

Staff Report

Meeting Date: March 29, 2021

From Emily Meharg, Senior Planner

SUBJECT: 21-001 AP 38797 & 38799 Creekside Loop Appeal

BACKGROUND: BACKGROUND

Garage Setback Adjustment Application (File No. 20-049 ADJ)

Applicable Code Criteria:

Section 17.40.30 contains setback requirements for the R-3 zoning district and requires a 20 foot minimum garage setback for front vehicle access.

Applicant's Request:

Jeff Newberry submitted an application requesting an adjustment to Section 17.40.30 to reduce the required garage setbacks for an approved duplex at 38797 and 38799 Creekside Loop from 20 feet to 17 feet 4 inches for one unit of the duplex (a Type II Adjustment request) and 18 feet 2 inches for the second unit (a Type I Adjustment request). The applicant proposed four off-street parking spaces for the duplex (two spaces for each dwelling unit) in addition to the area in front of the garages that can accommodate smaller vehicles. The reduced setback will allow construction of a duplex on the lot while meeting all other applicable setback requirements. This duplex was previously approved on November 7, 2018 (File No. 18-042 DR) and a building permit application was received on October 30, 2020 within the two (2) year application approval period, so the approval of File No. 18-042 DR is active and valid.

Staff Decision (File No. 20-049 ADJ):

The applicant's request for a Type I Adjustment to the garage setback for Unit A was approved with conditions in conformance with the criteria outlined in the Sandy Development Code, Section 17.66.40. The applicant is permitted to reduce the garage setback to 18 feet 2 inches for Unit A. The applicant's request for a Type II Adjustment to the garage setback for Unit B was denied; however, a Type I Adjustment was approved with conditions. The applicant is permitted to reduce the garage setback to a minimum of 18 feet for Unit B. All other conditions of approval in File No. 20-049 ADJ shall be met.

Appeal of Garage Setback Application (File No. 21-001 AP)

William Trimble appealed the staff decision on January 11, 2021 (File No. 21-001 AP). Mr. Trimble believes the garage setback adjustment should be denied and requests that the Planning Commission "deny the adjustment and ensure the applicant provides the

full 20-foot setback for the garage of both units as required by Code Section 17.40.30 or the applicant revises the access to be off Tupper Road."

The previous approved partition for the subject site was approved prior to the construction and dedication of Creekside Loop so that is likely the reason there was access approval to Tupper Road as mentioned by Mr. Trimble, but that was the only street it could access at the time. Since the partition approval for the subject site in 2005, Creekside Loop has been constructed (Trimble Loop Subdivision was platted in Nov 2009) and is a public street and therefore new access can be granted. The approval of File No. 18-042 DR approved access to Creekside Loop and is not appealable by Mr. Trimble.

Summary of Important Dates:

ACTION	DATE
Final Order for Duplex Issued (File No. 18-042 DR)	November 7, 2018
Building Permit for Duplex Submitted	October 30, 2020
Garage Setback Application Submitted (File No. 20-049 ADJ)	November 20, 2020
Garage Setback Application Deemed Complete	December 8, 2020
Neighborhood Notice Sent for Garage Setback	December 8, 2020
Final Order for Garage Setback Issued	December 30, 2020
Notice of Intent to Appeal Received	January 11, 2021
120-Day Rule	April 7, 2021

BUDGETARY IMPACT:

None

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommendation

Staff recommends the Planning Commission review the following documents:

- The Final Order issued for File No. 20-049 ADJ, which includes the original public testimony submitted by Mr. Trimble (the appellant) as well as staff's analysis of the adjustment request and rationale for the decision.
- The appellant's notice of intent to appeal, which includes the appellant's reasons for appealing the decision and requesting denial of the adjustment.

Staff recommends the Planning Commission do one of the following:

- 1. Uphold the staff decision to approve a Type I adjustment to the garage setback for both units of the duplex per the final order for File No. 20-049 ADJ dated December 30, 2020.
- 2. Deny both garage setback adjustment requests and require the garage setbacks for both units of the duplex to meet the 20 foot minimum setback as requested by the appellant and required by Section 17.40.30.

3. Approve the applicant's original adjustment requests, which includes both a Type I and Type II garage setback adjustment.

LIST OF ATTACHMENTS/EXHIBITS:

Final Order for File No. 20-049 ADJ (staff issued decision) Notice of Intent to Appeal submitted by William Trimble Original applicant submittals for File No. 20-049 ADJ (application, narrative, and site plan)



FINDINGS OF FACT and FINAL ORDER TYPE I and II ADJUSTMENT

DATE: December 30, 2020

FILE NO.: 20-049 ADJ

APPLICANT/OWNER: Jeff Newberry

LOCATION: 38797 & 38799 Creekside Loop

LEGAL: T2S R4E Section 13CA Tax Lot 9008

DECISION: Type I Adjustment request approved with conditions; Type II Adjustment request

denied.

EXHIBITS:

Applicant's Submission

- A. Land Use Application
- B. Narrative
- C. Site Plan

Agency Comments

D. Public Works Director (December 14, 2020)

Public Comments

- E. Ron Hughes (December 18, 2020)
- F. William Trimble (December 19, 2020)

Additional Documents Submitted by Staff

- G. Final Order for File No. 05-031 MP
- H. Partition Plat 2006-059
- I. Floor Plan

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. These findings are based on the applicant's submittal received on November 20, 2020. This application was deemed complete on December 8, 2020.
- 2. Jeff Newberry submitted an application requesting an adjustment to Section 17.40.30 to reduce the required garage setbacks for an approved duplex at 38797 and 38799 Creekside Loop from 20 feet to 17 feet 4 inches for one unit of the duplex (a Type II Adjustment request) and 18 feet 2 inches for the second unit (a Type I Adjustment

request). The applicant has proposed four off-street parking spaces for the duplex (two spaces for each dwelling unit) in addition to the area in front of the garages that can accommodate smaller vehicles. The reduced setback will allow construction of a duplex on the lot while meeting all other applicable setback requirements. This duplex was previously approved on November 7, 2018 (File No. 18-042 DR) and a building permit application was received on October 30, 2020 within the two (2) year application approval period, so the approval of File No. 18-042 DR is active and valid.

- 3. The applicant previously submitted an application to construct a duplex on the subject property (File No. 18-042), which was approved with conditions on November 7, 2018. As part of that application, the applicant submitted a site plan that detailed front loading garage entrances to be setback 15 feet-2 inches (Unit A) and 17 feet (Unit B) from the front property line. Finding 11 in the final order for File No. 18-042 states: "Subsection 17.40.30 requires front loading garage accesses to be setback 20 feet from a front property line. The applicant shall complete either alternative A or B identified below:
 - A. Redesign the site to accommodate the required 20-foot garage setback.
 - B. Apply for a Special Variance for Unit A and a Type II Adjustment for Unit B to incorporate the garage entrances as proposed."

Condition A.1 states that prior to building permit final approval, the applicant shall "Redesign the site to accommodate the required 20-foot garage setback or apply for a Special Variance for Unit A and a Type II Adjustment for Unit B to incorporate the garage entrances as proposed. If the layout is modified the applicant shall submit a site plan to the City of Sandy for review and approval."

- 4. With this application (File 20-049 ADJ), the applicant submitted a revised Site Plan (Exhibit C) that details the garage setback for Unit A (the western unit) at 18 feet 2 inches and the garage setback for Unit B (the eastern unit) at 17 feet 4 inches, both of which can be processed as adjustments. Therefore, a variance request is not necessary.
- 5. Notification of the proposal was mailed to property owners within 300 feet of the subject property and affected agencies on December 8, 2020. Comments were received from the Public Works Director (Exhibit D). Two public comments were received.
- 6. Ron Hughes submitted a written comment (Exhibit E) expressing concern about the access to this property via Creekside Loop. Hughes states: "Added vehicular traffic on this road will generate a hardship to the current owners for mail and package delivery, garbage service and police and fire protection" and prefers that the subject property access Tupper Road. Hughes's comment did not include anything about the current application for an adjustment to the garage setback.
- 7. William Trimble submitted a written comment (Exhibit F) also expressing concern about access to the subject property from Creekside Loop rather than Tupper Road. The letter cites previous planning files and plats that required a driveway from the subject property to Tupper Road. Trimble states that the proposed adjustment "poses a concern for vehicle

traffic and parking on Creekside Loop. Reducing the 20-foot garage setback required per Code Section 17.40.30 creates a condition where the occupants will not be able to park vehicles in front of the garage without partially obstructing the sidewalk. We know from experience that occupants rarely use the garage to park vehicles, they are almost always used for storage, which would leave one useable off street parking space per unit. Therefore, occupants would most likely be parked on the public street, which is already heavily used." Trimble suggests that either the garage setbacks be modified to meet the 20-foot setback as required by Section 17.40.30 or that the subject property be required to access Tupper Road per partition plat 2006-059.

- 8. Creekside Loop is a public right-of-way and not a private road intended to benefit only select property owners. The subject property only has frontage on Creekside Loop and, therefore, Creekside Loop is the access for the subject property. Prior to Creekside Loop being dedicated as a public right-of-way, the subject property did not have direct frontage on any public rights-of-way and gained access to/from Tupper Road via a 20 foot wide access and utility easement as detailed on Partition Plat 2006-059 (Exhibit G). In 2008, Creekside Loop was dedicated as a public right-of-way and the subject property gained direct access to and frontage on a public right-of-way.
- 9. As noted by Trimble (Exhibit F), the subject property was previously part of a partition request from 2005 under File No. 05-031 MP (Exhibit H). Findings 12 and 13 from the Final Order for File No. 05-031 MP address access to the subject property (referred to as Parcel 2 at the time) and confirm the intent for the subject property to take future access from Creekside Loop (referred to as tax lot 9005 and 9006 at the time). Finding 12 states: "The minimum lot frontage in the zoning district is 20 feet. The site has no frontage on a public street at this time, however it is likely that the site will have frontage on a public street if Tax Lots 9005 and 9006 (south of the site) are converted into public right-of-way as has been requested by the owner of Tax Lot 8801. Regardless, the applicant proposes a 20-footwide access easement benefiting Parcel 2, as well as at least 20 feet of lot width between the northern and southern property lines, which complies with the intent of the minimum lot frontage standard." Finding 13 states: "The applicant proposes construction of a duplex on Parcel 2 at some point in the future. Future development of Parcel 2 shall comply with the development standards in effect at the time a building permit is submitted, including the setback standards of Section 17.40.30. If the developer of Parcel 2 has the ability to obtain access to/from a public right-of-way south of the site, and if the developer takes access from this right-of-way, the developer may be responsible for costs associated with construction of a public street in the right-of-way." Since the partition approval in 2005, Creekside Loop was constructed as a public street and dedicated to the City of Sandy. As the road authority for Creekside Loop, the City of Sandy permitted new access from the subject property to Creekside Loop with the approval of File No. 18-042. Access rights are not part of this adjustment application and therefore are not an item that can be subject to review on appeal.

Chapter 17.44 – High Density Residential (R-3)

10. The subject property is zoned R-3, High Density Residential.

11. Section 17.40.30 contains setback requirements for the R-3 zoning district and requires a 20 foot garage setback. The applicant is requesting an adjustment to reduce the required garage setback for the duplex from 20 feet to 17 feet 4 inches for one unit of the duplex and 18 feet 2 inches for the second unit.

<u>Chapter 17.66 – Adjustments and Variances</u>

- 12. Section 17.66.10 specifies the intent of adjustments and states "Adjustments are a Type I or Type II procedure that provide a means to vary the development standards normally applied in a particular district. This option exists for those circumstances where uniform; unvarying rules would prevent a more efficient use of a lot. A typical example is permitting a structure to be located closer to a property boundary than normally allowed by the zoning district regulations."
- 13. Section 17.66.20 specifies that the Type I Adjustment procedure allows the Director to grant or deny an adjustment request that involves only the expansion or reduction of a quantifiable provision of the Sandy Development Code by not more than 10 percent. Section 17.66.30 specifies that the Type II Adjustment procedure allows the Director to grant or deny an adjustment request that involves only the expansion or reduction of a quantifiable provision of the Sandy Development Code by not more than 20 percent.
- 14. Per the submitted narrative (Exhibit B) and Site Plan (Exhibit C), the applicant is requesting an adjustment to reduce the required garage setback for the duplex from 20 feet to 18 feet 2 inches for Unit A and 17 feet 4 inches for Unit B. The proposed reduction to 18 feet 2 inches is a reduction of 1 foot 10 inches (1.833 feet), which is a 9.2 percent reduction from the required 20 foot garage setback and can thus be processed as a Type I Adjustment. The proposed reduction to 17 feet 4 inches is a reduction of 2 feet 8 inches (2.666 feet), which is a 13.3 percent reduction from the required 20 foot garage setback and can thus be processed as a Type II Adjustment.
- 15. Section 16.66.40 contains the review criteria for both Type I and Type II Adjustments. In order to be approved, an adjustment request must meet all four (4) criteria.
- 16. Adjustment Criteria A states: "The proposed development will not be contrary to the purposes of this chapter, policies of the Comprehensive Plan, and any other applicable policies and standards adopted by the City." The garage setback is intended to recess the garage from the public right-of-way such that the front door and porch are more prominent than the garage, with the intention of providing a friendlier pedestrian realm. An additional benefit is that the 20 foot garage setback allows a vehicle to park in the driveaway in front of the garage without blocking the sidewalk, albeit this is still not likely enough depth for larger vehicle types and is the main impetus for the setback requirement of 22 feet in the SFR and R-1 zoning districts. Section 17.98.20(A.8) requires a duplex to provide two (2) off-street parking spaces per dwelling unit. Section 17.98.60(B.1) requires a standard parking space to be 9 feet by 18 feet. Section 17.98.50 allows required off-street parking to be located in a driveway for single family residences and duplexes. The proposal includes one interior garage parking space and one exterior 9 foot by 18 foot parking space located to the west of the garage for Unit A and to the east

of the garage for Unit B. Based on the floor plan submitted with the building permit (Exhibit I), the interior garage parking areas are approximately 10 feet 9.5 inches by 19 feet 6 inches, in compliance with the minimum parking area for a garage. Thus, the required two (2) off-street parking spaces are being provided without needing to count the driveway space in front of the garages as a parking space; however, it is likely that the driveway area in front of the garages will still be used for parking. The proposed driveway space in front of the garage for Unit A meets the minimum parking space requirement and could thus qualify as a third off-street parking space. However, the proposed driveway space in front of the garage for Unit B is only 17 feet 4 inches at its shortest length and thus does not meet the minimum off-street parking standard space size requirement and cannot be used for off-street parking of standard sized vehicles. Staff could require that the space in front of the garage not be used for parking; however, this would be difficult to enforce. It's likely that vehicles will park in the driveway in front of the garage regardless of whether or not their vehicle fits. Thus, staff believes the best approach is to provide at least the minimum parking space size (9 feet by 18 feet). The proposed space in front of Unit A already meets this requirement and a minimum 9 foot by 18 foot space could be accomplished by approving a Type I Adjustment, rather than a Type II Adjustment, for the garage setback in front of Unit B. The applicant shall update the site plan to detail the garage setback for Unit B at 18 feet minimum in compliance with a Type I Adjustment. Staff has noticed that vehicles often don't fit in the driveway space in front of the garage even with a 20 or 22 foot garage setback and vehicles frequently illegally extend into the plane of the sidewalk. A vehicle encroaching into and/or blocking the sidewalk is a violation of the Sandy Municipal Code Section 10.34.010. The applicant shall designate the parking spaces on the sides of the two garages for oversized vehicles to help prevent the sidewalk from being blocked. Any vehicle encroaching into and/or blocking the sidewalk shall be issued a citation. In addition to required off-street parking, Section 17.98.200(A.1) requires one (1) on-street parking space within 300 feet of each dwelling unit. Section 17.98.60(B.4) requires a parallel parking space to be 22 feet in length. The submitted Site Plan (Exhibit C) details the proposed driveway widths and remaining parking areas along the frontage of the site. However, the Public Works Director (Exhibit D) points out that these calculations misstate the effective on-street parking area because they do not take into account the driveway "wings." The applicant shall either design the driveway approaches such that the 20 foot 4 inch widths include the wing lengths or shall update the Site Plan to reflect the actual on-street parking area that remains after the wings are subtracted. This shall include the length from the west property line to the edge of the west wing of the driveway for Unit A, the length from the edge of the east wing of the driveway for Unit A to the edge of the west wing of the driveway for Unit B, and the length from the edge of the east wing of the driveway for Unit B to the east **property line.** As noted by the Public Works Director, if the applicant increases the sidewalk width to 6 feet, then the wings could decrease to 3 feet, which would result in 6 additional feet of on-street parking area while maintaining compliance with ADA requirements for the sidewalk. The applicant shall work with the Public Works Director on approval of the construction plans for modifying the right-of-way. A reduction to the required garage setback by up to 10 percent (a Type I Adjustment) will provide a minimum 9 foot by 18 foot parking space in the driveway area in front of each

garage and will not be contrary to the purposes of the Sandy Development Code, the policies of the Comprehensive Plan, or any other applicable policies and standards adopted by the City. The requested Type II Adjustment for the garage setback in front of Unit B would not provide the minimum 9 foot by 18 foot parking area and would therefore not meet the intent of the Sandy Development Code. With the addition of the above conditions, Criteria A can be met for a Type I Adjustment.

- 17. Adjustment Criteria B states: "The proposed development will not substantially reduce the amount of privacy enjoyed by users of nearby structures when compared to the same development located as specified by this Code." The reduction to garage setbacks will not substantially reduce the amount of privacy enjoyed by the residents of neighboring structures. Criteria B is met.
- 18. Adjustment Criteria C states: "The proposed development will not adversely affect existing physical systems and natural systems, such as traffic, drainage, dramatic land forms, or parks." The reduction to garage setbacks will not adversely affect existing physical systems and natural systems such as traffic, drainage, dramatic landforms or parks. Public and private utilities will not be affected by the setback adjustment. As the Public Works Director (Exhibit D) notes, the applicant could meet the garage setback by shifting the duplex north approximately 1.5 feet, which would necessitate adjustments to the rear and side yard setbacks instead. However, that would result in a net decrease in pervious (yard) surface and a net increase in impervious (driveway) surface. Reducing the garage setback results in a reduction of impervious surface, which results in less stormwater sheet flow than the alternative reduction to rear or side yard setbacks. Criteria C is met.
- 19. Adjustment Criteria D states: "Architectural features of the proposed development will be compatible to the design character of existing structures on adjoining properties and on the proposed development site." The applicant is requesting a reduction to the garage setbacks. The design of the proposed duplex was previously reviewed in accordance with the Sandy Style residential design standards in Section 17.90.150. Criteria D is met.

DECISION

The applicant's request for a Type I Adjustment to the garage setback for Unit A is **approved** with conditions in conformance with the criteria outlined in the Sandy Development Code, Section 17.66.40. The applicant is permitted to reduce the garage setback to 18 feet 2 inches for Unit A. The applicant's request for a Type II Adjustment to the garage setback for Unit B is denied; however, a Type I Adjustment is **approved with conditions**. The applicant is permitted to reduce the garage setback to a minimum of 18 feet for Unit B. All conditions of approval shall be met.

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

1. The applicant shall obtain the appropriate permits from the City of Sandy and Clackamas County prior to construction of the duplex.

- a. The applicant shall update the site plan to detail the garage setback for Unit B at 18 feet minimum.
- b. The applicant shall either design the driveway approaches such that the 20 foot 4 inch widths include the wing lengths or shall update the Site Plan to reflect the actual onstreet parking area that remains after the wings are subtracted. This shall include the length from the west property line to the edge of the west wing of the driveway for Unit A, the length from the edge of the east wing of the driveway for Unit B, and the length from the edge of the east wing of the driveway for Unit B to the east property line.
- c. The applicant shall designate the parking spaces on the sides of the two garages for oversized vehicles to help prevent the sidewalk from being blocked.
- 2. The applicant shall work with the Public Works Director on approval of the construction plans for modifying the right-of-way.
- 3. Any vehicle encroaching into and/or blocking the sidewalk shall be issued a citation.
- 4. The City may revoke this Type I Adjustment if conditions of approval are not met. Approval does not grant authority for the unrestricted use of the structure or site.

Emily Meharg
Senior Planner

RIGHT OF APPEAL

A decision on a land use proposal or permit may be appealed to the Planning Commission by an affected party by filing an appeal with the Director within twelve (12) days of notice of the decision. The notice of appeal shall indicate the nature of the interpretation that is being appealed and the matter at issue will be a determination of the appropriateness of the interpretation of the requirements of the Code.

An application for an appeal shall contain:

- 1. An identification of the decision sought to be reviewed, including the date of the decision;
- 2. A statement of the interest of the person seeking review and that he/she was a party to the initial proceedings;
- 3. The specific grounds relied upon for review;
- 4. If de novo review or review by additional testimony and other evidence is requested, a statement relating the request to the factors listed in Chapter 17.28.50; and,
- 5. Payment of required filing fees.



Notice	of	Intent	to A	ppeal

1 page

Name of Appellant	:		Phone Numb	er:	
Email:			Address:		
Map & Tax Lot	#: T:	R:		Section:	Tax Lot(s)
	BASIS FO	R STANDING A	APPEAL (please	e check all ti	hat apply)
Submitted writter	evidence during the i	nitial review			
Testified orally at	the hearing				
Participated throu	gh?				
Please note: * If the notice fail Director, City Re	s to confirm to the abo	ve requirements or is n within the timelines spe	elevant code sections, who actually received by the cified, the appeal is void	e City (delivered to t	he City Manager, Development Servic
	/		Staff Use Only		
	2 calendar days of Wri	tten Decision: Yes	No		
Application complet			_		
Scheduled for reviev	before the: Planning	Commission C	City Council		
File No.:	D	ate of Decision:		Date Notice of Dec	ision Mailed:
Appeal Fee:	D	ate Appeal Filed:		Date Set for Hearin	ng:

Development Services Department, 39250 Pioneer Blvd, Sandy, OR 97055, 503.489.2160

APPEAL

NOTICE OF LAND USE DECISION

NOTICE DATE: December 30, 2020

File No. 20-49 ADJ Creekside Loop Garage Setback Adjustment

January 11, 2021

This letter is to appeal the land use decision approving the Type I Adjustment for the garage setback for Unit A and the denial/approval with conditions for the garage setback for Unit B at the duplex located at 38797 & 38799 Creekside Loop.

As an adjacent property owner, I am seeking review of this decision. I had submitted comments on December 19th, 2020 on the initial File No 20-049 ADJ with my reasons for requesting the denial of this adjustment and still believe the adjustment should be denied for these reasons:

The adjustment being proposed in File 20-049 ADJ, poses a concern for vehicle traffic and parking on Creekside Loop. Reducing the 20-foot garage setback required per Code Section 17.40.30 creates a condition where the occupants will not be able to park vehicles in front of the garage without partially obstructing the sidewalk.

The access off Creekside Loop contradicts the recorded Partition Plat 2006-059 and the conditions of approval of File 18-042 DR, which has the access off Tupper Road. No notice regarding this access change was provided to the public or surrounding property owners.

We encourage the city to deny the adjustment and ensure the applicant provides the full 20-foot setback for the garage of both units as required by Code Section 17.40.30 or the applicant revises the access to be off Tupper Road.

Thank you for your consideration,

William Trimble 503-702-3923 PO Box 10 Sandy, OR 97055 trimblerentals@gmail.com 3. Other uses similar in nature.

B. Conditional Uses:

- 1. Community services;
- 2. Congregate housing;
- 3. Funeral and interment services, cemetery, mausoleum or crematorium;
- 4. Golf course and club house, pitch-and-putt, but not garden or miniature golf or golf driving range;
- 5. Hospital or home for the aged, retirement, rest or convalescent home;
- 6. Lodges, fraternal and civic assembly;
- 7. Major utility facility;
- 8. Preschool, orphanage, kindergarten or commercial day care;
- 9. Residential care facility [ORS 443.000 to 443.825];
- 10. Schools (public, private, parochial or other educational institution and supporting dormitory facilities, excluding colleges and universities);
- 11. Other uses similar in nature.

17.40.30 DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS

Туре	Standard
Minimum Average Lot Width	
- Single detached dwelling	40 ft.
- Single detached zero lot line dwelling	30 ft.
- Single attached zero lot line dwelling	20 ft.
- Other permitted uses	No minimum
Minimum Lot Frontage	20 ft. except as allowed by Section 17.100.160
Minimum Average Lot Depth	No minimum
Setbacks	
- Front yard	10 ft. minimum
- Rear yard	15 ft. minimum
- Side yard (interior)	5 ft. minimum ¹
- Corner Lot	10 ft. minimum on side abutting the street ³
- Garage	20 ft. minimum for front vehicle access
	15 ft. minimum if entrance is perpendicular to
	the street (subject to Section 1.79.220)
	5 ft. minimum for alley or rear access
Projections into Required Setbacks	See Chapter 17.74
Accessory Structures in Required Setbacks	See Chapter 17.74
Multi-family – Landscaping	25% minimum
Setbacks	See Section 17.90.230
Structure Height	35 ft. maximum
Building Site Coverage	No maximum
Landscaping	See Chapter 17.92
Off-Street Parking	See Chapter 17.98

¹ Excluding zero lot line development

³ Must comply with clear vision requirements of Chapter 17.74



LAND USE APPLICATION FORM

(Please print or type the information below)

Planning Department 39250 Pioneer Blvd. Sandy OR 97055 503-668-4886

Name of Project				
Location or Address				
Map & Tax Lot Number T, R; Tax Lot(s)				
Plan Designation	Zoning Desig	nation	Acres	
Request:				
I am the (check one) \square owner \square lessee of the property listed above and the statements and information contained herein are in all respects true, complete and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.				
Applicant		Owner		
Address		Address		
City/State/Zip		City/State/Zip		
Phone		Phone		
Email		Email		
Signature		Signature		
If signed by Agent, owner's written authorization must be attached.				
File No.	Date	Rec. No.	Fee \$	

Type I

Type II

Type III

Type IV

Type of Review (circle one):

Narrative

Newberry Duplex Creekside Loop, Sandy, OR 97055 Land Use Decision No. 18-042 DR

The subject site geometry presents a challenge to design a building that is 2 dwelling units, has a front façade that focuses on the "non-garage front" features, meets the required front, side and rear setbacks and has desirable livability characteristics. The previous proposal indicated 15'-2" and 17'-0" setbacks at the garage fronts for Units A and B respectively. The plan has subsequently been modified to increase this setback dimension as much as possible while keeping garages part of the units.

One purpose of the required 20' setback is so the remaining front façade can be the prominent feature of the structure. The second purpose is to provide enough room for a vehicle to park in front of the garage without blocking the sidewalk (although this space is not an "official" required off-street parking location). A typical passenger car parking space is 9'x18' according to the City of Sandy zoning code. Due to the difficult site geometry, it seems practical to reduce the required front setback so that there is at least 18' between the garage front and the sidewalk.

After modifying the building design, Unit A has a proposed front setback of 18'-2" from the garage to the property line and 19'-6 %" from the garage to the sidewalk. Unit B is located on a curve and does not have a uniform setback from one side of the garage to the other. The minimum proposed setback from the garage to the property line is 17'-4" on one side of the garage and 18'-6 %" on the other. The proposed setback to the sidewalk for Unit B exceeds 20' across the entire garage front.

