SANDY

General Land Use Application

1 page

lame of Project:		UD Lot 6				
ocation or Address:	38941Creekside	38941Creekside Loop				
Map & Tax Lot # T: 2S		R: 4E	Section	n: 13CA	Tax Lot (s): 088007	
Request: remove (2) haz	zard trees from the	e NE corner of t	the lot			
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
***************************************	.					
			listed above, and	the statements an	d information contained he	
		, complete and c	4	t of my knowledge	and belief.	
Applicant (if different tha		, complete and c	Owner	t of my knowledge ible Rentals Ll		
		, complete and c	Owner	ible Rentals LI		
Applicant (if different tha		, complete and c	Owner Trim	ible Rentals LI	_C	
Applicant (if different tha Address		, complete and c	Owner Trim Address PC City/State/Zip	ible Rentals LI	_C 97055	
Applicant (if different tha Address City/State/Zip		, complete and c	Owner Trim Address PC City/State/Zip Email triml	Box 10 Sandy, OR 9	_C 97055	
Applicant (if different tha Address City/State/Zip Email		, complete and c	Owner Trim Address PC City/State/Zip Email triml	Sandy, OR Solerentals@gn	_C 97055	
Applicant (if different that Address City/State/Zip Email Phone Signature	an owner)		Owner Trim Address PC City/State/Zip Email trim Phone 503- Signature	Sandy, OR Solerentals@gn	_C 97055	
Applicant (if different that Address City/State/Zip Email Phone	an owner)		Owner Trim Address PC City/State/Zip Email trim Phone 503- Signature	Sandy, OR Solerentals@gn	_C 97055	
Applicant (if different that Address City/State/Zip Email Phone Signature File #: 22-005 TRE	E Date: 2.9.2		Owner Trim Address PC City/State/Zip Email trim Phone 503- Signature	Sandy, OR Solerentals@gn	27055 nail.com	



4547 S.E. Brae St. Milwaukie, OR 97222 (503) 631-4760

Trimble Rentals LLC P.O. Box 10 Sandy, OR 97055 (503) 522-0856 January 18, 2022 **Tree Assessment**

I was asked by Aryn Ferguson, of Trimble Rentals LLC, to assess two trees located on the property of 38943 Creekside Loop, Sandy, OR 97055. The two subject trees are located on the slope above the NW corner of the structure. The subject trees were assessed on the morning of January 18, 2022. At this time tree species, diameter, condition, location and history were verified. The subject trees are as follows:

T1 Douglas Fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii) 24"dbh in good condition.

T2 Big Leaf Maple Acer macrophyllum) 16"dbh in fair condition.

The two subject trees were impacted during construction of the dwelling. Earth work around these trees was done two plus years ago. The earth work consisted of cutting and filling the adjacent slope as well as installation of retaining features. A significant portion of this work took place within what should have been protected root zone and without supervision from a qualified arborist. It is probable that more than 40% of the root zone was significantly impacted.

T1 appears to be vigorous with shoot elongation, needle size and needle color all being normal. From a health and vigor stand point this tree appears to be unaffected. T2 has some accumulation of dead twigs but otherwise health metrics appear to be normal. There is no record of how many roots were cut during construction activities two years prior, however, there is evidence of excessive fill over portions of the root zone of both T1 and T2. The root zone is combined for the two trees because they are growing directly adjacent to each other.

T1 and T2 have so far weathered the impacts of the construction from two years prior. Because there is no record of root cutting or monitoring from that period of time there is uncertainty as to the long term viability of T1 and T2. While the health and vigor of T1 and T2 is mostly unaffected the impact from root cutting and excessive fill is still unknown. What is known is that root cutting and excessive fill can be extremely injurious to both T1 and T2 and in this case cannot be reasonably mitigated. It is possible that the subject trees can be monitored for a period of time to determine if health and vigor decline or they can be removed. The impacts, from construction, to these two trees is significant, also these two trees loom over a fixed high value target and the consequences

of failure would be severe if these trees failed. It is recommended that T1 and T2 be removed.

Prepared by,

Andrew Craig

ISA Certified Arborist PN5927

ISA Tree Risk Assessment Qualified

andrew@springarborist.com



