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8:05 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8:05 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:10 AM | 4 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 8:10 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8:10 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:15 AM | 1 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 8:20 AM | 1 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 8:20 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8:20 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:25 AM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 8:25 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8:25 AM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| 8:30 AM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:35 AM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8:35 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8:35 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:40 AM | 1 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 8:40 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8:40 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 8:45 AM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 8:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:50 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 8:50 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8:50 AM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 8:55 AM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 8:55 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8:55 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 25 | 37 | 0 | 34 | 96 | Count Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Count Total | 3 | 3 | 6 | 5 | 17 |
| Peak Hour | 13 | 18 | 0 | 11 | 42 | Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Peak Hour | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 |

Location: 1 SE 362ND DR \& HWY 26 PM
Date: Thursday, August 11, 2022
Peak Hour: 04:50 PM - 05:50 PM
Peak 15-Minutes: 04:55 PM - 05:10 PM
Peak Hour


Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

|  | HV\% | PHF |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| EB | $3.5 \%$ | 0.95 |
| WB | $4.3 \%$ | 0.95 |
| NB | $1.3 \%$ | 0.95 |
| SB | $0.0 \%$ | 0.00 |
| All | $3.4 \%$ | 0.96 |

Traffic Counts - Motorized Vehicles

| Interval | HWY 26 <br> Eastbound |  |  |  | HWY 26 <br> Westbound |  |  |  | SE 362ND DR <br> Northbound |  |  |  | SE 362ND DR Southbound |  |  |  | Total | Rolling Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 60 | 6 | 0 | 22 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 207 | 2,742 |
| 4:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 40 | 9 | 0 | 21 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 208 | 2,828 |
| 4:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 41 | 8 | 0 | 24 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 192 | 2,888 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 49 | 6 | 0 | 16 | 115 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 233 | 2,961 |
| 4:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 44 | 8 | 0 | 19 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 213 | 3,016 |
| 4:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 76 | 15 | 0 | 28 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 253 | 3,079 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 67 | 16 | 0 | 19 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 244 | 3,090 |
| 4:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 52 | 6 | 0 | 24 | 101 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 233 | 3,113 |
| 4:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 70 | 9 | 0 | 28 | 98 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 242 | 3,148 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 53 | 11 | 0 | 10 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 196 | 3,158 |
| 4:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 84 | 12 | 0 | 15 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 248 | 3,207 |
| 4:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 73 | 22 | 0 | 29 | 97 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 273 | 3,196 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 105 | 28 | 0 | 21 | 98 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 293 | 3,177 |
| 5:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 94 | 30 | 0 | 18 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 268 |  |
| 5:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 71 | 29 | 0 | 17 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 265 |  |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 104 | 22 | 0 | 23 | 101 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 288 |  |
| 5:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 113 | 37 | 0 | 13 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 276 |  |
| 5:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 99 | 25 | 0 | 19 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 264 |  |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 92 | 33 | 0 | 14 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 267 |  |
| 5:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 109 | 25 | 0 | 24 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 268 |  |
| 5:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 103 | 26 | 0 | 12 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 252 |  |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 96 | 24 | 0 | 22 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 245 |  |
| 5:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 102 | 20 | 0 | 18 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 237 |  |
| 5:55 PM | 1 | 0 | 107 | 18 | 0 | 14 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 254 |  |
| Count Total | 1 | 0 | 1,904 | 445 | 0 | 470 | 2,017 | 0 | 0 | 533 | 0 | 549 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,919 |  |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 1,143 | 313 | 0 | 227 | 975 | 0 | 0 | 251 | 0 | 298 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,207 |  |

Traffic Counts - Heavy Vehicles, Bicycles on Road, and Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk

| Interval | Heavy Vehicles |  |  |  |  | Interval Start Time | Bicycles on Roadway |  |  |  |  | Interval Start Time | Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | EB | NB | WB | SB | Total |  | EB | NB | WB | SB | Total |  | EB | NB | WB | SB | Total |
| 4:00 PM | 11 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 21 | 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:05 PM | 7 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 15 | 4:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:10 PM | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 4:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 4:15 PM | 10 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 17 | 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:20 PM | 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 11 | 4:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 4:25 PM | 9 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 4:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 10 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:35 PM | 3 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 4:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:40 PM | 8 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 12 | 4:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 7 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 12 | 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:50 PM | 10 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 4:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:55 PM | 7 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 12 | 4:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 5 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 11 | 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:05 PM | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 5:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:10 PM | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 5:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 5 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 14 | 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:20 PM | 2 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 5:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:25 PM | 4 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 5:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 4 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 14 | 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:35 PM | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 5:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:40 PM | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 3 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:50 PM | 7 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 5:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:55 PM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 5:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 134 | 16 | 103 | 0 | 253 | Count Total | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  | Count Total | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Peak Hour | 51 | 7 | 52 | 0 | 110 | Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Location: 2 SE 362ND DR \& INDUSTRIAL WAY EAST PM
Date: Thursday, August 11, 2022
Peak Hour: 04:00 PM - 05:00 PM
Peak 15-Minutes: 04:30 PM - 04:45 PM
Peak Hour


Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

|  | HV\% | PHF |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| EB | $0.0 \%$ | 0.00 |
| WB | $2.2 \%$ | 0.77 |
| NB | $1.6 \%$ | 0.92 |
| SB | $1.7 \%$ | 0.92 |
| All | $1.7 \%$ | 0.94 |

Traffic Counts - Motorized Vehicles

| Interval Start Time | INDUSTRIAL WAY EAST Eastbound |  |  |  | INDUSTRIAL WAY EAST Westbound |  |  |  | SE 362ND DR Northbound |  |  |  | SE 362ND DR Southbound |  |  |  | Total | Rolling Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 38 | 0 | 93 | 933 |
| 4:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 30 | 0 | 74 | 929 |
| 4:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 38 | 0 | 69 | 933 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 43 | 0 | 93 | 929 |
| 4:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 72 | 898 |
| 4:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 26 | 0 | 54 | 896 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 44 | 0 | 97 | 902 |
| 4:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 45 | 0 | 81 | 876 |
| 4:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 30 | 0 | 70 | 862 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 29 | 0 | 80 | 863 |
| 4:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 36 | 0 | 69 | 854 |
| 4:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 46 | 0 | 81 | 847 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 89 | 831 |
| 5:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 78 |  |
| 5:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 36 | 0 | 65 |  |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 28 | 0 | 62 |  |
| 5:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 40 | 0 | 70 |  |
| 5:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 60 |  |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 71 |  |
| 5:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 30 | 0 | 67 |  |
| 5:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 40 | 0 | 71 |  |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 37 | 0 | 71 |  |
| 5:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 62 |  |
| 5:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 65 |  |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 678 | 54 | 0 | 30 | 872 | 0 | 1,764 |  |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 346 | 29 | 0 | 23 | 446 | 0 | 933 |  |

Traffic Counts - Heavy Vehicles, Bicycles on Road, and Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk

| Interval | Heavy Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  | Interval Start Time | Bicycles on Roadway |  |  |  |  |  | Interval Start Time | Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | EB |  | NB | WB | SB | Total |  | EB |  | NB | WB | SB | Total |  | EB | NB | WB | SB | Total |
| 4:00 PM |  | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 4:00 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 4:05 PM |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4:05 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:10 PM |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4:10 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4:15 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:20 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4:20 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:25 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4:25 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4:30 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:35 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:35 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:40 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:40 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4:45 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:50 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4:50 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:55 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:55 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM |  | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5:00 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:05 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5:05 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:10 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5:10 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:15 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:20 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5:20 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:25 PM |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5:25 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5:30 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:35 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:35 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:40 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:40 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM |  | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5:45 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:50 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5:50 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:55 PM |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5:55 PM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total |  | 0 | 12 | 3 | 14 | 29 | Count Total |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Count Total | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Peak Hour |  | 0 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 16 | Peak Hour |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Location: 3 SE 362ND DR \& INDUSTRIAL WAY WEST PM
Date: Thursday, August 11, 2022
Peak Hour: 04:15 PM - 05:15 PM
Peak 15-Minutes: 05:00 PM - 05:15 PM
Peak Hour


Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

|  | HV\% | PHF |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| EB | $0.9 \%$ | 0.85 |
| WB | $0.0 \%$ | 0.00 |
| NB | $1.7 \%$ | 0.92 |
| SB | $2.7 \%$ | 0.94 |
| All | $2.0 \%$ | 0.92 |

Traffic Counts - Motorized Vehicles

| Interval Start Time | INDUSTRIAL WAY WEST Eastbound |  |  |  | INDUSTRIAL WAY WEST <br> Westbound |  |  |  | SE 362ND DR <br> Northbound |  |  |  | SE 362ND DR Southbound |  |  |  | Total | Rolling Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 15 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 4 | 94 | 1,029 |
| 4:05 PM | 0 | 14 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 2 | 104 | 1,033 |
| 4:10 PM | 0 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 3 | 65 | 1,020 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 14 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 4 | 92 | 1,053 |
| 4:20 PM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 3 | 87 | 1,033 |
| 4:25 PM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 2 | 81 | 1,036 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 8 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 1 | 79 | 1,025 |
| 4:35 PM | 0 | 8 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 6 | 91 | 1,037 |
| 4:40 PM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 4 | 86 | 1,026 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 10 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 1 | 80 | 1,024 |
| 4:50 PM | 0 | 7 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 3 | 88 | 1,027 |
| 4:55 PM | 0 | 6 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 5 | 82 | 1,016 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 11 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 2 | 98 | 1,015 |
| 5:05 PM | 0 | 6 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 1 | 91 |  |
| 5:10 PM | 0 | 10 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 1 | 98 |  |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 5 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 72 |  |
| 5:20 PM | 0 | 6 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 3 | 90 |  |
| 5:25 PM | 0 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 3 | 70 |  |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 6 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 91 |  |
| 5:35 PM | 0 | 7 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 1 | 80 |  |
| 5:40 PM | 0 | 5 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 1 | 84 |  |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 9 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 83 |  |
| 5:50 PM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 2 | 77 |  |
| 5:55 PM | 0 | 8 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 3 | 81 |  |
| Count Total | 0 | 182 | 0 | 264 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 116 | 550 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 877 | 55 | 2,044 |  |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 92 | 0 | 129 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 290 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 455 | 33 | 1,053 |  |

Traffic Counts - Heavy Vehicles, Bicycles on Road, and Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk

| Interval | Heavy Vehicles |  |  |  |  | Interval Start Time | Bicycles on Roadway |  |  |  |  | Interval Start Time | Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | EB | NB | WB | SB | Total |  | EB | NB | WB | SB | Total |  | EB | NB | WB | SB | Total |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 4:05 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 4:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| 4:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4:15 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| 4:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 4:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4:30 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:35 PM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:40 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:50 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:05 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:20 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:35 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5:35 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:40 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 5:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:50 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 5:55 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 5:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Count Total | 6 | 15 | 0 | 25 | 46 | Count Total | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | Count Total | 0 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 19 |
| Peak Hour | 2 | 6 | 0 | 13 | 21 | Peak Hour | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 9 |


| ODOT ADT Volumes at Permanent Count Station 03-006 (R |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | August | July | Adjust | High/Low |
| 2021 | 12988 | 14387 | 1.107715 | High |
| 2020 | 13411 | 14166 | 1.056297 |  |
| 2019 | 13497 | 13605 | 1.008002 | Low |
| 2018 | 13089 | 13868 | 1.059516 |  |
| 2017 | 13623 | 13874 | 1.018425 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Sum of 3 | 40123 | 41908 | 1.044488 |  |
| Average of 3 | 13374.33 | 13969.33 |  |  |

Calculated Adjustment 595 vehicles per day

*Seasonal Trend Table factors are based on previous year ATR data. The table is updated yearly.
*Grey shading indicates months were seasonal factor is greater than or less than $30 \%$

* February 2019 snow event causing lower seasonal factors
Seasonal Trend Table: The 2020 table is based on 2019 values due to the irregularity caused by the Covid epidemic shutdown during the 2020 count year.
Adustmen $1.022265=$ August 11 value $/$ June 15 peak value

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
1: 362nd Avenue \& US Hwy 26


| Intersection Summary |  |  | B |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 13.5 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | 12.5 |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.48 |  | A |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 100.0 | Sum of lost time (s) |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $46.1 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |

HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary
1: 362nd Avenue \& US Hwy 26


## Notes

* HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

HCM 6th TWSC
2: 362nd Avenue \& Industrial Way


Scenario 1 Johnson RV 1:41 pm 08/26/2022 2022 Existing AM Peak Hour
Synchro 11 Light Report MTA

HCM 6th AWSC
3: 362nd Avenue \& Industrial Way


| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lane Configurations | rr |  |  | $\uparrow$ | $\hat{F}$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 58 | 36 | 56 | 293 | 90 | 37 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 58 | 36 | 56 | 293 | 90 | 37 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 14 | 14 | 5 | 5 | 9 | 9 |
| Mvmt Flow | 64 | 40 | 62 | 326 | 100 | 41 |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |


| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Opposing Approach |  | SB | NB |
| Opposing Lanes | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB | EB |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  | EB |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| HCM Control Delay | 9.2 | 11.5 | 8.6 |
| HCM LOS | A | B | A |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $16 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $84 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $71 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $0 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 349 | 94 | 127 |
| LT Vol | 56 | 58 | 0 |
| Through Vol | 293 | 0 | 90 |
| RT Vol | 0 | 36 | 37 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 388 | 104 | 141 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.48 | 0.151 | 0.179 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 4.452 | 5.219 | 4.575 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 810 | 686 | 783 |
| Service Time | 2.476 | 3.261 | 2.606 |
| HCM Lane VIC Ratio | 0.479 | 0.152 | 0.18 |
| HCM Control Delay | 11.5 | 9.2 | 8.6 |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | A | A |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 2.6 | 0.5 | 0.6 |

HCM 6th TWSC
4: 362nd Avenue \& Site Access


Scenario 1 Johnson RV 1:41 pm 08/26/2022 2022 Existing AM Peak Hour
Synchro 11 Light Report
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HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
1: 362nd Avenue \& US Hwy 26


HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary
1: 362nd Avenue \& US Hwy 26


Notes

* HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

HCM 6th TWSC
2: 362nd Avenue \& Industrial Way


Scenario 2 Johnson RV 2:04 pm 08/26/2022 2022 Existing PM Peak Hour
Synchro 11 Light Report MTA

Page 3

HCM 6th AWSC
3: 362nd Avenue \& Industrial Way


| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lane Configurations | 9 |  |  | $\uparrow$ | $\widehat{b}$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 92 | 129 | 54 | 290 | 455 | 33 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 92 | 129 | 54 | 290 | 455 | 33 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| Mvmt Flow | 100 | 140 | 59 | 315 | 495 | 36 |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |


| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Opposing Approach |  | SB | NB |
| Opposing Lanes | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB | EB |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  | EB |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| HCM Control Delay | 12.8 | 15.5 | 23.5 |
| HCM LOS | B | C | C |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $16 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $84 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $93 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $0 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 344 | 221 | 488 |
| LT Vol | 54 | 92 | 0 |
| Through Vol | 290 | 0 | 455 |
| RT Vol | 0 | 129 | 33 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 374 | 240 | 530 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.568 | 0.395 | 0.769 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 5.467 | 5.919 | 5.216 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 658 | 605 | 695 |
| Service Time | 3.515 | 3.975 | 3.258 |
| HCM Lane VIC Ratio | 0.568 | 0.397 | 0.763 |
| HCM Control Delay | 15.5 | 12.8 | 23.5 |
| HCM Lane LOS | C | B | C |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 3.6 | 1.9 | 7.3 |

HCM 6th TWSC
4: 362nd Avenue \& Site Access


Scenario 2 Johnson RV 2:04 pm 08/26/2022 2022 Existing PM Peak Hour
Synchro 11 Light Report
Page 5

## Trip Generation Calculation Worksheet

Land Use Description: Specialty Trade Contractor<br>ITE Land Use Code: 180<br>Independent Variable: Gross Floor Area<br>Quantity: 30 Employees

## Summary of ITE Trip Generation Data

## AM Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic

Trip Rate: $\quad 0.61$ trips per ksf
Directional Distribution: 74\% Entering 26\% Exiting

PM Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic
Trip Rate: $\quad 0.72$ trips per ksf
Directional Distribution: 32\% Entering 68\% Exiting

Total Weekday Traffic
Trip Rate: $\quad 3.63$ trips per ksf
Directional Distribution: 50\% Entering 50\% Exiting

## Site Trip Generation Calculations

30 Employee Specialty Trade Contractor

|  | Entering | Exiting | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM Peak Hour | 13 | 5 | 18 |
| PM Peak Hour | 7 | 15 | 22 |
| Weekday | 54 | 54 | 108 |

** Future Volume calculated based on 2017-2019 counts due to covid.

| Site id | HWY | MP | DIR | HS | Description | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2040** | RSQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26003 | 026 | 14.36 | 1 |  | Southeast of Powell Valley Rd [0.18 mile] \{Gresham ATR, Sta. 26-003\} |  | 33400 |  |  | 43300 | MODEL |
| 1774 | 026 | 14.80 | 1 |  | mile] |  | 28500 |  |  | 36500 | MODEL |
| 1775 | 026 | 18.30 | 1 |  | Northwest of S.E. Haley Road [0.05 mile] |  | 25600 |  |  | 37600 | MODEL |
| 1776 | 026 | 19.24 | 1 |  | Northwest of Clackamas Boring Highway (OR212) [0.30 mile] |  | 25500 |  |  | 37400 | MODEL |
| 22590 | 026 | 20.60 | 1 |  | Northwest of S.E. Kelso Road [0.50 mile] |  | 30300 |  |  | 44000 | MODEL |
| 1777 | 026 | 21.40 | 1 |  | Southeast of Southeast Kelso Road [0.30 mile] |  | 30300 |  |  | 43000 | MODEL |
| 1778 | 026 | 22.72 | 1 |  | Northwest of S.E. 362nd Drive, west city limits Sandy [ 0.02 mile] |  | 33700 |  |  | 47900 | MODEL |
| 1779 | 026 | 23.85 | 1 |  | West of Bluff Road [0.02 mile] |  | 33300 |  |  | 47700 | MODEL |
| 1780 | 026 | 23.89 | 1 |  | East of Bluff Road [0.02 mile] |  | 15700 |  |  | 22700 | MODEL |
| 1781 | 026 | 24.02 | 1 |  | West of Beers Avenue [0.02 mile] |  | 16200 |  |  | 23500 | MODEL |
| 1782 | 026 | 24.35 | 1 |  | West of Meining Ave (OR211) [0.05 mile] |  | 16000 |  |  | 23700 | MODEL |
| 1783 | 026 | 24.42 | 1 |  | East of Meining Ave (OR211) [0.02 mile] |  | 12400 |  |  | 17900 | MODEL |
| 1784 | 026 | 24.59 | 1 |  | West of Ten Eyck Road [0.02 mile] |  | 12500 |  |  | 18100 | MODEL |
| 1785 | 026 | 23.89 | 2 |  | East of Bluff Road [0.02 mile] |  | 16600 |  |  | 23600 | MODEL |
| 1786 | 026 | 24.04 | 2 |  | West of Beers Avenue [ 0.02 mile ] |  | 18300 |  |  | 26000 | MODEL |
| 1787 | 026 | 24.36 | 2 |  | West of Meining Ave (OR211) [0.02 |  | 15900 |  |  | 23000 | MODEL |
| 1788 | 026 | 24.40 | 2 |  | East of Meining Ave (OR211) [0.02 mile] |  | 13700 |  |  | 19400 | MODEL |
| 1789 | 026 | 24.61 | 2 |  | West of Ten Eyck Road [0.02 mile] |  | 12600 |  |  | 17900 | MODEL |
| 1790 | 026 | 25.10 | 1 |  | West of Langensand Road [0.02 mile] |  | 20700 |  |  | 29600 | MODEL |
| 1791 | 026 | 25.66 | 1 |  | East of Vista Loop Drive [0.10 mile] |  | 23500 |  |  | 33300 | MODEL |
| 1792 | 026 | 26.76 | 1 |  | West of S.E. Firwood Road [ 0.10 mile] |  | 19000 |  |  | 26900 | MODEL |
| 1793 | 026 | 26.93 | 1 |  | East of S.E. Firwood Road [0.07 mile] |  | 17800 |  |  | 25600 | MODEL |
| 1794 | 026 | 29.66 | 1 |  | West of Wagoneer Loop Drive (East Jct.) [0.23 mile] |  | 16500 |  |  | 23700 | MODEL |
| 1795 | 026 | 34.87 | 1 |  | West of E. Sleepy Hollow Drive [0.10 mile] |  | 15000 |  |  | 21800 | MODEL |

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
1: 362nd Avenue \& US Hwy 26


HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary
1：362nd Avenue \＆US Hwy 26

|  | $\rightarrow$ | \％ | $\checkmark$ |  | 4 | $p$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | 个4 | 「 | \％ | 个4 | ${ }^{*}{ }^{*}$ | 「 |
| Trafic Volume（veh／h） | 789 | 138 | 94 | 990 | 270 | 97 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 789 | 138 | 94 | 990 | 270 | 97 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1614 | 1614 | 1695 | 1695 | 1682 | 1682 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 848 | 148 | 101 | 1065 | 290 | 104 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 10 | 10 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 |
| Cap，veh／h | 2075 | 926 | 126 | 2560 | 389 | 282 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.08 | 0.79 | 0.13 | 0.12 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 3146 | 1367 | 1615 | 3306 | 3107 | 1425 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 848 | 148 | 101 | 1065 | 290 | 104 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1533 | 1367 | 1615 | 1611 | 1554 | 1425 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 12.4 | 3.9 | 6.2 | 10.1 | 9.0 | 6.3 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 12.4 | 3.9 | 6.2 | 10.1 | 9.0 | 6.3 |
| Prop In Lane |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 2075 | 926 | 126 | 2560 | 389 | 282 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.41 | 0.16 | 0.80 | 0.42 | 0.74 | 0.37 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 2075 | 926 | 274 | 2560 | 684 | 417 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（I） | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 7.2 | 5.9 | 45.4 | 3.1 | 42.2 | 34.7 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 0.6 | 0.4 | 11.3 | 0.5 | 2.8 | 0.8 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 3.4 | 1.0 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 3.5 | 5.3 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 7.8 | 6.2 | 56.6 | 3.6 | 45.0 | 35.5 |
| LnGrp LOS | A | A | E | A | D | D |
| Approach Vol，veh／h | 996 |  |  | 1166 | 394 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh | 7.6 |  |  | 8.2 | 42.5 |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  | A | D |  |
| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |
| Phs Duration（ $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ）， s | 11.8 | 71.7 |  | 16.5 |  | 83.5 |
| Change Period（ $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ），s | 4.0 | ＊ 5.4 |  | 4.5 |  | ＊ 5.4 |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 17.0 | ＊ 48 |  | 21.5 |  | ＊ 69 |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 8.2 | 14.4 |  | 11.0 |  | 12.1 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.1 | 6.8 |  | 1.0 |  | 8.9 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay |  |  | 13.3 |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | B |  |  |  |

## Notes

＊HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier．

HCM 6th TWSC
2: 362nd Avenue \& Industrial Way


Scenario 1 Johnson RV 1:41 pm 08/26/2022 2023 Background AM Peak Hour
Synchro 11 Light Report MTA

HCM 6th AWSC
3: 362nd Avenue \& Industrial Way


| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lane Configurations | rr |  |  | $\uparrow$ | $\hat{F}$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 59 | 38 | 58 | 307 | 98 | 39 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 59 | 38 | 58 | 307 | 98 | 39 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 14 | 14 | 5 | 5 | 9 | 9 |
| Mvmt Flow | 66 | 42 | 64 | 341 | 109 | 43 |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |


| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Opposing Approach |  | SB | NB |
| Opposing Lanes | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB | EB |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  | EB |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| HCM Control Delay | 9.3 | 12 | 8.8 |
| HCM LOS | A | B | A |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $16 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $84 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $72 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $0 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $28 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 365 | 97 | 137 |
| LT Vol | 58 | 59 | 0 |
| Through Vol | 307 | 0 | 98 |
| RT Vol | 0 | 38 | 39 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 406 | 108 | 152 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.504 | 0.158 | 0.195 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 4.475 | 5.277 | 4.61 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 807 | 678 | 776 |
| Service Time | 2.504 | 3.324 | 2.647 |
| HCM Lane VIC Ratio | 0.503 | 0.159 | 0.196 |
| HCM Control Delay | 12 | 9.3 | 8.8 |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | A | A |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 2.9 | 0.6 | 0.7 |

HCM 6th TWSC
4: 362nd Avenue \& Site Access


Scenario 1 Johnson RV 1:41 pm 08/26/2022 2023 Background AM Peak Hour
Synchro 11 Light Report
Page 5

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
1: 362nd Avenue \& US Hwy 26


HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary
1：362nd Avenue \＆US Hwy 26

|  | $\rightarrow$ | ＊ | $\checkmark$ |  | 4 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | 个个 | 「 | \％ | 坐个 | \％${ }^{1 / 1}$ | 「 |
| Trafic Volume（veh／h） | 1250 | 323 | 235 | 1060 | 259 | 308 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 1250 | 323 | 235 | 1060 | 259 | 308 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1695 | 1695 | 1695 | 1695 | 1723 | 1723 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 1302 | 336 | 245 | 1104 | 270 | 321 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap，veh／h | 1913 | 853 | 271 | 2552 | 465 | 452 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.59 | 0.59 | 0.17 | 0.79 | 0.15 | 0.14 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 3306 | 1437 | 1615 | 3306 | 3183 | 1460 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 1302 | 336 | 245 | 1104 | 270 | 321 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1611 | 1437 | 1615 | 1611 | 1591 | 1460 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 35.8 | 16.1 | 19.4 | 14.1 | 10.3 | 18.5 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 35.8 | 16.1 | 19.4 | 14.1 | 10.3 | 18.5 |
| Prop In Lane |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 1913 | 853 | 271 | 2552 | 465 | 452 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.68 | 0.39 | 0.91 | 0.43 | 0.58 | 0.71 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 1913 | 853 | 373 | 2552 | 465 | 452 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（I） | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 18.0 | 14.0 | 53.1 | 4.3 | 51.8 | 39.7 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 2.0 | 1.4 | 20.0 | 0.5 | 1.8 | 5.1 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 12.7 | 5.2 | 9.2 | 3.5 | 4.2 | 20.2 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 20.0 | 15.4 | 73.1 | 4.8 | 53.6 | 44.8 |
| LnGrp LOS | B | B | E | A | D | D |
| Approach Vol，veh／h | 1638 |  |  | 1349 | 591 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh | 19.0 |  |  | 17.2 | 48.8 |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  | B | D |  |
| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |
| Phs Duration（ $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ）， s | 25.8 | 81.2 |  | 23.0 |  | 107.0 |
| Change Period（ $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ），s | 4.0 | ＊ 5.4 |  | 4.5 |  | ＊ 5.4 |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 30.0 | ＊ 68 |  | 18.5 |  | ＊1E2 |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 21.4 | 37.8 |  | 20.5 |  | 16.1 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.4 | 12.5 |  | 0.0 |  | 9.6 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay |  |  | 23.3 |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | C |  |  |  |

Notes
＊HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier．

HCM 6th TWSC
2: 362nd Avenue \& Industrial Way


Scenario 2 Johnson RV 2:04 pm 08/26/2022 2023 Background PM Peak Hour
Synchro 11 Light Report MTA

HCM 6th AWSC
3: 362nd Avenue \& Industrial Way


| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lane Configurations | rr |  |  | $\uparrow$ | $\hat{\boldsymbol{F}}$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 94 | 133 | 57 | 302 | 473 | 35 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 94 | 133 | 57 | 302 | 473 | 35 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| Mvmt Flow | 102 | 145 | 62 | 328 | 514 | 38 |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |


| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Opposing Approach |  | SB | NB |
| Opposing Lanes | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB | EB |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  | EB |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| HCM Control Delay | 13.3 | 16.7 | 27 |
| HCM LOS | B | C | D |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $16 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $84 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $93 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $0 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 359 | 227 | 508 |
| LT Vol | 502 | 94 | 0 |
| Through Vol | 0 | 0 | 473 |
| RT Vol | 390 | 243 | 35 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 1 | 1 | 552 |
| Geometry Grp | 0.601 | 0.413 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 5.542 | 6.019 | 5.28 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Convergence, Y/N | 648 | 595 | 685 |
| Cap | 3.598 | 4.083 | 3.331 |
| Service Time | 0.602 | 0.415 | 0.806 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 16.7 | 13.3 | 27 |
| HCM Control Delay | C | B | D |
| HCM Lane LOS | 4 | 2 | 8.4 |

HCM 6th TWSC
4: 362nd Avenue \& Site Access


Scenario 2 Johnson RV 2:04 pm 08/26/2022 2023 Background PM Peak Hour
Synchro 11 Light Report
Page 5

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
1: 362nd Avenue \& US Hwy 26


HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary
1: 362nd Avenue \& US Hwy 26


## Notes

* HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

HCM 6th TWSC
2: 362nd Avenue \& Industrial Way


Scenario 1 Johnson RV 1:41 pm 08/26/2022 2023 Bkgd plus Site AM Peak Hour
Synchro 11 Light Report MTA

HCM 6th AWSC
3: 362nd Avenue \& Industrial Way


| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lane Configurations | N |  |  | $\uparrow$ | $\hat{F}$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 59 | 38 | 58 | 309 | 103 | 39 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 59 | 38 | 58 | 309 | 103 | 39 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 14 | 14 | 5 | 5 | 9 | 9 |
| Mvmt Flow | 66 | 42 | 64 | 343 | 114 | 43 |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |


| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Opposing Approach |  | SB | NB |
| Opposing Lanes | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB | EB |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  | EB |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| HCM Control Delay | 9.4 | 12.1 | 8.8 |
| HCM LOS | A | B | A |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $16 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $84 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $73 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $0 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $27 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 367 | 97 | 142 |
| LT Vol | 58 | 59 | 0 |
| Through Vol | 309 | 0 | 103 |
| RT Vol | 0 | 38 | 39 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 408 | 108 | 158 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.508 | 0.159 | 0.203 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 4.484 | 5.295 | 4.621 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 803 | 676 | 775 |
| Service Time | 2.514 | 3.344 | 2.659 |
| HCM Lane VIC Ratio | 0.508 | 0.16 | 0.204 |
| HCM Control Delay | 12.1 | 9.4 | 8.8 |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | A | A |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 2.9 | 0.6 | 0.8 |

HCM 6th TWSC
4: 362nd Avenue \& Site Access


Scenario 1 Johnson RV 1:41 pm 08/26/2022 2023 Bkgd plus Site AM Peak Hour
Synchro 11 Light Report
Page 5

HCM 6th TWSC
5: Proposed Access \& Industrial Way

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |



Scenario 1 Johnson RV 1:41 pm 08/26/2022 2023 Bkgd plus Site AM Peak Hour
Synchro 11 Light Report
Page 6

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
1: 362nd Avenue \& US Hwy 26


HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary
1：362nd Avenue \＆US Hwy 26

|  | $\rightarrow$ | ＊ | $\checkmark$ |  | 4 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | 个4 | 「 | \％ | 个4 | \％${ }^{1 / 1}$ | 「 |
| Trafic Volume（veh／h） | 1250 | 326 | 236 | 1060 | 265 | 310 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 1250 | 326 | 236 | 1060 | 265 | 310 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1695 | 1695 | 1695 | 1695 | 1723 | 1723 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 1302 | 340 | 246 | 1104 | 276 | 323 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap，veh／h | 1911 | 852 | 272 | 2552 | 465 | 453 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.59 | 0.59 | 0.17 | 0.79 | 0.15 | 0.14 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 3306 | 1437 | 1615 | 3306 | 3183 | 1460 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 1302 | 340 | 246 | 1104 | 276 | 323 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1611 | 1437 | 1615 | 1611 | 1591 | 1460 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 35.9 | 16.4 | 19.4 | 14.1 | 10.5 | 18.5 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 35.9 | 16.4 | 19.4 | 14.1 | 10.5 | 18.5 |
| Prop In Lane |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 1911 | 852 | 272 | 2552 | 465 | 453 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.68 | 0.40 | 0.91 | 0.43 | 0.59 | 0.71 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 1911 | 852 | 373 | 2552 | 465 | 453 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（I） | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 18.0 | 14.1 | 53.1 | 4.3 | 51.9 | 39.7 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 2.0 | 1.4 | 20.2 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 5.2 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 12.7 | 5.3 | 9.2 | 3.5 | 4.4 | 20.4 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 20.0 | 15.5 | 73.2 | 4.8 | 53.9 | 44.9 |
| LnGrp LOS | C | B | E | A | D | D |
| Approach Vol，veh／h | 1642 |  |  | 1350 | 599 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh | 19.1 |  |  | 17.3 | 49.0 |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  | B | D |  |
| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |
| Phs Duration（ $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ）， s | 25.9 | 81.1 |  | 23.0 |  | 107.0 |
| Change Period（ $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ），s | 4.0 | ＊ 5.4 |  | 4.5 |  | ＊ 5.4 |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 30.0 | ＊ 68 |  | 18.5 |  | ＊1E2 |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 21.4 | 37.9 |  | 20.5 |  | 16.1 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.4 | 12.5 |  | 0.0 |  | 9.6 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay |  |  | 23.4 |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | C |  |  |  |

Notes
＊HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier．

HCM 6th TWSC
2: 362nd Avenue \& Industrial Way


Scenario 2 Johnson RV 2:04 pm 08/26/2022 2023 Bkgd plus Site PM Peak Hour
Synchro 11 Light Report MTA

HCM 6th AWSC
3: 362nd Avenue \& Industrial Way


| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  |  | $\uparrow$ | $\hat{\boldsymbol{F}}$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 94 | 133 | 57 | 308 | 476 | 35 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 94 | 133 | 57 | 308 | 476 | 35 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| Mvmt Flow | 102 | 145 | 62 | 335 | 517 | 38 |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |


| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Opposing Approach |  | SB | NB |
| Opposing Lanes | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB | EB |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  | EB |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| HCM Control Delay | 13.4 | 17 | 27.7 |
| HCM LOS | B | C | D |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $16 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $84 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $93 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $0 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 365 | 227 | 511 |
| LT Vol | 57 | 94 | 0 |
| Through Vol | 308 | 0 | 476 |
| RT Vol | 0 | 133 | 35 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 397 | 247 | 555 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.612 | 0.414 | 0.817 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 5.553 | 6.046 | 5.296 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 647 | 592 | 683 |
| Service Time | 3.607 | 4.109 | 3.344 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.614 | 0.417 | 0.813 |
| HCM Control Delay | 17 | 13.4 | 27.7 |
| HCM Lane LOS | C | B | D |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 4.2 | 2 | 8.6 |

HCM 6th TWSC
4: 362nd Avenue \& Site Access


Scenario 2 Johnson RV 2:04 pm 08/26/2022 2023 Bkgd plus Site PM Peak Hour
Synchro 11 Light Report
Page 5

HCM 6th TWSC
5: Proposed Access \& Industrial Way

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |  |



Queuing and Blocking Report 2023 Bkgd plus Site AM Peak Hour
Intersection: 1: 362nd Avenue \& US Hwy 26

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | R | L | T | T | L | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 262 | 246 | 85 | 157 | 174 | 174 | 140 | 274 | 140 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 148 | 96 | 31 | 78 | 88 | 70 | 78 | 118 | 66 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 248 | 199 | 65 | 146 | 154 | 138 | 141 | 215 | 137 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 890 | 890 |  |  | 1768 | 1768 |  | 648 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 115 |  | 115 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 250 | 500 |  |  | 1 | 7 | 1 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 16 | 3 |

Intersection: 2: 362nd Avenue \& Industrial Way

| Movement | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | TR | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 80 | 5 | 57 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 30 | 0 | 15 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 62 | 4 | 45 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 373 | 164 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 150 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

Intersection: 3: 362nd Avenue \& Industrial Way

| Movement | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | LT | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 89 | 120 | 89 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 44 | 67 | 44 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 71 | 103 | 71 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 443 | 551 | 164 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

Queuing and Blocking Report 2023 Bkgd plus Site AM Peak Hour
Intersection: 4: 362nd Avenue \& Site Access

| Movement | WB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | LT |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 35 | 20 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 3 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 19 | 10 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 365 | 551 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 5: Proposed Access \& Industrial Way

| Movement | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 23 | 67 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1 | 4 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 10 | 30 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 306 | 173 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Network Summary
Network wide Queuing Penalty: 22

Queuing and Blocking Report 2023 Bkgd plus Site PM Peak Hour
Intersection: 1: 362nd Avenue \& US Hwy 26

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | R | L | T | T | L | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 513 | 502 | 275 | 323 | 180 | 184 | 140 | 556 | 140 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 330 | 288 | 133 | 196 | 95 | 79 | 108 | 343 | 134 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 474 | 452 | 280 | 310 | 161 | 151 | 162 | 573 | 161 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 890 | 890 |  |  | 1768 | 1768 |  | 648 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 115 | 1 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 250 | 500 |  |  | 6 | 29 | 115 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 6 | 0 |  |  |  | 27 | 127 | 59 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 19 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 2: 362nd Avenue \& Industrial Way

| Movement | WB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | TR | L | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 180 | 26 | 63 | 189 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 58 | 1 | 9 | 27 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 128 | 20 | 41 | 106 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 373 | 164 |  | 648 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 150 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  | 1 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 0 |

Intersection: 3: 362nd Avenue \& Industrial Way

| Movement | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | LT | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 121 | 129 | 176 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 61 | 73 | 126 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 99 | 115 | 186 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 443 | 551 | 164 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 2 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 9 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |

Queuing and Blocking Report 2023 Bkgd plus Site PM Peak Hour
Intersection: 4: 362nd Avenue \& Site Access

| Movement | WB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | LT |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 57 | 20 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 9 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 36 | 12 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 365 | 551 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 5: Proposed Access \& Industrial Way

| Movement | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 7 | 71 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 0 | 10 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 5 | 46 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 306 | 173 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Network Summary
Network wide Queuing Penalty: 245
$\stackrel{\ddot{8}}{\stackrel{\ddot{g}}{\sim}}$
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[^6]oregon. . department of transportation - transportation development division
362ND DR at MT HOOD HY, City of Sandy, Clackamas County, 01/01/2016 to 12/31/2020


[^7]OREGON.. department of transportation - transportation development division
362ND DR at MT HOOD HY, City of Sandy, Clackamas County, 01/01/2016 to 12/31/2020


| : : | : |  | 8 | \% | : \% | $\begin{gathered} \tilde{N} \\ \text { j } \end{gathered}$ | 8 | : | : | J' | - | ठ゙ | : : | \% | - | ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\stackrel{m}{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 岁 |  |  |
| 긍: | Nั: |  | : | : | :\% |  | : | : | : : |  | : | : | : : |  | : | : |
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orgcon.. departuent of transportation - transportation development division
362ND DR at industrial way, City of Sandy, Clackamas County, 01/01/2016 to 12/31/2020


[^9]
## Preliminary Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis

Project Name: Johnson RV
Intersection: 362nd at Industrial (North)
Scenario: 2023 Background plus Site Trips
Number of Major Street Lanes: $\qquad$ -

PM Peak Hour Volume $\qquad$ (sum of both approaches)

Number of Minor Street Lanes $\qquad$ PM Peak Hour Volume $\qquad$ (highest-volume approach) ${ }^{\text {a }}$
Posted or 85th percentile speed $>40 \mathrm{mph}$ : $\qquad$

## Warrant 1, Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume

Condition A - Minimum Vehicular Volume

| Number of lanes for moving <br> traffic on each approach | Vehicles per hour on major street <br> (total of both approaches) |  |  |  | Vehicles per hour on minor street <br> (total of both approaches) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Street | Minor Street | $100 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $56 \%$ |
| 1 | 1 | 500 | 400 | 350 | 280 | 150 | 120 | 105 | 84 |
| 2 or more | 1 | 600 | 480 | 420 | 336 | 150 | 120 | 105 | 84 |
| 2 or more | 2 or more | 600 | 480 | 420 | 336 | 200 | 160 | 140 | 112 |
| 1 | 2 or more | 500 | 400 | 350 | 280 | 200 | 160 | 140 | 112 |

Condition B - Interruption of Continuous Traffic

| Number of lanes for moving <br> traffic on each approach | Vehicles per hour on major street <br> (total of both approaches) |  |  |  | Vehicles per hour on minor street <br> (total of both approaches) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Street | Minor Street | $100 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $56 \%$ |
| 1 | 1 | 750 | 600 | 525 | 420 | 75 | 60 | 53 | 42 |
| 2 or more | 1 | 900 | 720 | 630 | 504 | 75 | 60 | 53 | 42 |
| 2 or more | 2 or more | 900 | 720 | 630 | 504 | 100 | 80 | 70 | 56 |
| 1 | 2 or more | 750 | 600 | 525 | 420 | 100 | 80 | 70 | 56 |


| Warrant Anaylsis Calculations | 8th Highest Hour ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Minimum Volume | Warrant Satisfied? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Condition A - Minimum Vehicular Volume |  |  |  |
| Major Street Volume | 501 | 500 |  |
| Minor Street Volume | 20 | 150 | No |
| Condition B - Interruption of Continuous Traffic |  |  |  |
| Major Street Volume | 501 | 750 |  |
| Minor Street Volume | 20 | 75 | No |
| Combination Warrant ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |
| Major Street Volume | 501 | 600 |  |
| Minor Street Volume | 20 | 120 | No |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Minor-Street right turn volumes are reduced to account for the impact of right-turns on red. |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Eighth-highest hour volumes are calculated as 5.65 percent of the expected daily traffic volume. |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {c }}$ This warrant should be used only after adequat | rial of other altern | es has failed to solv | traffic problems. |

## Preliminary Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis

Project Name: Johnson RV
Intersection: 362nd at Industrial (South)
Scenario: 2023 Background plus Site Trips
$\qquad$ (sum of both approaches)

Number of Minor Street Lanes 1 PM Peak Hour Volume $\qquad$ (highest-volume approach) ${ }^{\text {a }}$
Posted or 85 th percentile speed $>40 \mathrm{mph}$ $\qquad$

Isolated Population Less than 10,000: No

## Warrant 1, Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume

Condition A - Minimum Vehicular Volume

| Number of lanes for moving <br> traffic on each approach | Vehicles per hour on major street <br> (total of both approaches) |  |  |  | Vehicles per hour on minor street <br> (total of both approaches) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Street | Minor Street | $100 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $56 \%$ |
| 1 | 1 | 500 | 400 | 350 | 280 | 150 | 120 | 105 | 84 |
| 2 or more | 1 | 600 | 480 | 420 | 336 | 150 | 120 | 105 | 84 |
| 2 or more | 2 or more | 600 | 480 | 420 | 336 | 200 | 160 | 140 | 112 |
| 1 | 2 or more | 500 | 400 | 350 | 280 | 200 | 160 | 140 | 112 |

Condition B - Interruption of Continuous Traffic

| Number of lanes for moving <br> traffic on each approach | Vehicles per hour on major street <br> (total of both approaches) |  |  | Vehicles per hour on minor street <br> (total of both approaches) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Street | Minor Street | $100 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $56 \%$ |
| 1 | 1 | 750 | 600 | 525 | 420 | 75 | 60 | 53 | 42 |
| 2 or more | 1 | 900 | 720 | 630 | 504 | 75 | 60 | 53 | 42 |
| 2 or more | 2 or more | 900 | 720 | 630 | 504 | 100 | 80 | 70 | 56 |
| 1 | 2 or more | 750 | 600 | 525 | 420 | 100 | 80 | 70 | 56 |


| Warrant Anaylsis Calculations | 8th Highest Hour ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Minimum Volume | Warrant Satisfied? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Condition A - Minimum Vehicular Volume |  |  |  |
| Major Street Volume | 495 | 500 |  |
| Minor Street Volume | 53 | 150 | No |
| Condition B - Interruption of Continuous Traffic |  |  |  |
| Major Street Volume | 495 | 750 |  |
| Minor Street Volume | 53 | 75 | No |
| Combination Warrant ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |
| Major Street Volume | 495 | 600 |  |
| Minor Street Volume | 53 | 120 | No |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Minor-Street right turn volumes are reduced to account for the impact of right-turns on red. |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Eighth-highest hour volumes are calculated as 5.65 percent of the expected daily traffic volume. |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {c }}$ This warrant should be used only after adequat | ial of other altern | es has failed to s | traffic problems. |

## Preliminary Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis

Project Name: Johnson RV
Intersection: 362nd at Site Access
Scenario: 2023 Background plus Site Trips
Number of Major Street Lanes:
Number of Minor Street Lanes 1 $\qquad$
M Peak Hour Volume (sum of both approaches) PM Peak Hour Volume (highest-volume approach) $^{\text {a }}$
Posted or 85 th percentile speed $>40 \mathrm{mph}$ $\qquad$ No

## Warrant 1, Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume

Condition A - Minimum Vehicular Volume

| Number of lanes for moving <br> traffic on each approach | Vehicles per hour on major street <br> (total of both approaches) |  |  |  | Vehicles per hour on minor street <br> (total of both approaches) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Street | Minor Street | $100 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $56 \%$ |
| 1 | 1 | 500 | 400 | 350 | 280 | 150 | 120 | 105 | 84 |
| 2 or more | 1 | 600 | 480 | 420 | 336 | 150 | 120 | 105 | 84 |
| 2 or more | 2 or more | 600 | 480 | 420 | 336 | 200 | 160 | 140 | 112 |
| 1 | 2 or more | 500 | 400 | 350 | 280 | 200 | 160 | 140 | 112 |

Condition B - Interruption of Continuous Traffic

| Number of lanes for moving <br> traffic on each approach | Vehicles per hour on major street <br> (total of both approaches) |  |  | Vehicles per hour on minor street <br> (total of both approaches) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Street | Minor Street | $100 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $56 \%$ |
| 1 | 1 | 750 | 600 | 525 | 420 | 75 | 60 | 53 | 42 |
| 2 or more | 1 | 900 | 720 | 630 | 504 | 75 | 60 | 53 | 42 |
| 2 or more | 2 or more | 900 | 720 | 630 | 504 | 100 | 80 | 70 | 56 |
| 1 | 2 or more | 750 | 600 | 525 | 420 | 100 | 80 | 70 | 56 |


| Warrant Anaylsis Calculations | 8th Highest Hour ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Minimum Volume | Warrant Satisfied? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Condition A - Minimum Vehicular Volume |  |  |  |
| Major Street Volume | 547 | 500 |  |
| Minor Street Volume | 1 | 150 | No |
| Condition B - Interruption of Continuous Traffic |  |  |  |
| Major Street Volume | 547 | 750 |  |
| Minor Street Volume | 1 | 75 | No |
| Combination Warrant ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |
| Major Street Volume | 547 | 600 |  |
| Minor Street Volume | 1 | 120 | No |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Minor-Street right turn volumes are reduced to account for the impact of right-turns on red. |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Eighth-highest hour volumes are calculated as 5.65 percent of the expected daily traffic volume. |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {c }}$ This warrant should be used only after adequat | ial of other altern | es has failed to s | traffic problems. |

## Preliminary Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis

Project Name: Johnson RV
Intersection: Industrial at Proposed Site Access
Scenario: 2023 Background plus Site Trips
Number of Major Street Lanes:
Number of Minor Street Lanes 1
PM Peak Hour Volume $\frac{147}{2}$
PM Peak Hour Volume
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Posted or 85th percentile speed > 40 mph
$\qquad$
PM Peak Hour Volume
$\qquad$ (sum of both approaches) (highest-volume approach) $^{\text {a }}$

## Warrant 1, Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume

Condition A - Minimum Vehicular Volume

| Number of lanes for moving <br> traffic on each approach | Vehicles per hour on major street <br> (total of both approaches) |  |  | Vehicles per hour on minor street <br> (total of both approaches) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Street | Minor Street | $100 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $56 \%$ |
| 1 | 1 | 500 | 400 | 350 | 280 | 150 | 120 | 105 | 84 |
| 2 or more | 1 | 600 | 480 | 420 | 336 | 150 | 120 | 105 | 84 |
| 2 or more | 2 or more | 600 | 480 | 420 | 336 | 200 | 160 | 140 | 112 |
| 1 | 2 or more | 500 | 400 | 350 | 280 | 200 | 160 | 140 | 112 |

Condition B - Interruption of Continuous Traffic

| Number of lanes for moving <br> traffic on each approach | Vehicles per hour on major street <br> (total of both approaches) |  |  | Vehicles per hour on minor street <br> (total of both approaches) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Street | Minor Street | $100 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $56 \%$ |
| 1 | 1 | 750 | 600 | 525 | 420 | 75 | 60 | 53 | 42 |
| 2 or more | 1 | 900 | 720 | 630 | 504 | 75 | 60 | 53 | 42 |
| 2 or more | 2 or more | 900 | 720 | 630 | 504 | 100 | 80 | 70 | 56 |
| 1 | 2 or more | 750 | 600 | 525 | 420 | 100 | 80 | 70 | 56 |


| Warrant Anaylsis Calculations | 8th Highest Hour ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Minimum Volume | Warrant Satisfied? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Condition A - Minimum Vehicular Volume |  |  |  |
| Major Street Volume | 83 | 500 |  |
| Minor Street Volume | 1 | 150 | No |
| Condition B - Interruption of Continuous Traffic |  |  |  |
| Major Street Volume | 83 | 750 |  |
| Minor Street Volume | 1 | 75 | No |
| Combination Warrant ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |
| Major Street Volume | 83 | 600 |  |
| Minor Street Volume | 1 | 120 | No |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Minor-Street right turn volumes are reduced to account for the impact of right-turns on red. |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Eighth-highest hour volumes are calculated as 5.65 percent of the expected daily traffic volume. |  |  |  |
| This warrant should be used only after adequat | rial of other altern | ives has failed to solv | traffic problems. |

## Left-Turn Lane Warrant Analysis (ODOT Methodology)

Project Name: Johnson RV
Approach: Northbound 362nd Avenue at Industrial (South)
Scenario: 2022 Existing Conditions

| Number of Advancing Lanes: | 1 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Number of Opposing Lanes: | 1 |  |
| Major-Street Design Speed: | 35 | mph |


|  | AM Volume | PM Volume |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Advancing Volume for Design Hour: | 349 | 344 |
| Opposing Volume for Design Hour: | 127 | 488 |
|  |  |  |
| Design Hour Volume Per Lane: | 476 | 832 |
| Number of Left Turns per Hour: | 56 | 54 |
|  |  |  |
| Left-turn lane warrants satisfied? | YES | YES |

## Exhibit 7-1 Left Turn Lane Criterion (TTI)
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## Left-Turn Lane Warrant Analysis (ODOT Methodology)

Project Name: Johnson RV
Approach: Southbound 362nd Avenue at Site Access
Scenario: 2023 Background plus Site Trips

| Number of Advancing Lanes: | 1 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Number of Opposing Lanes: | 1 |  |
| Major-Street Design Speed: | 35 | mph |


|  | AM Volume | PM Volume |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Advancing Volume for Design Hour: | 141 | 609 |
| Opposing Volume for Design Hour: | 367 | 360 |
| Design Hour Volume Per Lane: | 508 | 969 |
| Number of Left Turns per Hour: | 5 | 3 |
| Left-turn lane warrants satisfied? | NO | NO |

## Exhibit 7-1 Left Turn Lane Criterion (TTI)
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## Left-Turn Lane Warrant Analysis (ODOT Methodology)

Project Name: Johnson RV
Approach: Westbound Industrial at Proposed Site Access
Scenario: 2023 Background plus Site Trips

| Number of Advancing Lanes: | 1 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Number of Opposing Lanes: | 1 |  |
| Major-Street Design Speed: | 25 | mph |


|  | AM Volume | PM Volume |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Advancing Volume for Design Hour: | 44 | 93 |
| Opposing Volume for Design Hour: | 85 | 54 |
| Design Hour Volume Per Lane: | 129 | 147 |
| Number of Left Turns per Hour: | 4 | 2 |
| Left-turn lane warrants satisfied? | NO | NO |

## Exhibit 7-1 Left Turn Lane Criterion (TTI)



[^12]Right-Turn Lane Warrant Analysis (ODOT Methodology)
Project Name: Johnson RV
Approach: Northbound 362nd at Industrial (North)
Scenario: 2023 Background plus Site Trips

Major-Street Design Speed: 35 mph

|  | AM Volume | PM Volume |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Right Turns per Hour: | 46 | 30 |
| Approaching DVH in Outside Lane: | 355 | 395 |
| Calculated Turn Volume Threshold: | 66 | 61 |
| Right Turn Volume Exceeds Threshold? | NO | NO |

## Criterion 1: Vehicular Volume

The vehicular volume criterion is intended for application where the volume of intersecting traffic is the principal reason for considering installation of a right turn lane. The vehicular volume criteria are determined using the curve in Exhibit 7-2.

Exhibit 7-2 Right Turn Lane Criterion


Note: If there is no right turn lane, a shoulder needs to be provided. If this intersection is in a rural area and is a connection to a public street, a right turn lane is needed.

Right-Turn Lane Warrant Analysis (ODOT Methodology)
Project Name: Johnson RV
Approach: Southbound 362nd at Industrial (South)
Scenario: 2023 Background plus Site Trips

Major-Street Design Speed: 35 mph

|  | AM Volume | PM Volume |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Right Turns per Hour: | 39 | 35 |
| Approaching DVH in Outside Lane: | 142 | 511 |
| Calculated Turn Volume Threshold: | 94 | 45 |
| Right Turn Volume Exceeds Threshold? | NO | NO |

## Criterion 1: Vehicular Volume

The vehicular volume criterion is intended for application where the volume of intersecting traffic is the principal reason for considering installation of a right turn lane. The vehicular volume criteria are determined using the curve in Exhibit 7-2.

Exhibit 7-2 Right Turn Lane Criterion


Note: If there is no right turn lane, a shoulder needs to be provided. If this intersection is in a rural area and is a connection to a public street, a right turn lane is needed.

Project Name: Johnson RV
Approach: Northbound 362nd at Site Access
Scenario: 2023 Background plus Site Trips

Major-Street Design Speed: 35 mph

|  | AM Volume | PM Volume |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Right Turns per Hour: | 2 | 1 |
| Approaching DVH in Outside Lane: | 367 | 360 |
| Calculated Turn Volume Threshold: | 64 | 65 |
| Right Turn Volume Exceeds Threshold? | NO | NO |

## Criterion 1: Vehicular Volume

The vehicular volume criterion is intended for application where the volume of intersecting traffic is the principal reason for considering installation of a right turn lane. The vehicular volume criteria are determined using the curve in Exhibit 7-2.

Exhibit 7-2 Right Turn Lane Criterion


Note: If there is no right turn lane, a shoulder needs to be provided. If this intersection is in a rural area and is a connection to a public street, a right turn lane is needed.

## Right-Turn Lane Warrant Analysis (ODOT Methodology)

Project Name: Johnson RV
Approach: Eastbound Industrial at Proposed Site Access
Scenario: 2023 Background plus Site Trips

Major-Street Design Speed: 35 mph

|  | AM Volume | PM Volume |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Right Turns per Hour: | 2 | 1 |
| Approaching DVH in Outside Lane: | 85 | 54 |
| Calculated Turn Volume Threshold: | 102 | 106 |
| Right Turn Volume Exceeds Threshold? | NO | NO |

## Criterion 1: Vehicular Volume

The vehicular volume criterion is intended for application where the volume of intersecting traffic is the principal reason for considering installation of a right turn lane. The vehicular volume criteria are determined using the curve in Exhibit 7-2.

Exhibit 7-2 Right Turn Lane Criterion


Note: If there is no right turn lane, a shoulder needs to be provided. If this intersection is in a rural area and is a connection to a public street, a right turn lane is needed.

## Speed Study Summary - Radar Data

Location: SE 362nd Avenue
Direction: Northbound


Date: August 31, 2022
Time: 3:00 PM
Weather: Clear/Dry
Notes: Occasional queues back up and impact through travel speeds. Data collecton was stopped during these incidents to capture free-flow speeds.
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { 85th Percentile Speed } & \mathbf{3 7} \mathbf{~ m p h} \\ \text { Average Speed: } & 33 \mathrm{mph}\end{array}$

## Recorded Speeds:*

| 1 mph ------- 0 | 26 mph ------- 2 | 51 mph ------- 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 mph ------- 0 | 27 mph ------- 3 | 52 mph ------- 0 |
| 3 mph ------- 0 | 28 mph ------- 4 | 53 mph ------- 0 |
| 4 mph ------- 0 | 29 mph ------- 3 | 54 mph ------- 0 |
| 5 mph ------- 0 | 30 mph ------- 5 | 55 mph ------- 0 |
| 6 mph ------- 0 | 31 mph ------- 14 | 56 mph ------- 0 |
| 7 mph ------- 0 | 32 mph ------- 13 | 57 mph ------- 0 |
| 8 mph ------- 0 | 33 mph ------- 8 | 58 mph ------- 0 |
| 9 mph ------- 0 | 34 mph ------- 12 | 59 mph ------- 0 |
| 10 mph ------- 0 | 35 mph ------- 7 | 60 mph ------- 0 |
| 11 mph ------- 0 | 36 mph ------- 10 | 61 mph ------- 0 |
| 12 mph ------- 0 | 37 mph ------- 5 | 62 mph ------- 0 |
| 13 mph ------- 0 | 38 mph ------- 4 | 63 mph ------- 0 |
| 14 mph ------- 0 | 39 mph ------- 2 | 64 mph ------- 0 |
| 15 mph ------- 0 | 40 mph ------- 1 | 65 mph ------- 0 |
| 16 mph ------- 0 | 41 mph ------- 0 | 66 mph ------- 0 |
| 17 mph ------- 0 | 42 mph ------- 2 | 67 mph ------- 0 |
| 18 mph ------- 0 | 43 mph ------- 0 | 68 mph ------- 0 |
| 19 mph ------- 0 | 44 mph ------- 1 | 69 mph ------- 0 |
| 20 mph ------- 0 | 45 mph ------- 0 | 70 mph ------- 0 |
| 21 mph ------- 0 | 46 mph ------- 0 | 71 mph ------- 0 |
| 22 mph ------- 0 | 47 mph ------- 0 | 72 mph ------- 0 |
| 23 mph ------- 1 | 48 mph ------- 0 | 73 mph ------- 0 |
| 24 mph ------- 1 | 49 mph ------- 1 | 74 mph ------- 0 |
| 25 mph ------- 1 | 50 mph ------- 0 | 75+ mph ------- 0 |
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## Speed Study Summary - Radar Data

Location: Industrial Way (North)
Direction: Westbound

$\begin{array}{lr}\text { Date: } & 11 / 7 / 2022 \\ \text { Time: } & 2: 00 \mathrm{PM}\end{array}$
Weather: Overcast, showers
Notes: None

| 85th Percentile Speed: | $\mathbf{3 3} \mathbf{~ m p h}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Average Speed: | 29 mph |

## Recorded Speeds:*

| 1 mph ------- | 0 | 26 mph ------- 6 | 51 mph ------- 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 mph ------- | 0 | 27 mph ------- 9 | 52 mph ------- 0 |
| 3 mph ------- | 0 | 28 mph ------- 10 | 53 mph ------- 0 |
| 4 mph ------- | 0 | 29 mph ------- 10 | 54 mph ------- 0 |
| 5 mph ------- | 0 | 30 mph ------- 4 | 55 mph ------- 0 |
| 6 mph ------- | 0 | 31 mph ------- 8 | 56 mph ------- 0 |
| 7 mph ------- | 0 | 32 mph ------- 7 | 57 mph ------- 0 |
| 8 mph ------- | 0 | 33 mph ------- 10 | 58 mph ------- 0 |
| 9 mph ------- | 0 | 34 mph ------- 2 | 59 mph ------- 0 |
| 10 mph ------- | 0 | 35 mph ------- 2 | 60 mph ------- 0 |
| 11 mph ------- | 0 | 36 mph ------- 0 | 61 mph ------- 0 |
| 12 mph ------- | 0 | 37 mph ------- 3 | 62 mph ------- 0 |
| 13 mph ------- | 0 | 38 mph ------- 1 | 63 mph ------- 0 |
| 14 mph ------- | 0 | 39 mph ------- 0 | 64 mph ------- 0 |
| 15 mph ------- | 0 | 40 mph ------- 1 | 65 mph ------- 0 |
| 16 mph ------- | 0 | 41 mph ------- 1 | 66 mph ------- 0 |
| 17 mph ------- | 1 | 42 mph ------- 0 | 67 mph ------- 0 |
| 18 mph ------- | 1 | 43 mph ------- 0 | 68 mph ------- 0 |
| 19 mph ------- | 0 | 44 mph ------- 1 | 69 mph ------- 0 |
| 20 mph ------- | 2 | 45 mph ------- 0 | 70 mph ------- 0 |
| 21 mph ------- | 3 | 46 mph ------- 0 | 71 mph ------- 0 |
| 22 mph ------- | 4 | 47 mph ------- 0 | 72 mph ------- 0 |
| 23 mph ------- | 1 | 48 mph ------- 0 | 73 mph ------- 0 |
| 24 mph ------- | 7 | 49 mph ------- 0 | 74 mph ------- 0 |
| 25 mph ------- | 6 | 50 mph ------- 0 | 75+ mph ------- 0 |

[^14]
## EXHIBIT L

## SANDY OREGON

Re: Johnson RV Transmittal ~File No. 22-037 DR/VAR
2 messages
Gary Boyles [fmboyles.sandyfire@gmail.com](mailto:fmboyles.sandyfire@gmail.com)

The Fire District has no comments regarding the canopy variance.
Thank you,
Gary Boyles
Fire Marshal
Sandy Fire District No. 72
PO Box 518
17460 SE Bruns Ave.
Sandy, Oregon 97055
Business line: 503-668-8093
Cell number: 503-891-7042
CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE- This email, and any attachments may contain information that is privileged,
confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. It is intended only for the use of
he person(s) names above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review,
dissemination, distribution, or duplication of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you are not the
intended recipient, please contact me by reply email and delete the message and any attachments from
your system.

On Mon, Nov 21, 2022 at 1:31 PM Rebecca Markham [rmarkham@ci.sandy.or.us](mailto:rmarkham@ci.sandy.or.us) wrote:
Good afternoon Gary, please see the attached "Transmittal Notice" for Johnson RV. It looks like Shelley needs comments back by December 7th if possible.
Happy Holidays!!
--
Rebecca Markham
Executive Assistant
City of Sandy
Development Services Department / Public Works
39250 Pioneer Blvd
Sandy, OR 97055
503-489-2160 (Direct)
rcasey@ci.sandy.or.us
This e-mail is a public record of the City of Sandy and is subject to the State of Oregon Retention Schedule and may be subject to public disclosure under the Oregon Public Records Law. Thi: including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is pr are not the intended recipient, please send a reply e-mail to let the sender know of the error and destroy all copies of the original message

Rebecca Markham [rmarkham@ci.sandy.or.us](mailto:rmarkham@ci.sandy.or.us)
Fri, Dec 2, 2022 at 10:42 AM
To: Gary Boyles [fmboyles.sandyfire@gmail.com](mailto:fmboyles.sandyfire@gmail.com)
To: Gary Boyles <fmboyles.sandyfire@gm
Cc: Planning [planning@ci.sandy.or.us](mailto:planning@ci.sandy.or.us)

## Received, thank you Gary.

Quoted text hidden]

## EXHIBIT M

December 5, 2022

Ms. Shelly Denison
City of Sandy
39250 Pioneer Boulevard
Sandy, OR 97055

## RE: CITY OF SANDY JOHN SON RV SITE DEVELOPMENT

Dear Shelly:
We have reviewed the Johnson RV site improvements at $362^{\text {nd }}$ Drive and Industrial Way and have the following comments:

1. The application indicates they will be adding a septage receiving station. The City needs to review the sewer use ordinance to determine the allowable loading and whether additional SDC charges are due if this results in excess loading. A sampling manhole should be required downstream of the grease separator.
2. In that there are no sidewalks on the south side of Industrial Way, waiving that sidewalk requirement is reasonable. The City may require a fee in lieu of the Industrial Way sidewalk if the City ultimately intends to add sidewalks on the south side.
3. The new curb-tight sidewalk shown on $362^{\text {nd }}$ Drive is acceptable. An ADA compliant ramp needs to be installed at the corner of $362^{\text {nd }}$ and Industrial Way.
4. The stormwater plan is acceptable.

Let me know if you have questions.
Very truly yours,

## CURRAN-McLEOD, INC. <br> 

## EXHIBIT N

January 20, 2023

Ms. Shelley Denison
City of Sandy
39250 Pioneer Boulevard
Sandy, OR 97055

## RE: CITY OF SANDY JOHNSON RV SITE DEVELOPMENT

Dear Shelley:
Regarding the proposal from Johnson RV to construct an additional driveway access from Industrial Way, we see no concerns and recommend approval.

The Johnson RV site is in a light industrial zone and per the SMC 17.90.130.A.5. is permitted to have a second access if the frontage is greater than 150 feet wide. The site frontage on Industrial Way, a collector street, is 257.71 feet and the frontage on SE $362^{\text {nd }}$ Drive, minor arterial, is 577.47 feet.

Additionally, the Sandy Transportation System Plan requires driveway spacing on collector streets to be a minimum of 150 feet. The nearest driveway on Industrial Way would be over 220 feet east of the proposed Johnson RV driveway.

Let me know if you have questions.

Very truly yours,

## CURRAN-McLEOD, INC.



## EXHIBIT O

720 SW WASHINGTON STREET, SUITE 500, PORTLAND, OR 97205 • 503.243.3500 • DKSASSOCIATES.COM

DATE: December 7, 2022
REQUEST: Johnson RV Canopy Cover, Transportation Review
FILE NO: 22-037 DR/VAR
REVIEWER: Dock Rosenthal, PE, DKS Associates

DKS Associates has reviewed the traffic impact analysis ${ }^{1}$ and site plan for the Johnson RV. The proposed development application includes a new 7,375 square foot covered wash facility in addition to the existing 20,000 square foot main building and two-story 3,850 square foot office building. The project site is located on the southeast corner of $362^{\text {nd }}$ Drive and Industrial Way.

The general comments and listing of recommended conditions of approval are based on a review of the impact study and site plan.

## DEVELOPMENT TRANSPORTATION IMPACT REVIEW

Key comments and issues related to the proposed development's transportation impact analysis include:

## Existing

- Study Intersections
- US 26 at SE 362 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Drive
- SE 362 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Drive at Industrial Way (east)
- SE $362^{\text {nd }}$ Drive at Industrial Way (west)
- SE 362 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Drive at Johnson RV site access
- Industrial Way at Johnson RV site access
- All study intersections operate at an acceptable mobility standard during the 2022 AM and PM peak hours
- Crash data from January 2016 to December 2020 was analyzed, no systemic safety issues were identified. A review of the Oregon Traffic Safety Data Explorer (OTSDE) found 37 "Intersection Related" crashes along US 26 near $362^{\text {nd }}$ Drive compared to the 22 reported in the Johnson RV

[^15]analysis. 37 crashes at the intersection does exceed the $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile crash rate. While there is a discrepancy between the numbers reported in the analysis and the OTSDE the nature of the collisions, primarily rear-end collisions and turning collisions, indicate driver error and no additional mitigation is recommended for the development.

## Future (2023) Background Condition

- A liner growth rate of 1.92 percent per year was applied to the existing 2022 volumes along US 26. An exponential growth rate of 2.0 percent per year was applied to other volumes to account for background growth.
- 14 approved in process developments included in the background volumes:
- Mt Hood Senior Living
- The Pad
- Cedar Heights Views
- Shaylee Meadows
- Trimble PD
- Bornstedt Views
- Cascade Creek Multifamily

。 Tickle Creek Village

- Double Creek Condos

Jewelberry Ridge
Jewelberry Meadows

- Sandy Plaza Apartments
- FreeUp Storage

38015 US 26 mixed-use development

- Study intersections operate at an acceptable mobility standard during the 2023 AM and PM peak hours


## Future (2023) Project Condition

- Specialty Trade Contractor (ITE Trip Generation code 180) was used to estimate trips generated at the site.
- The proposed project would result in additional vehicle trips: 18 (13 in/5 out) AM peak hour vehicle trips, 22 ( $7 \mathrm{in} / 15$ out) PM peak hour vehicle trips and 108 weekday trips.
- 2023 Total Traffic Conditions - All study intersections would operate at an acceptable volume to capacity ratios with the addition of vehicle trips from the proposed project.
- Traffic queues from the intersection of $362^{\text {nd }}$ Drive and Industrial Way (west) were evaluated. The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues were found to extend past the proposed driveway, including the additional space created by the realignment 50 feet to the south along $362^{\text {nd }}$ Drive. The average traffic queues do not exceed the available storage.
- An evaluation of traffic signal warrants at the unsignalized intersections found that they were not met.
- Sight distance was evaluated at the two site access driveways. At the site access driveway along SE $362^{\text {nd }}$ Drive the northbound intersection site distance is 410 feet based on an $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed of 37 miles per hour. There is 324 feet of sight distance available to the south from the
intersection, less than the intersection site distance. The required stopping site distance is 270 feet which is less than the available sight distance. Since the site access location is in an urbanized area and stopping site distance is met, no mitigations are recommended to meet the intersection site distance. The site distance at the site access driveway along Industrial Way is met with the clearing of vegetation along the site frontage along Industrial Way.
- The 2011 Transportation System Plan includes a project to realign Industrial Way (east) to meet Industrial Way (west) which would require right-of-way acquisition through the development parcel. At this time the project is only planned and no further action is required.


## RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

The following conditions of approval are recommended based on a review of the traffic impact study and site plan:

1. Page 10 states that HCM $6^{\text {th }}$ edition was used. The volume - capacity ratio at the intersection of US 26 and $362^{\text {nd }}$ Drive is based on HCM 2000 results. This is not expected to change the findings but the report shall be updated to reflect the appropriate HCM methodology used.
2. Page 11 states that the $10^{\text {th }}$ edition of the Trip Generation Manual was used to estimate trip generation while the report in the appendix shows the $11^{\text {th }}$ edition was used. The report shall be updated to reflect the appropriate Trip Generation edition used.
3. The development shall contribute System Development Charges toward citywide impacts.
4. The development shall pay a fee in-lieu of sidewalk improvements along the Industrial Way frontage.
5. The development shall clear vegetation as appropriate to maintain the intersection site distance from the site access along Industrial Way.
6. Minimum sight distance requirements shall be met at all site driveways. Sight distances should be re-verified in the final engineering/construction stages of development.

## EXHIBIT P

## Question about land use application

3 messages
Shelley Denison [sdenison@ci.sandy.or.us](mailto:sdenison@ci.sandy.or.us)
Wed, Jan 4, 2023 at 10:48 AM
To: Dock Rosenthal [dock.rosenthal@dksassociates.com](mailto:dock.rosenthal@dksassociates.com)
Hi Dock,
I wanted to follow up on an application you recently provided comments on.
The application file is 22-037: Johnson RV Canopy Cover.
On the site plans (attached), you'll see that they're proposing to move the driveway access to 362 nd further south so it aligns with the driveway across the street. Based on a rough measurement (using a ruler and the scale bar), it looks like the new driveway is about 105 feet from the intersection of 362 nd and Industrial Way.

According to 17.98.80, driveways need to be at least 150 feet from arterial or collector streets (which Industrial Way is) unless an exception is granted by the City Engineer.

Kelly and I agree that it makes sense to align the new driveway with the existing driveway, even if that means being short of that 150 foot distance. Would you agree with that?

Shelley Denison, AICP
Associate Planner
City of Sandy
Development Services Department
39250 Pioneer Blvd
Sandy, OR 97055
503-783-2587
sdenison@ci.sandy.or.us
"Cities have the capability of providing something for everybody, only because, and only when, they are created by everybody." - Jane Jacobs

## RV Staging, Building and Utility Planning - PLANS (rcvd August 31, 2022).pdf <br> 3477K

Dock Rosenthal [dock.rosenthal@dksassociates.com](mailto:dock.rosenthal@dksassociates.com)
Wed, Jan 4, 2023 at 11:21 AM
To: Shelley Denison [sdenison@ci.sandy.or.us](mailto:sdenison@ci.sandy.or.us)
Cc: Reah Flisakowski [rlf@dksassociates.com](mailto:rlf@dksassociates.com)
Hi Shelley.
Yes I agree, by moving the driveway they are improving an existing deficiency. The new driveway on Industrial Way should improve internal site circulation and provide some redundancy in the highest traffic volume periods.
-Dock

Dock Rosenthal, PE | Transportation Engineer/Planner
Direct: 503.972.1244 | Cell: 208.755.5361 | dock.rosenthal@dksassociates.com

720 SW Washington Street, Suite 500 | Portland, OR 97205 | 503.243.3500
dksassociates.com

DKS Associates is an employee-owned company.

## in $\mathbf{y} \mathbf{f}$

This message contains information which may be confidential and privileged. Unless you are the addressee (or authorized to receive for the addressee), you may not use, copy, distribute or disclose to anyone this message or any information contained in or attached to this message. If you have received this message in error, please advise the sender and delete this message along with any attachments or links from your system.
[Quoted text hidden]
[Quoted text hidden]
This e-mail is a public record of the City of Sandy and is subject to the State of Oregon Retention Schedule and may be subject to public disclosure under the Oregon Public Records Law. This e-mail, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please send a reply e-mail to let the sender know of the error and destroy all copies of the original message.

Shelley Denison [sdenison@ci.sandy.or.us](mailto:sdenison@ci.sandy.or.us)
Wed, Jan 4, 2023 at 11:22 AM
To: Dock Rosenthal [dock.rosenthal@dksassociates.com](mailto:dock.rosenthal@dksassociates.com)
Cc: Reah Flisakowski [rlf@dksassociates.com](mailto:rlf@dksassociates.com)

## Got it. Thanks!

[Quoted text hidden]

## EXHIBIT Q

## Memorandum

To: Shelly Denison, Associate Planner
From: AJ Thorne, Assistant Public Works Director
Re: Johnson RV Building addition 22-037

January 9, 2023

Public Works Comments

## Stormwater

The proposed building addition will be placed on existing surface. There is no increase in impervious area. No comments from PW.

## Water/wastewater

There are no added fixtures shown in the attached documents. If there are additional floor drains included in the structure, their connection to the sewer system will need to be reviewed.

## Transportation

Public works has no comments.

Sincerely,

AJ Thorne, PE
Assistant Public Works Director
City of Sandy
503-489-2162
SANDD
OREGON

Date: January 26, 2023
To: Sandy Planning Commission
From: Tracy Brown Planning Consultants, LLC
RE: Staff Recommended Condition D.3, File No. 22-037 Johnson RV
After reviewing the January 24, 2023 Staff Report for this application, the applicant is concerned Finding 29 and Condition D. 3 could be misinterpreted to prohibit use of the property as it is intended.

## Existing Finding 29:

29. Per Section 17.90.130(J), the exterior storage of merchandise and/or materials, except as specifically authorized as a permitted accessory use, is prohibited. The applicant is requesting approval to redevelop the site as a recreational vehicle repair and service center, not as a sales lot for recreational vehicles. The applicant shall not use the outdoor portion of the property as storage for merchandise and/or related materials unless authorized.

Existing Condition D.3:
3. The applicant shall not use the outdoor portion of the property as storage for merchandise and/or related materials unless authorized.

The applicant's purpose for developing the property is to use the structures on the site to repair and service recreational vehicles and to store recreational vehicles on the site waiting for repair. The concern we have with the existing language in Finding 29 and Condition D. 3 is the use of the words "storage for merchandise" as used in this condition could be misinterpreted to apply to vehicles parked awaiting repair. For this reason, the applicant requests Finding 29 and Condition D. 3 be modified to clarify the intent of the Condition.

Proposed Language to Condition D.3:
The applicant shall not use the outdoor portion of the property to as storage store or display for recreational vehicles merchandise for sale and/or related materials unless authorized.
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